

FEATURE OF SiO₂ CONCENTRATION ON SOLAR THERMAL FUNCTIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF FLAT PLATE SOLAR COLLECTOR

Mylswamy Thirunavukkarasu¹, Sujit Kumar², Ramadass Sakthivel³, Venkatesh Rathinavelu⁴, Manimohan Mohan Prasad⁵, Manzoore Elahi Mohammad Soudagar⁶, Mohammad Rezaul Karim⁷, Asiful Hossain Seikh⁸ and Parkunam Nagaraj⁹

¹ Department of Automobile Engineering, Dr.Mahalingam College of Engineering and Technology, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 642003, India. er_arasu@yahoo.co.in

² Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering, Bengaluru 560078, Karnataka. sujit-eee@dayanandasagar.edu

³ Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Panimalar Engineering College, Poonamallee, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600123, India. sakthivelr8@gmail.com

⁴ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Chennai 602105, Tamilnadu, India. venkidsec@gmail.com

⁵ Department of Mechanical Engineering, M.Kumarasamy College of Engineering, Karur, Tamil Nadu 639 113, India. profmmohanprasad@gmail.com

⁶ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Graphic Era (Deemed to be University), Dehradun, Uttarakhand 248002, India.me.soudagar@gmail.com

⁷ Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering, King Saud University, Riyadh 11421, Saudi Arabia.mrkarim.me@outlook.com

⁸ Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering, King Saud University, Riyadh 11421, Saudi Arabia.asifulhs.dr@hotmail.com

⁹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, K. Ramakrishnan College of Technology, Tiruchirappalli – 621 112, Tamilnadu, India

Correspondence Mial id: venkidsec@gmail.com

Abstract

Solar collectors configured with flat plate innovation pushed to drive the prime role in research in solar renewable energy due to economic, sustainable, and eco-friendly. Besides, the low thermal efficiency and heat loss are the major drawbacks of using flat plate-type solar collectors. The current research adopts the 2% volume fractions of silicon dioxide (SiO₂) nanofluid as the working fluid. Their effects on solar thermal functional characteristics of solar collectors made with the flat plate are experimentally analyzed with the mass flow rate of 2, 2.5, 3, and 3.5L/min, respectively, for air dryer applications. A flat plate solar collector's investigational thermal performance is compared with water fluid. The significance of a 2% volume fraction of SiO₂nanofluid is operating at 3.5L/min recorded higher temperature (71°C), optimum thermal efficiency of 84.1%, and better drying efficiency of 83.4%. The optimum results of the present investigation utilized for air dryer applications.

Keywords: Air dryer; Flat plate solar collector; SiO₂; Thermal performance.

1. INTRODUCTION

Economic spread with huge populations, energy consumption is significantly improved, and demand grows steadily [1], compensated by utilizing renewable energy [2]. The trend for solar renewable energy research is to expose better alternative energy sources for the future and play a vital role in solar thermal applications [3-4]. Flat plate and parabolic trough solar collectors have recently gathered importance in water heating, solar drying, heat exchangers and solar heating & cooling applications [5-6]. Besides, the heat loss due to the wide area of the flat plate and decreased thermal efficiency is the problem that occurs with flat plate solar collectors operated with water fluid [7]. Using coating material and nanofluid technology reduces the unwanted heat loss and increases the thermal performance of solar systems [8-9]. However, the design of the solar collector, operating parameters, and thermal management system is to fix the performance of the solar collector [10].

Moravej et al. [11] experimentally investigated and analyzed the flat plate solar collector thermal efficiency by using water and titanium dioxide (TiO₂) –water nanofluid combinations. They reported that the system operated with 1wt% TiO₂-water blend nanofluid gained a maximum thermal efficiency of 78% compared to water fluid. Moreover, the concentration of TiO₂ nanoparticles facilitated good thermal gain. Choudhary et al. [12] studied the flat plate solar collector adoption with iron oxide nanofluid at different mass flow rates (30 to 150 L/h). They reported that the system operated with 1 vol% iron oxide nanofluid under a 30L/h flow rate found a maximum thermal efficiency of 68% related to water fluid at a similar flow rate. Akram et al. [13] experimentally investigated and compared the thermal behaviour of flat plate solar collectors using oxide nanofluids. The experimental results showed progressive improvement in thermal properties with improved nanofluid concentration. The higher metal oxide concentration was found to have better thermal efficiency. Besides, the thermal performance of the flat plate solar collector system will be varied due to the selection of material, nanofluid, and flow conditions. They found that the system installed with a higher concentration of nanofluid offered maximum thermal conductivity and solar gain [14]. Tong et al. [15] studied and compared the energy and exergy performance of solar collectors installed with flat plates and operated by alumina (Al₂O₃) and copper oxide (CuO) under varied flow rates. The 1vol% Al₂O₃ nanofluid

