

RIEMANNIAN SUBMERSIONS ENDOWED WITH A NEW TYPE OF SEMI-SYMMETRIC NON-METRIC CONNECTION

by

Esra KARATAS^{a*}, Semra ZEREN^a, and Mustafa ALTIN^b

^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Inonu University, Malatya, Turkiye

^b Technical Sciences Vocational School, Bingol University, Bingol, Turkiye

Original scientific paper

<https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI2304393K>

In this paper we study relations for the covariant derivative of O'Neill's tensor fields, Riemannian curvature, Ricci curvature and scalar curvature of the Riemannian submersion from a Riemannian manifold with respect to a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection to a Riemannian manifold, respectively, and demonstrate the relationship between them.

Key words: *Riemannian manifold, Riemannian submersion, curvature tensors a new type semi-symmetric non-metric connection*

Introduction

Defining smooth mappings from one manifold to another is a common method of comparing two manifolds. Submersion is one such map, with a rank equal to the target manifold dimension. The concept of submersion has enabled many important geometric studies. O'Neill [1] and Gray [2] introduced Riemannian submersion between Riemannian submanifolds. Also, for other studies on Riemannian submersion, [3-8].

On the other hand, the concept of semi-symmetric linear connection on a manifold M was introduced by Friedman and Schouten [9]. Also, the semi-symmetric metric connection was defined and studied by Hayden [10]. Later, Yano [11] researched a Riemannian manifold with a new connection, known as a semi-symmetric metric connection. Also, in 1992, Agashe and Chafle [12] introduced a new class of the semi-symmetric connection, called the semi-symmetric non-metric connection, on a Riemannian manifold and studied some of its geometric properties. After, Sengupta *et al.* [13] defined a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection on Riemannian manifold. Using these studies, Chaubey and Yildiz [14] have defined and demonstrated the existence of a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection on a Riemannian manifold. Many author have studied this type of connection [15-19].

Motivated by this studies in the present paper we consider Riemannian submersions from a Riemannian manifold with respect to a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection to a Riemannian manifold.

Preliminaries

Let (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) be C^∞ – Riemannian manifolds of dimension m and n , respectively, Riemannian manifolds. A Riemannian submersion $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a mapping of M

* Corresponding author, e-mail: esrkrts4422@gmail.com

on N satisfies axioms: i. f has maximal rank and ii. f_* preserves the lengths of horizontal vectors. If a surjective C^∞ -manifold $f: M \rightarrow N$ has maximal rank at any point M , it is a C^∞ -submersion. We obtain an integrable distribution ν that corresponds to the foliation of M by putting $\nu_p = \ker f^*_p$ for any $p \in M$. Each ν_p is defined the vertical space at p , ν is the vertical distribution, the sections of ν are the known vertical vector fields and determine a Lie subalgebra

$\chi^\nu(M)$ of $\chi(M)$. Let H represent, the complementary distribution of ν that Riemannian metric g produces. Therefore, the orthogonal decomposition $T_pM = \nu_p \oplus \mathcal{H}_p$ at any $p \in M$ is called the horizontal space at p . The sections of the horizontal distribution \mathcal{H} are the horizontal vector fields. They establish a subspace $\chi^h(M)$ of $\chi(M)$. The νE and hE stand for the vertical and horizontal components of E , respectively, for any $E \in \chi(M)$ [20]. A horizontal vector field X on M is said to be basic if X is f -related to a vector field X' on N . A Riemannian submersion determines O'Neill tensor fields with the type (1, 2). For any $E, F \in \chi(M)$, the fundamental tensor fields are:

$$\mathcal{T}_E F = h\nabla_{\nu E} \nu F + \nu\nabla_{\nu E} hF, \quad \mathcal{A}_E F = \nu\nabla_{hE} hF + h\nabla_{hE} \nu F \quad (1)$$

where $\nu: \chi(M) \rightarrow \chi^\nu(M)$ and $h: \chi(M) \rightarrow \chi^h(M)$ are vertical and horizontal projections, respectively.

