DESIGN AND THERMAL ANALYSIS OF IMPULSE THRUSTERS FOR CONTROL SYSTEMS OF GUIDED MISSILES

by

Toufik ALLOUCHE^{a*}, Saša Ž. ŽIVKOVIĆ^b, Abdellah FERFOURI^a, Damir D. JERKOVIĆ^a, Nebojša P. HRISTOV^a, and Marko Z. KARIĆ^b

^a Military Academy, University of Defence, Belgrade, Serbia ^b Military Technical Institute, Belgrade, Serbia

> Original scientific paper https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI240912284A

This paper presents design analysis of impulse thrusters intended for guidance and control systems of missiles. Impulse thrusters are small solid propellant, short-operation, high thrust rocket motors. They are mounted laterally on the missile's control block, and are used to generate control forces to adjust its trajectory. To minimize the control block weight and achieve better missile performances, aluminum alloy is utilized, due to its favorable strength-to-weight ratio and ease of manufacturing productivity. However, the sensitivity of aluminum alloys to high temperatures necessitates a comprehensive thermal analysis, to ensure structural integrity and performance reliability under operational conditions. For that, three impulse thruster models are designed with incrementally higher thrust and thermal loading. An internal ballistic model is employed to predict their performances, with results validated through static experimental tests. Then, numerical CFD and heat transfer simulations are conducted, to predict eventual thruster block structure overheating, to achieve the maximum possible performances. The study highlights the importance of material selection, thermal analysis, and propellant design for optimizing impulse thruster performance and ensuring the reliability of control systems of missiles.

Key words: control block, heat transfer, internal ballistic, static tests, impulse thruster

Introduction

In modern defense technology, missile control systems are one of the most important cornerstones as they provide guidance, precision and stabilization of missiles under external disturbances during flight. Missile control systems fall into three main categories [1, 2]: aero-dynamic control systems, thrust vectoring and reaction control – impulse thrusters. The last ones are small solid propellant, short-operation time, high force rocket motors, mounted in the lateral side of the missile, intended to generate a force able to change the missile's direction and adjust its trajectory [3].

Control systems based on thrusters, do not have widespread applications even though they are particularly advantageous, as they offer: faster response time, so they can enhance maneuverability [4] and agility at various angles of attack, missile velocities and altitudes [5]; system simplicity and reliability; higher precision of control force generating; better mass per-

^{*}Corresponding author, e-mail: toufik.allouche@gmail.com

formances; less power consumption, while adhering to the current trend of minimizing production costs [6], making them versatile to different missions.

Thanks to their characteristics, control method based on impulse thrusters has been integrated into several areas including: spacecraft engineering, satellite technology, rocket and missile systems. In missile technology, thrusters are used for various functions, especially in applications requiring fast and precise adjustments, such as artillery, anti-ballistic and air defense missiles, and anti-tank missiles.

In artillery, impulse thrusters can improve the accuracy of unguided rockets by enabling precise trajectory correction. For example, rocket R624 of the multiple launch rocket system WILCHA, on the front part of the rocket is implemented a control block composed of 90 thrusters, arranged around the guidance section [7]. In air defense, impulse thrusters are used to increase maneuverability and to refine the course of missiles in the terminal phase of flight. The PAC-3 missile used in the air defense system PATRIOT, is equipped with side mounted thrusters to ensure higher precision. One of the rare applications in anti-tank missiles is the M-47 DRAGON, which contains a control system composed of 60 thrusters installed on the side surface of the missile. They have an inclination of 40° relative to the rocket axis to achieve maneuverability and to sustain velocity of the missile [8].

Thruster operating requirements can vary widely depending on the missile's purpose and characteristics. The required operating time can range from a few to several hundred milliseconds, depending on the system's dynamics. Thrust values can also range from a few to several hundred newtons, depending on the required maneuverability and the missile size. These two parameters indirectly determine the mechanical and thermal loads on the thruster structure. As they define the intensity of heat flux and the exposure time of the structure, to the heat from combustion products.