adopted flat plate solar collector found significant improvement in thermal and exergy efficiency compared to other fluids and operated with 0.047kg/s flow rate was found to be higher thermal efficiency of 78%. However, the solar collector installed with a flat plate operated by oxide basis nanofluid exposed good thermal behaviour compared to others. Many researchers found good thermal conductivity and maximum heat gain [16-17].

According to the above-reported literature, the thermal performance of flat plate solar collectors is enhanced by the action of nanofluid and silicon dioxide-based nanofluid, gaining advantages over other fluids [18-22]. The present aims to enhance the solar collector configured with a flat plate via SiO₂nanofluids of 2% volume fraction under different flow rates. Effect of nanofluid concentration on thermal properties like fluid temperature associated with solar radiation, thermal efficiency of solar collector, and dryer efficiency. Output results of the present research found higher temperature gain improved thermal efficiency with better drying efficiency on 3.5L/min flow rate compared to others. With this, the best result of a solar collector is connected to a solar dryer and used for air dryer applications.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Selection of Nanofluid

Comparable, the silicon dioxide (SiO₂)nanofluid has superior thermal conductivity, better stability, enhanced heat transfer rate and compatibility (mixing with water, glycol, and oil) [18]. For this reason, the SiO₂nanofluid is chosen as a working fluid with a concentration of 2% volume fraction. Table 1 illustrates the properties of working fluids.

Table. 1 Behaviour of working fluids

Working medium	Density kg/m ³	Specific heat capacity J/kg.K	Thermal conductivity W/m.K
Water	997.72	4178	0.598
SiO ₂ nanofluid	2200	745	1.4

2.2 Solar collector installed with flat plate setup.

Fig. 1 illustrates the solar collector setup configured with a flat plate and contains a symmetric flat plate collector, absorber plate covered with a glass plate, nanofluid storage tank,

pump, air duct, and dryer assembly. The specification of a flat plate solar collector is shown in Table 2.




Figure. 1 Flat plate solar collector with air dryer setup

Here, the nanofluid is stored in the storage tank and circulated with the help of a pump assembly. With the help of a pressure gauge and flowmeter, the pressure and fluid flow are controlled. The nanofluid is forced to circulate the flat plate solar collector assembly based on designated flow rates. Its inlet and out temperature of nanofluid, temperature gain, and hot air temperature of air-dryer are noted by K-type thermo couple setup. This investigation utilized water and a 2% volume of SiO_2 nanofluid as a working medium. Its performance was measured by the flow conditions of 2, 2.5, 3, and 3.5L/min. The experiments were conducted between 8.00 and 17.00 hh:mm for five days, and the average is considered for investigation analysis.

Table. 2 Specification of flat plate solar collector

S.No	Particulars	Specification details
1	Working fluid	Water and SiO_2
2	Absorber	Copper
4	Backplate	Aluminum
5	Thickness of plate	4 cm
6	Length of pipe	1885mm
7	Area of collector	2.1 sq.m
8	Angle for collector	30°

2.3 Uncertainty analysis

According to the present investigation, every instrument had a minimum percentage calibration error during the evaluation and data collection. Besides, the Uncertainty of investigation measured results deviated from the basics and was considered an error value [5]. In the present investigation, the thermocouple, pressure gauges, flow meter, and solar analyzer are utilized, and their permissible range and percentage of Uncertainty are addressed in Table 3.

Table. 3 Uncertainty analysis for measuring instruments

S.No	Measuring parameters	Permissible range	Uncertainty percentage
1	Pressure	± 0.5 bar	± 1
2	Flow rate	± 1 lpm	± 3
3	Temperature	± 1 °C	± 2.5
4	Solar radiation	± 0.1 K	0.05%

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Variations of temperature

Fig. 2 and 3 represents the temperature variations during the evaluation of a flat plate installed solar collector operated with water and SiO₂nanofluid under different fluid flow rate.




