STUDY ON THE DAMAGE EVOLUTION AND WATER LOSS OF AQUIFER UNDER COAL MINING

by

Heping YAN^{*a,b*}, Guangyu MU^{*c*}, Yugui YANG^{*c**}, and Chao QIU^{*c*}

^a School of Resources and Geosciences, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou, China ^b Shaanxi 194 Coal Geological Co., Ltd.,Tongchuan, China ^c State Key Laboratory for Geomechanics and Deep Underground Engineering, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou, China

> Original scientific paper https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI230722025Y

In this study, a stress-damage-seepage coupling model is established to explore the water inrush mechanism of mining workface. The laws of water loss in aquifers, damage evolution and seepage distributions of overlying strata are analyzed in the process of mining. The stress concentration phenomenon is obvious at both ends of goaf. The damage zone presents the characteristics of 'saddle shape' with higher at two ends and lower at the middle of goaf. The permeability decreases in the compressive stress zone around the goaf, and increases in the tensile stress zone. The increase of leakage affects the water resource conservation and mining safety. Key words: water inrush, damage evolution, overlying strata, seepage law

Introduction

The coal resources are abundant in the Ordos Basin of Inner Mongolia and Tarim Basin of Xinjiang. The water-conducting fracture zone of the coal seam in the Jurassic coalfield is highly developed, which makes the overlying Cretaceous sandstone aquifer easy to conduct. Mine water inrush not only causes production safety problems, but also the shortage of water resources. The contradiction between the large amount of water inrush caused by mining and the safe exploitation of coal resources also needs to be solved urgently. It is of great significance to study the law of water loss under mining for groundwater resources protection and safe mining.

Scientific prediction on the amount of water inflow of mining goaf is important for improving the control technology and ensuring the mining safety [1]. Wang *et al.* [2] and Cui *et al.* [3] believe that mine water inrush is mainly caused by the interaction between the fissure extension, seepage state and stress evolution, which leads to the rock damage and rupture to form a penetrating water-conducting channel. Guo *et al.* [4] and Yang *et al.* [5] investigated the parameter changes of stress and seepage during the mining, respectively. Zhao *et al.* [6, 7] established the aquifer groundwater loss analysis model and summarized the aquifer *lateral* direct *and vertical leakage* composite water loss model. Although many researches on water inrush problem have been conducted, but the issues on the theory of stress-seepage-damage coupling in rock strata and the law of damage evolution during mining are still not clear yet.

^{*}Corresponding author, e-mail: ygyang2009@126.com

In this study, a stress-damage-seepage coupling model is established to explore the water inrush mechanism of mining workface. The laws of water loss in aquifers, damage evolution and seepage distribution of overlying strata are analyzed in the process of mining.

Governing equations

According to the theory of elastic mechanics and porous media, the displacement field equation in the elastic zone of rock mass is given [7]:

$$Gu_{i,kk} + \frac{G}{1 - 2\nu} u_{k,ki} - \alpha p_{,i} + f_i = 0$$
(1)

where G is the shear modulus of rock mass, G = 2E(1 + v), v – the Poisson ratio of rock mass, E – the elastic modulus of rock mass, u_i – the displacement component in the *i* direction, α – the Biot's coefficient, $0 < \alpha \le 1$, and p – the pore pressure of rock mass.

Darcy's law is mainly used to describe the fluid-flow in rock mass. It takes the effect of gradient pressure and permeability into account for describing the fluid-flow. According to the porous media theory, the flow governing equation which is based on the mass conservation equation of fluid and Darcy's law can be given [8]:

$$-\nabla \left[\rho \frac{k_{dc}}{\mu} (\nabla p_{dc} + \rho g) \right] = Q_m \tag{2}$$

where k_{dc} is the permeability of rock mass, ρ – the fluid density, μ – the hydrodynamic viscosity coefficient, p_{dc} – the pore pressure of porous rock mass, and Q_m – the source-sink term.

For the dynamic evolution distribution of damage in the rock mass, the damage equation under the uniaxial stress state is expressed [9]:

$$D = \begin{cases} 0 & (0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_f) \\ \frac{\varepsilon_u (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_f)}{\varepsilon (\varepsilon_u - \varepsilon_f)} & (\varepsilon_f < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_u) \end{cases}$$
(3)

where *D* is the damage variable, ε_f – the limiting strain of damage evolution threshold under the uniaxial stress state, and ε_u – the ultimate strain.