One of the advantages of thruster control systems is the good mass performance (less system weight compared to the summary control force-time integral). During design of those systems, it is essential to optimize its size and mass to be as minimal as possible, while ensuring that it can withstand the operating mechanical and thermal loads. Various design solutions for the control block with thrusters can be applied. One variant involves the use of an optimal thruster design in the form of spherical motors, which are installed using lightweight fairings (as in M-47 Dragon missile). If a larger number of thrusters is needed, to achieve simplicity in construction and even better mass performance for the control system, in some systems a lightweight cylindrical housing with installed thrusters has been applied, fig. 1. This simplifies the design and assembly of the entire system, while also reducing simultaneously mass by eliminating additional components required for connecting the motors to the missile body.



Figure 1. A missile equipped with lateral impulse thrusters

Aluminum alloys are widely used in rocket technology due to their relatively high specific strength-to-weight ratio [9] and low costs. However, they have limited resistance to thermal stress and a very high thermal conductivity coefficient, which can be a limiting factor for their use in thrusters control blocks. However, the operating parameters and material characteristics are such that, in some cases, the use of high strength aluminum alloys is possible, which favorably impacts the mass performance of the system. Thrusters operating time is typically very short, usually falling into the category of impulse rocket motors. Thanks to this fact, the amount of heat transferred to the motor walls is generally very low, making it possible in certain cases, to use aluminum alloys without additional thermal insulation.

In practice, rockets often rotate around their longitudinal roll-axis. The rotation depends on the type of the rocket, and the guidance system, ranging from 0 for non-rotating-rockets to several tens of Hz for slow rotating rockets, or up to several hundreds of Hz for fast-rotating-rockets (or gyroscopically stabilized rockets). During the operating time of the thruster, the rocket rotates by a certain angle having an impact on the resulting force. This angle depends on the spin rate and the operating time of the thruster, and it must be minimal. As example, for an artillery rocket with a spin about 25 Hz, for a permissible deflection angle up to 90°, thruster operating time is about 10 ms.

To achieve the desired short operating time of the rocket motors, it is necessary to use a propellant with a high burning rate, specific shapes of propellant grain, and high motor pressure. Certain grain configurations in solid propellant rocket motors (SPRM) offer high surface burning areas and fast burning, such as multi-perforated cylinders, multiple-tubes and spirals.

Thrusters design

To assess the design possibilities of a high-performance thruster block made of aluminum alloy, it is necessary to evaluate the maximum operating parameters that can be achieved in a specific configuration of the control block. For the calculations and experimental measurements of performance, a model of a thrusters control block of artillery rocket was chosen. The rocket motors operating time of 11 ms was specified for the typical rotation of such projectiles. An operating pressure of 200 bars was chosen to achieve a high burning rate for the available propellant material. In order to test the thermal resistance of the thruster block and apply highstrength aluminum alloy, the size of the thruster propellant grain was varied in a thrust range from 500 N to 1100 N.

Thrusters used in missile control systems have some specific requirements similar to impulse motors, compared to other types of rocket motors [10, 11], such as: rapid ignition, short operating time, high burning rate propellant, high operating pressure, and a compact size. To predict the impulse thruster performances, different mathematical models were proposed. The study [11] is dedicated to the design of impulse thrusters, with focus on performances, their dynamics and the high burning rate propellants. Thrusters can be designed using the rocket motors design process, as given in [12], which provides an overview of SPRM performances requirements, propellant properties, motor components, and performance equations. Živković *et al.* [13], a method is proposed to determine realistic internal ballistic coefficients and performance parameters, including specific impulse, thrust coefficient, and discharge coefficient. These characteristic rocket motors performance parameters are used for initial rocket motors design, as described in [14], to determine starting parameters such as propellant mass, rocket motors structure mass and geometry, propellant burning rate, nozzle geometry, *etc.*