Figure. 2 Temperature variations during water fluid

Compared to water fluid, the temperature performance of SiO₂nanofluid found higher temperature gain due to the appearance of a 2% volume fraction of SiO₂. It has better stability and enhanced thermal conductivity related to water fluid [6].

Here, Fig. 2 depicts the experimental temperature results for a flat plate configured solar collector operating with water fluid. It was noted by 8.00am to 5.00 pm, and its output temperature recorded progressive enhancement in temperature concerning an improved flow rate of 2 to 3.5L/min under 0.5L/min intervals. Besides, the temperature of water fluid steadily rises from 22°C at 8.00am to 31°C at 1.00pm under 2L/min, then falls to 26°C at 5.00pm. Likewise, other flow rates are shown, and the maximum temperature gain of 34°C is noted by 3.5L/min flow rate at 1.00pm. The enhanced temperature gain is due to variations in solar radiations [7] and copper absorber plate utilization [8]. The higher flow rate offered a better temperature rise than gravitational action [10].

Fig. 3 indicates the scatter plot curve for variation in nanofluid temperature measured by different flow rates under a constant volume fraction (2%) of SiO₂. Comparable to water fluid, it significantly enhanced fluid temperature due to high specific heat capacity behaviour. As earlier, the researchers utilized a 1% volume fraction of SiO₂ while evaluating flat plate solar collectors and found better solar radiation effect on nanofluid results and better thermal properties [19].




Figure. 3 Temperature variations during SiO₂ nanofluid

The temperature curve showed the uptrend on improved flow rate up to peak sunlight and partially decreased. Here, the flat plate installed solar collector operated with a 2% volume fraction of SiO₂ under a high flow rate found a maximum nanofluid temperature of 71°C at 1.00pm, higher than all others. The enhancement of nanofluid temperature was due to the presence of SiO₂ (2%), which offered good thermal conductivity rather than water. Besides, the nanofluid has good fluidity characteristics and better thermal properties [18]. While compared to water fluid, the temperature of SiO₂ was recorded as 1.08 times higher than the value of water fluid under a high flow rate at peak sunlight.

3.2 Thermal efficiency

According to experimental results, the Fig. 4 bar chart displays the thermal efficiency of flat plate installed solar collector efficiency measured with water and 2% volume fraction of SiO₂ nanofluid under 2-3.5L/min flow rate with 0.5L/min interval. Comparable to a water fluid-operated flat plate installed solar collector system, the SiO₂-operated flat plate configured solar collector's thermal efficiency showed better. Previously, the researchers reported that the conventional solar collector system modified with flat plate using nanofluid has better thermal performance [9-10].




Figure. 4 Thermal efficiency Vs flow rate

Here, the thermal efficiency of water-operated solar collectors with flat plate arrangement was found to be 48.2, 51.6, 54.2, and 58.4% on improved flow rates of 2, 2.5, 3, and 3.5L/min, respectively, when replacing the SiO₂nanofluid instead of water fluid recorded better thermal efficiency. The thermal efficiency of the SiO₂nanofluid-operated solar collector system showed a significant improvement due to the nanofluid. It showed 62.7, 74.6, 78.3, and 84.1% on an increased flow rate of 2-3.5L/min. The enhancement of thermal efficiency was mainly attributed to the configuration of flat plate, copped absorber, andnanofluid. The researchers reported a maximum thermal efficiency of 83.9% on a 1% volume fraction of SiO₂ fluid [19].

3.3 Dryer efficiency

Fig. 5 indicates the dryer efficiency of a flat plate installed solar collector connected with a dryer setup and operated using water and SiO₂ fluid at 2, 2.5, 3, and 3.5L/min flow rates. The dryer efficiency of the present investigation operated with SiO₂nanofluid is higher than the water fluid. The better specific heat capacity and higher temperature gain of SiO₂nanofluid is the main reason for the enrichment of dryer efficiency. Here, the dryer efficiency of the solar collector (flat plate) functioned with water fluid showed acceptable improvements like 34.2, 42.4, 45.1, and 47.1% on 2, 2.5, 3, and 3.5L/min mass flow rates. It was due to low thermal conductivity and specific heat [9].