For 3-D stress state, the equivalent total strain can be expressed:

$$\varepsilon = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \varepsilon_3^2}$$

The equivalent tensile strain:

$$\varepsilon_{t} = \sqrt{\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i}^{2}} (\varepsilon_{i} > 0)$$

and the equivalent compressive strain

$$\varepsilon_e = \sqrt{\sum_j \varepsilon_j^2} (\varepsilon_j < 0)$$

The damage variable can be expressed:

$$D = \alpha_t D_t + \alpha_e D_e \tag{4}$$

where $\alpha_t = (\varepsilon_t / \varepsilon)^2$ and $\alpha_e = (\varepsilon_e / \varepsilon)^2$.

According to the relationship between the porosity of rock and stress state [10]:

$$\varphi = (\varphi_0 - \varphi_r) \exp(-\alpha_{\varphi} \sigma_{\nu}) + \varphi_r \tag{5}$$

where φ_0 is the original porosity, φ_r – the limit value of porosity under high pressure, α_{φ} – the porosity stress sensitivity coefficient, and σ_v – the effective average stress, expressed:

Yan, H., *et al.*: Study on the Damage Evolution and Water Loss of Aquifer ... THERMAL SCIENCE: Year 2024, Vol. 28, No. 2A, pp. 1061-1066

$$\sigma_{\nu} = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3}{3} - \alpha p \tag{6}$$

where σ_1 , σ_2 , and σ_3 are the three principal stresses, respectively, α – the Biot coefficient, and p – the pore pressure of rock mass.

The permeability of rock mass will change with the damage evolution, expressed [11]:

$$k = k_0 \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_0}\right)^3 \exp(\alpha_k D) \tag{7}$$

where k is the permeability after damage, k_0 – the initial permeability, and α_k – the sensitivity coefficient of permeability to damage.

Project background and numerical model

The rock strata conditions of coalmining in a basin are shown in fig.1. Considering the effect of the stratum self-weight and the boundary conditions, a 3-D numerical calculation model is established. The dimensions are 5000 m \times 50 m \times 350 m in length, width and height, respectively.



Figure 1. Calculation model of coal mining

The physical and mechanical parameters of rock strata used in the calculation model are given in tab. 1. The lateral and bottom boundaries of the calculation model are the roller support, and the vertical distribution load of the equivalent overburden weight is applied to the top boundary of the model. The length of working face is 210 m. The goaf is connected with the atmosphere, and the boundary pore pressure value is approximately atmospheric pressure.

Table 1. Physical an	d mechanical	parameters	of rock
----------------------	--------------	------------	---------

Stratum	Density [kgm ⁻³]	Elastic modules [GPa]	Cohesion [MPa]	Friction angle [°]	Poisson ratio	Porosity	Permeability coefficient [m per day]
Medium sandstone	2500	1.3	3.25	32	0.29	0.22	0.050
Conglomerate	2790	2.2	5.57	37	0.24	0.35	0.008
Medium sandstone	2500	1.3	3.25	32	0.29	0.22	0.050
Coal	2110	1.7	3.02	31	0.28	0.15	0.030
Mudstone	2500	2.5	3.10	39	0.29	0.17	0.010
Siltstone	2600	1.9	3.75	35	0.26	0.30	0.017

Results and analyses

The stress in the *surrounding rock* of goaf will be redistributed during mining, then the *abutment pressure* generated in the lateral side of coal roadway. The distribution of abutment pressure can be divided into four zones roughly, as shown in fig. 2 and fig. 3. *Abutment pressure* of *surrounding rock* 200 m in front of working face is at the level of the original rock stress state, and has been affected a little by mining. Within 100-200 m in front of working face, the stress concentration degree is relatively small. The significant influence zone of rock stress is within the range of 0-100 m from the edge of goaf, and the abutment pressure reaches the peak value of 27.5 MPa at about 20 m in front of working face. The largest stress concentration coefficient is about 2.9. The abutment pressure of overburden rock reduces within the mining goaf due to stress-relaxation of mining excavation.



Figure 2. Abutment pressure distribution

Figure 3. Abutment pressure curve

After mining, the large-scale suspension of roof appears, the overlying strata comes about bending settlement, and the floor rock strata expands toward the goaf. Local tensile failure of rock strata appears on the edges of the roof and floor. The damage distribution of overlying strata presents a *saddle-shaped* feature, as shown in fig. 4. The damage evolution height of roof is larger at two ends and lower at the middle of the goaf. The damage degree of the roof reaches 0.6, and the height of damage evolution is about 120 m under the condition of full mining. The damage degree of the floor strata reaches 0.75, and the depth of damage evolution is about 40 m.