For any $X, Y \in \chi^h(M)$ and $U, V \in \chi^\nu(M)$, from eq. (1), we can obtain:

$$\nabla_U V = \mathcal{T}_U V + \nu\nabla_U V, \quad \nabla_U X = \mathcal{T}_U X + h\nabla_U X, \quad \mathcal{T}_U V = \mathcal{T}_V U \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla_X U = \mathcal{A}_X U + \nu\nabla_X U, \quad \nabla_X Y = \mathcal{A}_X Y + h\nabla_X Y, \quad \mathcal{A}_X Y = -\mathcal{A}_Y X \quad (3)$$

So, if X is basic vector field then, $h\nabla_U X = h\nabla_X U = \mathcal{A}_X U$. Also, for any $E, F, H \in \chi(M)$ the covariant derivatives of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{T} are given by [4]:

$$(\nabla_E \mathcal{A})_F H = \nabla_E (\mathcal{A}_F H) - \mathcal{A}_{\nabla_E F} (H) - \mathcal{A}_F (\nabla_E H) \quad (4)$$

$$(\nabla_E \mathcal{T})_F H = \nabla_E (\mathcal{T}_F H) - \mathcal{T}_{\nabla_E F} (H) - \mathcal{T}_F (\nabla_E H) \quad (5)$$

Lemma 1. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be Riemannian submersion and X, Y basic vector fields on M , f -related to X' and Y' on N , then we have the following properties:

- i. $h[X, Y]$ is a basic vector field and $f_* h[X, Y] = [X', Y'] \circ f$,
- ii. $h(\nabla_X Y)$ is a basic vector field f -related to $(\nabla'_{X'} Y')$, where ∇ and ∇' are the Levi-Civita connection on M and N respectively,
- iii. For $\forall U \in \chi^\nu(M)$ and $\forall E \in \chi(M)$, $[E, U] \in \Gamma(\nu)$ [20].

Definition 1. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, $p \in M$ and K_p sectional curvature at p . Then the function K_p given by:

$$K_p = \frac{g_M[R(X, Y)Y, X]}{\|X\|^2 \|Y\|^2 - g_M(X, Y)^2}$$

is called the sectional curvature at p . This curvature is usually used in the in the form of $K(X, Y)$ for $K(\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, Y\})$. The Ricci curvature:

$$\text{Ric}: C_2^\infty(TM) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(TM) \quad \text{by} \quad \text{Ric}(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_M[R(X, e_i)e_i, Y]$$

Also scalar curvature $\tau \in C^\infty(M)$ by:

$$\tau = \sum_{i=1}^m R_i(e_j, e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^m g_M [R(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i]$$

where $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ is any local orthonormal frame for the tangent bundle. We denote $\text{Ric}(X, Y)$ by $S(X, Y)$ in this paper [21].

Definition 2. Let (M, g_M) be Riemannian manifold. We call f -adapted a local orthonormal frame $\{X_i, U_j\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r}$ on M , such that each X_i is horizontal and each U_j is vertical [20].

Lemma 2. Let $f: (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) . Then we get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_M (\mathcal{T}_U X_i, \mathcal{T}_V X_j) = \sum_{j=1}^r g_M (\mathcal{T}_U U_j, \mathcal{T}_V U_j) \quad (6)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_M (\mathcal{A}_X X_i, \mathcal{A}_Y X_i) = \sum_{j=1}^r g_M (\mathcal{A}_X U_j, \mathcal{A}_Y U_j) \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_M (\mathcal{A}_X X_i, \mathcal{T}_U X_i) = \sum_{j=1}^r g_M (\mathcal{A}_X U_j, \mathcal{T}_U U_j) \quad (8)$$

where $X, Y \in \chi^h(M)$, $U, V \in \chi^v(M)$, and $\{X_i, U_j\}$ is f -adaptable frame on (M, g_M) [20].

Definition 3. Let (M, g_M) be a Riemannian manifold and V be the local orthonormal frame of the vertical distribution. Then we define horizontal vector field \mathcal{N} on (M, g_M) [20]:

$$\mathcal{N} = \sum_{j=1}^r \mathcal{T}_U U_j$$

Lemma 3. Let $f: (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) . Let $\{U_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq r}$ be a local orthonormal frame of V . In this case for any $E \in \chi(M)$, we obtain [20]:

$$g_M (\nabla_E \mathcal{N}, X) = \sum_{j=1}^r g_M [(\nabla_E \mathcal{T})_{U_j} U_j, X]$$

Chaubey and Yildiz [14] introduce a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection on a Riemannian manifold as:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{2} [\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] \quad (9)$$

for arbitrary vector fields X and Y on M and η is a 1-form.

Now, we construct an example for Riemannian submersion.