Internal ballistic calculation

After more precise design of the propellant grain geometry, and the structural elements of the rocket motors, and also after determining the burning rate law, more accurate performances can be predicted using the unsteady internal ballistic mathematical model [13]. This unsteady calculation is necessary to take into account intensely transient processes which occurs during operation of impulse rocket motors. The pressure-time, thrust-time and burned web-time curves can be predicted solving the system of differential equations presented:

$$\frac{d}{dt}p_{c}(t) = \frac{RT_{c}A_{b}(t)r(p_{c},t)}{V_{c}(t)} - \frac{A_{t}}{V_{c}(t)}\sqrt{\kappa RT_{c}\left(\frac{2}{\kappa+1}\right)^{\frac{\kappa+1}{\kappa-1}}p_{c}(t) - \frac{A_{b}(t)r(p_{c},t)p_{c}(t)}{V_{c}(t)}}$$
(1)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}x(t) = r(p_c, t) \tag{2}$$

$$A_b(t) = A_b \Big[x(t) \Big] \tag{3}$$

$$V_{c}(t) = V_{c}(0) + \int_{0}^{t} A_{b}(t)r(t)dt$$
(4)

$$r(p_c,t) = r[p_c(t)] \tag{5}$$

$$F(t) = C_F p_c(t) A_t \tag{6}$$

In the internal ballistic calculation, the initial pressure was set to 50 bars, which represent the pressure generated by the igniter at the beginning of the combustion process, and a thrust coefficient of 1.35 was used. For more precise calculations of the change of burning surface area $A_b(x)$, it is necessary to apply numerical methods for burnback analysis [15], in order to account for complex geometry or manufacturing tolerances of the propellant grain. The burning rate law $r(p_c)$ must be obtained experimentally, to achieve satisfying accuracy.

To verify the theoretical results obtained by mathematical models, and to validate the design, it is essential to conduct experimental tests, and then compare results. To cover a wide range of thrust, three models of thrusters are designed. The geometry of rocket motors and propellant type is fixed, and also the operating pressure, which allows the design of a common combustion chamber for all models, with the possibility of installing a modular nozzle. The internal diameter of the combustion chamber is 24 mm, for a length of 13.5 mm. Initial design calculations have permitted to obtain results shown in tab. 1.

Table 1. Characteristic parameters of the three designed motors

Domonoston	Value		
Parameter	Motor 1	Motor 2	Motor 3
Force [N]	500	800	1100
Operating time [second]	0.011	0.011	0.011
Internal pressure [Pa]	200.105	200.105	200.105
Propellant mass [kg]	0.00272	0.00427	0.0059
Mass-flow rate [kgs ⁻¹]	0.2473	0.3879	0.5366
Throat diameter [m]	0.0048	0.006	0.0071
Nozzle exit diameter [m]	0.020	0.026	0.031

Propellant grain design

The selection of the propellant is one the most important processes in the rocket motors design to achieve the required performances. It directly influences the key parameters of the rocket motors such as: pressure and thrust – time curves, operating time, case size, *etc*. Thrusters are employed to deliver short-duration impulses using fast-burn propellants with an adequate grain configuration. In this paper, only conventional double based propellant for impulse motors is considered. For the selected propellant with high burning rate, a thermochemical calculation has been performed [16]. The characteristics of the applied propellant and combustion products are provided in tab. 2. The burn rate for chosen propellant is experimentally measured. Figure 2 shows the burn rate of the solid propellant as a function of the combustion chamber pressure.

The required operating time of the rocket motors, operating pressure, and burning rate define the burning web at 0.325 mm, *i.e.* thickness of the propellant grain 0.65 mm. To achieve the required thickness spiral tape shape grain is chosen, fig. 3. The grain geometries of each motor are shown in tab. 3.