Figure 5. Pore water pressure distribution

As the edge of goaf is open to the atmosphere, the pore water pressure drops to atmosphere level along the edge of the goaf. The distribution of pore water pressure in aquifer is shown in figs. 5 and 6. It can be seen that the cone of pore pressure relief is formed in the overlying stratum.

The porosity and permeability will change with the evolution of damage, which causes the increase of the water flow velocity in strata. The distribution of the porosity after mining is shown in fig. 7. The porosities of rock stratum on the both sides of the goaf reduce about 50% due to the compression of *abutment pressure*, and the porosity of rock stratum within the goaf range, which is subjected to tensile stress, increases about 1.5 times.

1064



Because of the damage expansion of rock mass, pore water in rock strata migrates along the damage zone to the goaf. The seepage velocity is obviously increase around the goaf, and seepage vectors after mining is shown in fig. 8. Based on the results of the simulation, the water loss in the rock strata can be obtained by integrating the seepage velocity along the edge of the mining goaf. It can be seen that the total water inflow of the mining goaf of 210 m working face is 0.051 m³/s, of which 0.031 m³/s comes from the roof water inflow, accounting for 60%. The lateral side water inflow is 0.004 m³/s, which is smaller than the floor water inflow of 0.015 m³/s. The water inflow of each edge of the goaf for different working face lengths are shown in fig. 9.



Conclusion

The stress-seepage-damage coupling model is established, and the damage and seepage laws under the influence of mining are calculated. The stress concentration phenomenon is obvious at both ends of goaf. The damage zone presents the characteristics of *saddle shape* with higher at two ends and lower at the middle of the goaf.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2021YFC2902101) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 42271139and No. 41871063).

Nomenclature

f = 1	body	force,[Nm	-3]
			_

- g acceleration of gravity, [ms⁻²]
- k permeability of rock, $[m^2]$

p – pore pressure, [Pa]

Greek symbols

- ε strain value, [–] ρ – the fluid density, [kgm⁻³] σ – stress value, [Pa]
- 0 suess value, [1 a]

- References
- Zhao, Y., et al., Mechanism of Multifield Coupling-induced Outburst in Mining-disturbed Coal Seam, Fuel, 272 (2020), 2, ID117716
- [2] Wang, J. S., et al., Study on Evolution Law of Water Inrush Co-ordinated by Seepage Stress Coupled Failure and Progressive Guiding in Floor, *China Energy and Environmental Protection*, 44 (2022), 1, pp. 16-20
- [3] Cui, G. Z., et al., Simulation of Coal Mine Water Inrush Based on StressSeepage Damage Coupling, China Mining Magazine, 30 (2021), 5, pp. 136-142
- [4] Guo, X. M., et al., Numerical Analysis on Seepage Evolution Law of Floor Cracks in Coal Mining Face, Coal Engineering, 45 (2013), 4, pp. 90-93
- [5] Yang, B., et al., Numerical Simulation of Flow Mechanism of Water-inrush in Coal Mine Based on Non-linear Flow Model, *Metal Mine*, (2017), 6, pp. 180-185
- [6] Zhao, C. H., et al., Analysis of Overlying Aquifer Water Inrush above Mining Seam in Yushen Mining Area, Journal of China Coal Society, 46 (2021), 2, pp. 523-533
- [7] Zhao, C. H., *et al.*, Construction and Application of Overburden Damage and Aquifer Water Loss Model in Medium-deep Buried Coal Seam Mining in Yushen Mining Area, *Journal of China Coal Society*, 44 (2019), 7, pp. 2227-2235
- [8] Wang, J. G., et al., Multi-Physical Coupling Theory And Numerical Simulation of Coalbed Methane Thermal cracking Mining, China University of Mining and Technology Press, Xuzhou, China, 2019, pp. 142-143
- [9] Gao, F., et al., Research on the Coal and Rock Damage and Gas Permeability in the Protective Seams Mining, Journal of China Coal Society, 36 (2011), 12, pp. 1979-1984
- [10] Zhu, W. C., et al., Investigation of Water Inrush from Karst Subsidence Column by Using a Coupled Hydromechanical Model, Chinese Journal of Underground Space and Engineering, 5 (2009), 5, pp. 928-933
- [11] Zhu, W. C., et al., Coupled Thermal-hydraulic-mechanical Model During Rock Damage and Its Preliminary Application, Rock and Soil Mechanics, 30 (2009), 12, pp. 3851-3857

Paper submitted: July 22, 2023 Paper revised: August 24, 2023 Paper accepted: December 11, 2023 © 2024 Society of Thermal Engineers of Serbia Published by the Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia. This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 terms and conditions

1066