Example 1. Let \mathbb{R}^5 and \mathbb{R}^4 be Riemannian manifolds endowed with g_M and g_N standard inner product metrics, where x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 and y_1, y_2, y_3 canonical coordinates on \mathbb{R}^5 and \mathbb{R}^4 respectively $f: (\mathbb{R}^5, g_M) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4, g_N)$ be submersion defined by:

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = \left(x_1, \frac{x_2 + x_5}{\sqrt{2}}, x_3, x_4 \right)$$

Then the Jacobian matrix of f is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

A straight computations gives:

$$\ker f_* = \text{span} \left\{ Z_1 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} \right\},$$

$$(\ker f_*)^\perp = \text{span} \left\{ T_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}, T_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, T_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, T_4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} \right\}$$

Also by direct computations yields:

$$f_*(T_1) = \sqrt{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, \quad f_*(T_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \quad f_*(T_3) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}, \quad f_*(T_4) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_4}$$

f is a Riemannian submersion from the following equations:

$$g_M(T_1, T_1) = g_N[f_*(T_1), f_*(T_1)], \quad g_M(T_2, T_2) = g_N[f_*(T_2), f_*(T_2)],$$

$$g_M(T_3, T_3) = g_N[f_*(T_3), f_*(T_3)], \quad g_M(T_4, T_4) = g_N[f_*(T_4), f_*(T_4)]$$

Riemannian submersions endowed with a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection

Let M be a Riemannian manifold with metric g_M , f be a Riemannian submersion from M onto a Riemannian manifold N with metric g_N and $E, F \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Then we have:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_E F = h\tilde{\nabla}_{vE} vF + v\tilde{\nabla}_{vE} hF = \mathcal{T}_E F + \frac{1}{2}\eta(hF)vE \quad (10)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_E F = v\tilde{\nabla}_{hE} hF + h\tilde{\nabla}_{hE} vF = \mathcal{A}_E F + \frac{1}{2}\eta(vF)hE \quad (11)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ are tensor fields on M admitting to a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$.

Proposition 1 Let $f: (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) . Then, $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is symmetric on the vertical distribution and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is antisymmetric on the horizontal distribution.

Proof 1. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U V = \mathcal{T}_U V$ for $U, V \in \mathcal{X}^v(M)$ and \mathcal{T} is symmetric on the vertical distribution in Riemannian submersion, we get $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_U V = \mathcal{T}_U V$. Similarly, because of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_X Y = \mathcal{A}_X Y$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}^h(M)$ and \mathcal{A} is anti-symmetric on the horizontal distribution in Riemannian submersion, we obtain $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_X Y = \mathcal{A}_X Y + 2\mathcal{A}_X Y$.

Thus, the proof is completed.

Lemma 4. Let $f : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) , (N, g_N) and $E, F, G \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Then we obtain,

- i. $g_M(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_E F, G) = g_M(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_E G, F) + 2g_M(\mathcal{T}_E F, G) + \frac{1}{2}[\eta(hF)g_M(vE, vG) - \eta(hG)g_M(vE, vF)]$
- ii. $g_M(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_E F, G) = g_M(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_E G, F) + 2g_M(\mathcal{A}_E F, G) + \frac{1}{2}[\eta(vF)g_M(hE, hG) - \eta(vG)g_M(hE, hF)]$

Proof 2. i) For any $E, F, G \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, by using (10) we obtain:

$$g_M(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_E F, G) = g_M(\mathcal{T}_E F, vG) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(hF)g_M(vE, vG) + g_M(\mathcal{T}_E F, vG)$$

$$g_M(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_E F, G) = g_M(\mathcal{T}_E F, G) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(hF)g_M(vE, hG) \tag{12}$$

Similarly we get:

$$g_M(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_E G, F) = g_M(\mathcal{T}_E G, F) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(hF)g_M(vE, vG) \tag{13}$$

If eq. (12) is subtracted from eq. (13), i. comes. By using same way, it can see easily ii. Thus the proof is completed.

Lemma 5. Let $f : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) . Then we obtain:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_V X = \mathcal{T}_V X + h\tilde{\nabla}_V X + \frac{1}{2}\eta(X)V, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_V W = \mathcal{T}_V W + \tilde{\nabla}_V W \tag{14}$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X V = \mathcal{A}_X V + v\tilde{\nabla}_X V + \frac{1}{2}\eta(V)X, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \mathcal{A}_X Y + h\tilde{\nabla}_X Y \tag{15}$$

for $V, W \in \mathcal{X}^v(M)$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}^h(M)$, where $\tilde{\nabla}_V W = v\tilde{\nabla}_V W$.

Proof 3. Since ∇ is a Levi-Civita connection, using eq. (9), we obtain:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_V X = v\left\{\nabla_V X + \frac{1}{2}[\eta(X)V - \eta(V)X]\right\} + h\tilde{\nabla}_V X$$

Also, from (10), we obtain $\mathcal{T}_V X + v\tilde{\nabla}_V X$. Thus, we can easily get the first eq. (14). The other equations can be obtained similarly.