Table 2. Propellant characteristics

Characteristics	Value
Density [kgm ⁻³]	1620
Specific heat ratio [–]	1.24
Temperature of combustion [K]	2350
Molar mass [kgkmol ⁻¹]	23.1
Specific impulse [Nskg ⁻¹]	2200



Figure 2. Burn rate as a function of pressure

Table 3. Geometry	of propella	ant grains
-------------------	-------------	------------

Parameter	Grain 1	Grain 2	Grain 3
Length [m]	0.191	0.3	0.415
Width [m]	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135
Thickness [m]	0.00065	0.00065	0.00065

Burning surface area calculation for a perfect (ideal) spiral-shaped grain is done by:

$$A_{b}(x) = 2\left[(l-2x)(L-2x) + (L-2x)(h-2x) + (l-2x)(h-2x) \right]$$
(7)

In fig. 3 are shown samples of the manufactured spiral-shaped propellant grains, and in fig. 4 are shown the corresponding burning surface areas relative to the burned web. The ideal grain burning surface area assumes a perfectly uniform surface exposed to combustion, while the real grain burning surface area takes into consideration the imperfections introduced during manufacturing, such as dimensional tolerances and misalignments of internal features like tubes. These tolerances and geometric errors, provided by the manufacturer, are incorporated into the real grain burning surface area, which is determined through numerical burnback analysis [15]. This is important for the thrusters because, with small motor dimensions, tolerances can have a much greater impact.



Figure 4. Burning area change of ideal and real grains; (a) Grain 1, (b) Grain 2, and (c) Grain 3

Static tests and results analysis

In this section, series of experimental tests are conducted. Tests consist to measure certain outputs such as combustion chamber pressure and motor thrust in time for each motor. Similar experiment is described in [17]. The experimental results are compared with the corresponding results predicted by calculations. These experiments fall within the scope of verification and validation of the used mathematical model for the performance prediction of solid propellant impulse thrusters.

To conduct tests, the measurement system shown in fig. 5 is used, and it consists of:

- Experimental impulse thruster rocket motor, designed with identical internal geometry, but construction is optimized to be simple for assembly, to withstand large number of tests and to enable measurement of pressure in the combustion chamber and thrust as presented in fig. 5.
- Test stand composed of a load cell (force transducer to measure the thrust) in which the thruster is mounted by means of a coupling device, and a pressure transducer connected to the chamber.
- *Power*: the electric device needed to generate the ignition current and the associated wiring.
- Acquisition and processing: composed of an amplifier, an acquisition device and computer to process data and for visualization.

Figure 6 presents the predicted pressure-time and thrust-time curves, obtained by implementation of the unsteady internal ballistic mathematical model, compared with the experimentally measured results for the three motors using the propellant described in tab. 2 at an initial temperature of 20 $^{\circ}$ C.

Some differences are observed between the experimental and theoretical curves. The experimental pressure and thrust curves may deviate from the theoretical ones due to transitional processes during ignition and the burnout of the thruster. The typical duration of these processes is of the same order of magnitude as the effective operating time of impulse thrust-

Allouche, T., et al.: Design and Thermal Analysis of Impulse Thrusters ... THERMAL SCIENCE: Year 2025, Vol. 29, No. 1B, pp. 651-662



Figure 5. (a) Schematic of the measurement system for static tests and (b) test stand installation



Figure 6. Analytical and experimental pressure and thrust-time curves; (a) Motor 1, (b) Motor 2, and (c) Motor 3

ers, making their influence on the overall curve shape significant. Slight deviations in thruster and propellant geometry or the ignition process, can lead to discrepancies in the experimental curves. These differences can be also attributed to measurement uncertainties, grain mass inaccuracy, grain sliver remaining after combustion reaches the web, propellant fragmentation, *etc.* Significant curve deformations are observed in the tests of Motors 1 and 2 as shown in figs. 6(a) and 6(b), while Motor 3, fig. 6(c) exhibits a curve shape that corresponds well to the expected combustion of the propellant grain.

Generally, the results demonstrate relatively good agreement in terms of values and curves shape, between the analytical predictions and the experimental data within the error margins less than 5% for pressure and thrust integral and less than 10% for effective time, as illustrated in fig. 6. This concordance validates the mathematical model used for performance predictions and confirms its applicability in the solid propellant impulse thrusters design, with considerations of the thruster's sensitivity to ignition and errors in grain geometry.