Figure. 5 Dryer efficiency Vs flow rate

While the solar collector system adopted with a flat plate functioned dryer unit recorded a significant rise in dryer efficiency and was found to be 48.3, 66.5, 77.3, and 83.4% at an improved flow rate. The maximum dryer efficiency of 83.4% is found at a higher flow rate and improved by 0.77times higher than the water fluid in similar conditions. The optimum flow rate and 2% volume fraction of SiO₂nanofluid utilized for flat plate installed solar collector for dryer applications.

Table 4 represents the comparative values for thermal efficiency of the present research to existing literature and found that the thermal efficiency of a 2% volume fraction of SiO₂operated solar collector equipped with flat plate showed the higher value, and compared to recent literature, it was improved by 7.8%, 23.67%, and 0.2% compared by 1% TiO₂-water [11], 1vol% Fe₃O₂ [12], and 1% vol fraction SiO₂ [19] operated flat plate solar collector.

Table. 4 Comparative statement for present investigation thermal efficiency results to past literature

Nano Fluid	Flow rate	Thermal efficiency	Reference no
1% TiO ₂ -water	0.05L/s	78	11
1vol% Fe ₃ O ₂	30L/h	68	12
1% vol fraction SiO ₂	-	83.9	19

2% vol fraction SiO ₂	3.5L/min	84.1	Present investigation
----------------------------------	----------	------	-----------------------

4. CONCLUSIONS

The main objective of the present investigation is to enhance the thermal properties of flat plate installed solar collector operated with a 2% volume fraction SiO₂nanofluid, proving their significance related to dryer applications. The main key points are summarized below.

- Among the various working fluids and flow rates, the 2% volume fraction SiO₂nanofluid operated with 3.5L/min found better thermal properties of flat plate equipped solar collector and proven better dryer efficiency compared to water working fluid.
- The solar collector with a flat plate setup functioned by a 2% volume fraction of SiO₂,was found to be a good temperature gain and hiked by 1.08times higher than the water fluid under a high flow rate.
- Likewise, the thermal efficiency of flat plat linked solar collector run with a 2% volume fraction of SiO₂ recorded 84.1% under 3.5L/min flow rate.
- With these enhancement results, the dryer performed good efficiency on a 2% volume fraction of SiO₂ functioned flat plate configured solar collector and improved by 0.77 times larger than water fluid.
- In the future, it will be utilized for agriculture seed drying and investigated by various concentrations of SiO₂.

DECLARATIONS

Funding statement

No funding was used for this study

Conflicts of Interest

Authors declare no conflicts of interest

Data availability

All the data required are available within the manuscript

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their appreciation to the Researchers Supporting Project number (RSPD2023R956), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

5. REFERENCES

- 1] M. Shahbaz., C. Nwani., F.V. Bekun., and D.Q. Agozie., “Discerning the role of renewable energy and energy efficiency in finding the path to cleaner consumption and production patterns”: *New insights from developing economies*. *Energy*, 260, 2022.
- 2] P.A. Stergaard., N. Duic., Y. Noorollahi., and S. Kalogirou. “Renewable energy for sustainable development”. *Renewable energy*, 199, 2002, pp. 1145-1152.
- 3] T. Maridurai., R. Arivazhagan., S. Sivachandran., and S. Baskar., “Review on direct steam generation using concentrated solar collectors”. *AIP conference proceedings*, 2473, 2022.
- 4] G. Li., M. Li., R. Taylor., and C.N. Markides.,” Solar energy utilization: Current status and roll-out potential”. *Applied thermal engineering*, 209, 2022.
- 5] R. Venkatesh and V.Vijayan., “Performance Evaluation of Multipurpose Solar Heating System”. *Mechanical and mechanical engineering*, 20, 4, 2016, pp. 359-370.
- 6] S. Marimuthu., P. Lakshmanan., K. Raju., A.M. Krishnan., R. Venkatesh., and M. Dineshkumar., “Performance study on glazed solar air heater for agri products”, *Materials Today Proceedings*, 69, 3, 2022, pp. 633-636. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.06.530>.
- 7] A.M. Ajeena., P. Vig., and I. Farkas., “A comprehensive analysis of nanofluids and their practical applications for flat plate solar collectors: Fundamentals, thermophysical properties, stability, and difficulties”. *Energy Reports*, 8, 2022, pp. 4461-4490.
- 8] B.P. Kafle., B. Basnet., B. Timalina., and A. Adhikari. “Optical, structural and thermal performances of black nickel selective coatings for solar thermal collectors”. *Solar energy*, 234, 2022, pp. 262-274.
- 9] G.A.C. Jayaseelan., A. Surenderpaul., T.T. Selvam., A. Anderson., A. Senthilkumar, and R. Saravanan. “Assessment of solar thermal monitoring of heat pump by using zeolite, silica gel, and alumina nanofluid”. *Clean technologies and environmental policy*, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-023-02558-4>.
- 10] S. Baskar., T. Maridurai., R. Arivazhagan., and S. SivaChandran. “Thermal management of solar thermoelectric power generation”. *AIP conference proceedings*, 2473, 2022.