We define following expression by using eqs. (4) and (5) for a new type semi-symmetric non-metric connections.

Definition 4. Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) . The covariant derivatives of \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{A} are:

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_E \tilde{\mathcal{T}})_F H = \tilde{\nabla}_E(\mathcal{T}_F H) - \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\nabla}_E F} H - \mathcal{T}_F(\tilde{\nabla}_E H) \tag{16}$$

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_E \mathcal{A})_F H = \tilde{\nabla}_E(\mathcal{A}_F H) - \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\nabla}_E F} H - \mathcal{A}_F(\tilde{\nabla}_E H) \tag{17}$$

where E, F, G arbitrary vector fields on $\mathcal{X}(M)$.

Lemma 6. Let $f: (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) . Then we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{A})_W &= -\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_X W + \frac{1}{2}\eta(W)X}, & (\tilde{\nabla}_V \mathcal{T})_Y &= -\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{T}_V Y + \frac{1}{2}\eta(Y)V}, \\ (\tilde{\nabla}_V \mathcal{A})_W &= -\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}_V W}, & (\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{T})_Y &= \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_X Y}\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

for any $X, Y \in \chi^h(M)$ and $V, W \in \chi^v(M)$.

Proof 4. Here, we will only give the proof of the first equation in (18). The proof of other equations in (18) can be done in a similar way. Let F be an arbitrary vector field on M . If eq. (4) is used for a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connections, then we obtain:

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{A})_W F = \tilde{\nabla}_X (\mathcal{A}_W F) - \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\nabla}_X W} F - \mathcal{A}_W \tilde{\nabla}_X F \quad (19)$$

Since A is horizontal, we see that $\mathcal{A}_W = \mathcal{A}_{hW} = 0$. Also, if we use the first eq. (15), we get:

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{A})_W F = -\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\nabla}_X W} F = -\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_X W + v\tilde{\nabla}_X W + \frac{1}{2}\eta(W)X} F = -\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_X W + \frac{1}{2}\eta(W)X} F$$

Thus the proof is completed.

Curvature relations with respect to a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection

In this section, we will obtain the Riemannian curvatures of the Riemannian manifold with respect to a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection according to the O'Neill tensor fields and their covariant derivatives.

Theorem 1. Let $f: (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) . Riemannian curvatures of M with respect to a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}(X, Y)V &= \mathcal{A}_X \mathcal{A}_Y V + h\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{A}_Y V + \mathcal{A}_X v\tilde{\nabla}_Y V + v\tilde{\nabla}_X v\tilde{\nabla}_Y V - \mathcal{T}_{[X, Y]}V - \\ & - \mathcal{A}_Y \mathcal{A}_X V - h\tilde{\nabla}_Y \mathcal{A}_X V - \mathcal{A}_Y v\tilde{\nabla}_X V - v\tilde{\nabla}_Y v\tilde{\nabla}_X V - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]}V + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\eta(V)[(\mathcal{A}_X hY + h\tilde{\nabla}_X hY) - (\mathcal{A}_Y hX + h\tilde{\nabla}_Y hX)]\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}(U, V)W &= \mathcal{T}_U \mathcal{T}_V W + h\tilde{\nabla}_U \mathcal{T}_V W - h\tilde{\nabla}_{[U, V]}W + \mathcal{T}_U \hat{\nabla}_V W + \hat{\nabla}_U \hat{\nabla}_V W - \hat{\nabla}_{[U, V]}W - \\ & - \mathcal{T}_V \mathcal{T}_U W - h\tilde{\nabla}_V \mathcal{T}_U W + \frac{1}{2}[\eta(\mathcal{T}_V W)U - \eta(\mathcal{T}_U W)V] - \mathcal{T}_V \hat{\nabla}_U W - \hat{\nabla}_V \hat{\nabla}_U W\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= \nabla'_X \nabla'_Y Z - \nabla'_Y \nabla'_X Z + \mathcal{A}_X \mathcal{A}_Y Z - \mathcal{A}_Y \mathcal{A}_X Z - 2\mathcal{A}_Z \mathcal{A}_X Y - \\ & - 2\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_X Y} Z + v\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{A}_Y Z - v\tilde{\nabla}_Y \mathcal{A}_X Z + \mathcal{A}_X \nabla'_Y Z - \mathcal{A}_Y \nabla'_X Z + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}[\eta(\mathcal{A}_Y Z)X - \eta(\mathcal{A}_X Z)Y - \eta(Z)(X, Y)]\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, V)Y &= \mathcal{A}_X \mathcal{T}_V Y + v \tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{T}_V Y + \frac{1}{2} \eta(\mathcal{T}_V Y)X + \mathcal{A}_X h \tilde{\nabla}_V Y + h \tilde{\nabla}_X h \tilde{\nabla}_V Y + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \eta(Y) \left[\mathcal{A}_X V + v \tilde{\nabla}_X V + \frac{1}{2} \eta(V)hX - (X, V) \right] - \mathcal{T}_V \mathcal{A}_X Y - \hat{\nabla}_V \mathcal{A}_X Y - \\ &- \mathcal{T}_V h \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - h \tilde{\nabla}_V \nabla'_X Y - \frac{1}{2} \eta(h \tilde{\nabla}_X Y)V - \mathcal{T}_{[X, V]} Y - h \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, V]} Y \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $U, V, W \in \chi^v(M)$ and $X, Y, Z \in \chi^h(M)$.