Thermal analysis

Thrusters used in missile control systems must be as lightweight as possible to achieve better missile performance. Optimization of the weight can be achieved by reduction of the case and nozzle walls thickness and by using lightweight materials. The control block case is made of aluminum alloy 7050, or similar. Nozzle insert from high density graphite is applied in the maximal thermal loaded throat area. The objective is to design a control block section intended to house multiple thrusters, which size must be minimal, as well as the distance separating them. Two negative effects may occur in this construction:

- During thruster operation, the inner walls heat up, and the temperature of the inner wall layers rises significantly, due to the high mass flux of the combustion products. If the temperature profile reaches a high value (close to 500 K), where generally the strength of aluminum alloy rapidly decreases, there will be a reduction in the load-bearing capacity of the wall, compromising the thruster's function.
- During the operation time, internal walls of a thruster accumulate heat, which will be conducted through structure, during the time. This heat transfer can potentially cause an auto-ignition of the neighboring thrusters.

With a distance of 3 mm separating two adjacent thrusters, and which represents the calculated thickness a combustion chamber wall for one thruster, analyses of the heat transfer within the control block during the time activation of one thruster are conducted. To this end, to determine the thermal endurance limit of the selected structure, the three described thrusters are analyzed using a complex unsteady CFD and heat transfer simulations.

Input parameters of simulation

- The simulation model of the combustion products flow and the heat transfer consists of:
- the thruster's fluid and the solid domain geometry as represented in fig. 7,
- the internal ballistic operating regime,
- thermo-physical characteristics of combustion products [18] given in fig. 8,
- thermo-physical characteristics of case and nozzle materials given in fig. 9 (experimentally determined), and
- turbulent characteristics of the internal flow.

For the simulation, a 2-D axisymmetric geometry model is created for each motor, with the same case and different propellant grain and nozzle. The models are divided into three zones. The fluid domain corresponding to the combustion products flow space, and the solid regions corresponding to the motor case, nozzle and throat insert. The turbulence of the combustion products flow is calculated using the RNG k- ε turbulence model, while the flow within the boundary-layer is modeled using scalable wall functions. A hybrid mesh is generated for

Allouche, T., *et al.*: Design and Thermal Analysis of Impulse Thrusters ... THERMAL SCIENCE: Year 2025, Vol. 29, No. 1B, pp. 651-662

all thruster models. Adaptation technique is used to achieve uniform y^+ distribution (near 10) in boundary-layer zones for accurate capturing heat transfer process, regarding FLUENT User's Guide [19]. A convergence study was performed to assess the accuracy of the results by testing different mesh densities. The mesh elements are sized to accurately capture flow and heat transfer characteristics, with a total of around 300000 elements for each thruster model.



Figure 7. Simulation model; (a) structure diagram and (b) grid diagram

Boundary conditions are defined by the internal ballistic parameters and the combustion characteristics of the propellant, which are provided in tab. 4.



Table 4. Boundary conditions

Figure 8. Thermo-physical characteristics of the combustion products; (a) specific heat capacity, (b) thermal conductivity, and (c) dynamic viscosity



Figure 9. Thermo-physical characteristics, specific heat and thermal conductivity of; (a) aluminum alloy 7050 and (b) high density graphite

Simulations results

To conduct simulations, the commercial software FLUENT is selected. Using this software and the CFD models, it is possible to determine the temperature distribution contours,

as well as, to extract temperature changes in time for any specified point. Two characteristic points are chosen and are fixed on the internal and external wall as shown in fig. 7(b). Figure 10 represents the temperature contour of each motor at t = 11 ms (at the end of the operating time).

The simulation results show that even for fast burning process, the motor casing is significantly heated. During the thruster's operating time, the heat propagates through the motor case and the wall temperature increases along the thickness. Comparing all motors, the third motor shows the greatest heat propagation since it has the highest products mass-flow. According to temperature field, the maximum stress occurs at the nozzle throat. High temperatures are reached for the inner wall and the nozzle throat comparing to the outer wall, this is due to direct contact between these zones and the combustion gases, while the outer wall receives heat only by conduction through the walls material.