- 11] M. Moravej, M.V. Bozorg., Y. Guan., and Q. Xiong., "Enhancing the efficiency of a symmetric flat-plate solar collector via the use of rutile TiO₂-water nanofluids". *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, 40, 2020.
- 12] S. Choudhary., A. Sachdeva., and P. Kumar. "Time-based analysis of stability and thermal efficiency of flat plate solar collector using iron oxide nanofluid". *Applied thermal engineering*, 183, 2021.
- 13] N. Akram., E. Montazer., S.N. Kazi., and W.S. Sarsam., "Experimental investigations of the performance of a flat-plate solar collector using carbon and metal oxides based nanofluids". *Energy*, 227, 2021.
- 14] E.B. Elcioglu., A.M. Genc., Z.H. Karadeniz., and A. Turgut., "nanofluid figure-of-merits to assess thermal efficiency of a flat plate solar collector". *Energy conversion and management*, 204, 2020.
- 15] Y. Tong., H. Lee., W. Kang., and H. Cho., "Energy and exergy comparison of a flat-plate solar collector using water", *Al₂O₃ nanofluid, and CuO nanofluid*. *Applied thermal engineering*, 159, 2019.
- 16] Z. Said., P. Sharma., L.S. Sundar., and X.P. Nguyen. "Improving the thermal efficiency of a solar flat plate collector using MWCNT-Fe₃O₄/water hybrid nanofluids and ensemble machine learning". *Case studies in thermal engineering*, 40, 2022.
- 17] M.B. Sarasar., S. Saedodin., S.H. Rostamian., and O. Khaledi. "The effect of vortex generator insert and TiO₂/Water nanofluid on thermal efficiency and heat transfer of flat plate solar collector". *Sustainable energy technologies and assessments*, 53, 2022.
- 18] A. Noghrehadadi., E. Hajidavaloo., and M. Moravej., "Experimental investigation of the efficiency of square flat-plate solar collector using SiO₂/water nanofluid". *Case studies in thermal engineering*, 8, 2016, pp. 378-386.
- 19] Paranthaman Saravanan, Dharmalingam Mala, Arockiaraj Godwin Antony and Venkatraman Vijayan, "An experimental investigation on a low heat rejection diesel engine using waste plastic oil with different injection timing", *Thermal Science*. 24, 2020. pp. 453-461. <https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI190414359P>.
- 20] Krishnaswamy Haribabu, Muthukrishnan Sivaprakash, Thanikodi Sathish AU, Arockiaraj Godwin Antony and Venkatraman Vijayan, "Investigation of air conditioning temperature

variation by modifying the structure of passenger car using computational fluid dynamics", Thermal Science. 24, 2020. pp. 495-498. <https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI190409397K>.

21] Sathish Thanikodi, Dinesh Kumar Singaravelu, Chandramohan Devarajan, Vijayan Venkatraman, Venkatesh Rathinavelu, "Teaching Learning Optimization And Neural Network For The Effective Prediction Of Heat Transfer Rates In Tube Heat Exchangers", Thermal Science. 24, 2020. pp. 575-581. <https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI190714438T>.

22] Sakthivel Perumal, Srinivasan Rajendrian, Vijayan Venkatraman, Dinesh Sundaresan, Lakshmanan Pandiyan, "Experimental Study About Thermal Resistance Of Windows With Air Gap Between Two Glasses Used In Single Houses" Thermal Science. 24, 2020. pp. 515-518. <https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI190411031P>

Received: 17-04-2023

Revised: 29-09-2023

Accepted: 12-10-2023