Figure 10. Temperature distribution at t = 11 ms for; Motor 1 (a), Motor 2 (b), and Motor 3 (c)

For a calculation step of 1 ms, the temperature change in two selected characteristics points (inner and outer wall of case, shown in fig. 10), for each motor is given in fig. 11.





For the Motor 1 and Motor 2, the inner wall temperature at t = 11 ms rises from 300 K up to 332 K and 371 K, and then decreases to 311 K and 319 K, respectively at t = 150 ms. The outer wall temperature rises from 300-303 K and 307 K at t = 11 ms, then keep rising to reach 310 K and 321 K, respectively at t = 33 ms then start to decrease until equilib-

rium at 300 K. These reached temperatures are far from the material strength loss temperature (\approx 500 K) or the melting point of the aluminum alloy (\approx 750 K). From a thermal perspective, Motor 1 and 2 are suitable for use.

On another side, for the Motor 3 the inner wall temperature rises from 300-548 K at t = 11 ms, which is higher than the temperature of load-bearing capacity of the wall. The outer wall temperature rises from 300-325 K at t = 11 ms, and then keep rising up to 374 K at t = 35 ms. These temperature values pose a potential risk to the reliability of the thruster's function, indicating that the configuration of Motor 3 is critical concerning the thermal durability of the applied material and thruster design.

Because of the significant thermal load in the nozzle throat, which is about 1000 K for all Motor models (for Motor 3 is above 1100), this part of the motor (nozzle throat) must be made from a more temperature-resistant material, such as high density graphite.

Conclusions

In this paper, a design and thermal analysis of impulse thrusters for control systems of guided missiles is investigated. Using the unsteady internal ballistic mathematical model, three models of thrusters were designed with identical casing and different nozzles and propellant grains. Prototypes of these motors and spiral shaped propellant grains were constructed, then tested on a static test bench. The good agreement between the experimental results, and the calculation predictions validates the mathematical model and demonstrates its use possibility for further impulse thrusters design.

Spiral-shaped propellants, thanks to their high surface burning area, show a high burn rate and very short operating time, what is sought in impulse thrusters. They also have a nearly neutral thrust force, what makes them favorable to use, and suitable for control systems application. Manufacturing irregularities of the propellant grain may influence the thruster's operational time, the shape of the thrust-time curve, and, consequently, its overall performance.

Thermal analysis demonstrated that, even for very short operating time of impulse thrusters, the heat transfer occurs, making it necessary and essential to consider thermal loads in their design. Aluminum alloys, due to their high strength to weight ratio, can be used to construct control block of missiles, while also minimizing its weight and cost comparing to other materials.

The designed and researched thruster models indicate that there is a critical amount of propellant material within the given thruster geometry at which thermal overload of the applied material occurs. If the system requires a higher mass-flow rate within this geometry, modifications to the solution are necessary, either by employing thermal insulation or using thermally resistant materials.

Nozzle throat always represents the most sensitive zone to thermal stresses, based on this, they always should be constructed using thermally resistant materials.

The study highlights the importance of material selection, thermal analysis, and propellant design for optimizing impulse thruster performance and ensuring the reliability of control systems of missiles. Incorporating impulse thrusters into missile control systems represents a significant advancement. Future work could involve validation of thermal simulations through experimental methods, using thermocouples or infrared cameras to measure temperatures at key thruster locations. Additionally, the design of a more compact missile control block could be explored, either by using spherical thrusters or by reducing the thruster's length, which would result in lighter and more efficient missile control systems.

 T_c – temperature of combustion, [K]

Nomenclature

- A_b burning surface area, [m²]
- A_t nozzle throat area, [m²]
- C_F thrust coefficient, [–]
- C_p specific heat capacity, [JK⁻¹kg⁻¹]
- F thrust force, [N]
- h spiral thickness, [m]
- L spiral length, [m]
- l spiral width, [m]
- p_c chamber pressure, [Pa]
- R gas constant, [Jkg⁻¹K⁻¹]
- r burning rate, [ms⁻¹]

t - time, [second]

- V_c free volume in the combustion chamber, [m³]
- x burned web thickness, [m]

Greek symbols

- κ specific heat ratio, [–]
- λ thermal conductivity, [Wm⁻¹K⁻¹]
- μ dynamic viscosity, [kgms⁻¹]
- ρ_p propellant density, [kgm⁻³]

References

- Karthikeyan, T. V., Kapoor, A. K., *Guided Missiles*, Defence Research and Development Organization, Delhi, India, 1990
- [2] Palumbo, N. F., Guest Editor's Introduction: Homing Missile Guidance and Control, Johns Hopkins APL Technical Digest, 29 (2010), 1, 8
- [3] Gupta, S. K., et al., Trajectory Correction Flight Control System using Pulsejet on an Artillery Rocket, Defence Science Journal, 58 (2008), 1, pp. 15-33
- Glebocki, R., Jacewicz, M., Sensitivity Analysis and Flight Tests Results for a Vertical Cold Launch Missile System, *Aerospace*, 7 (2020), 168
- Bagley, C. J., General Dynamics Corp, Lateral Thruster for Missiles. Patent 4,967,982, https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/43/02/e2/02235cbc360569/US4967982.pdf, 1990
- [6] Pavković, B., et al., Enhancing the Precision of Artillery Rockets Using Pulsejet Control Systems with Active Damping, Scientific Technical Review, 62 (2012), 2, pp. 10-19
- [7] ***, Oryx, https://www.oryxspioenkop.com/2021/05/novel-capabilities-ukraines-vilkha-mrl.html?m=1, 2021
- [8] Živković, S., Technical Solutions and Gasodynamic Efficiency of Thrust Vector Control Systems for Missiles (in Serbian), MTI, Belgrade, Serbia, 2015
- [9] Divac, S., Elements of Solid Propellants Rocket Motors Construction (in Serbian), in: Solid Propellant Rocket Motors MTI, Belgrade, Serbia, 2013, pp. 223-280
- [10] Zečević, B., et al., Specific Design Features of Solid Propellant Rocket Motors for Shoulder-Launched Weapon Systems, Proceedings, 8th International Armament Conference on Scientific Aspects of Armament and Safety Technology, Pultusk, Poland, 2010
- [11] Caveny, L. H., Summerfield, M., Micro-Rocket Impulsive Thrusters, Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Sciences, Princeton University, New Jersey, USA, 1971
- [12] Platzek, H., Preliminary Solid Rocket Motor Design Techniques, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, Cal., USA, 1975
- [13] Živković, S., et al., Experimental Determination of Rocket Motor Internal Ballistic Coefficients and Performance Parameters, Proceedings, OTEH 2014: 6th International Scientific Conference on Defensive Technologies, Belgrade, Serbia, 2014, pp. 289-295
- [14] Živković, S., et al., Solid Propellant Rocket Motor Components Initial Design, Proceedings, OTEH 2011: 4th International Scientific Conference on Defensive Technologies, Belgrade, Serbia, 2011
- [15] Marjanović, G., et al., Program "SVOD" for Solid Propellant Grain Design, Proceedings, OTEH 2012: 5th International Scientific Conference on Defensive Technologies, Belgrade, Serbia, 2012
- [16] Wolszakiewicz, T., et al., Thermochemistry of the Binary System Nitrocellulose+2,4-Dinitrotoluene, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 77 (2004), July, pp. 353-361
- [17] Alazeezi, M., et al., Two-Component Propellant Grain for Rocket Motor Combustion Analysis and Geometric Optimization, *Thermal Science*, 26 (2022), 2B, pp. 1567-1578
- [18] Živković, S., et al., Experimental and Simulation Testing of Thermal Loading in the Jet Tabs of a Thrust Vector Control System, *Thermal Science*, 20 (2016), Suppl. 1, pp. S275-S286
- [19] ***, ANSYS Inc, Fluent User's Guide, http://www.ansys.com

Paper submitted: September 12, 2024

Paper revised: December 1, 2024 Paper accepted: December 7, 2024 2025 Published by the Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia. This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 terms and conditions.

662