Proof 5. We will only give the proof of eq. (20). The proofs of eqs. (21), (22), and (23) can be obtained easily in a similar way. We define Riemannian curvature tensor of M with respect to a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ by:

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)V = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y V - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{\nabla}_X V - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} V \quad (24)$$

From $[X, Y] \in \chi^v(M)$, eqs. (14), (15), and (24), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)V &= \tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{A}_Y V + \tilde{\nabla}_X v \tilde{\nabla}_Y V - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \mathcal{A}_X V - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{\nabla}_X V + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \eta(V) \tilde{\nabla}_X hY - \frac{1}{2} \eta(V) \tilde{\nabla}_Y hX - \mathcal{T}_{[X, Y]} V - \hat{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} V \end{aligned}$$

If the necessary straightforward computation is made in the last equation, we obtain eq. (20).

Corollary 1. Let $f : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) . The \tilde{R}, R' , and \hat{R} denote the Riemannian curvatures of M, N , and $[f^{-1}(x), \hat{g}_x]$ for $x \in N$ respectively. In this case, the following equations are obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} g_M[\tilde{R}(U, V)W, F] &= g_M[\hat{R}(U, V)W, F] - g_M(\mathcal{T}_U F, \mathcal{T}_V W) + g_M(\mathcal{T}_V F, \mathcal{T}_U W) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} [\eta(\mathcal{T}_V W)g_M(U, F) - \eta(\mathcal{T}_U W)g_M(V, F)] \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$g_M[\tilde{R}(U, V)W, X] = g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_U \mathcal{T})_V W, X] - g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_V \mathcal{T})_U W, X] \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_M[\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, H] &= g_M[R'(X, Y)Z, H] - g_M(\mathcal{A}_X H, \mathcal{A}_Y Z) + g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y H, \mathcal{A}_X Z) + \\ &+ 2g_M(\mathcal{A}_Z H, \mathcal{A}_X Y) + \frac{1}{2} [\eta(\mathcal{A}_Y Z)g_M(X, H) - \eta(\mathcal{A}_X Z)g_M(Y, H)] \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_M[\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, V] &= -2g_M(\mathcal{T}_V Z, \mathcal{A}_X Y) + g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{A}_Y Z, V) - g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_Y \mathcal{A}_X Z, V) + \\ &+ g_M(\mathcal{A}_X \nabla'_Y Z, V) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y \nabla'_X Z, V) - \frac{1}{2} \eta(Z)g_M[(X, Y), V] \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_M[\tilde{R}(X, Y)V, W] &= \frac{1}{2} \{ g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{A})(Y, V), W] - g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_Y \mathcal{A})(X, V), W] - \eta(V)g_M(\mathcal{A}_X Y, W) \} + \\ &+ g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_X v \tilde{\nabla}_Y V, W) - g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_Y v \tilde{\nabla}_X V, W) - g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} V, W) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$g_M[\tilde{R}(X, Y)V, H] = g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{A})(Y, V), H] - g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_Y \mathcal{A})(X, V), H] + \frac{1}{2}\eta(V)[g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, H) - g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_Y X, H)] + g_M(\mathcal{T}_V H, [X, Y]) \quad (30)$$

$$g_M[\tilde{R}(X, Y)Y, W] = g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{T})_V Y, W] - g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_V \mathcal{A})_X Y, W] + g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y W, h\tilde{\nabla}_V X) + g_M(\mathcal{T}_W Y, v\tilde{\nabla}_V X) + \frac{1}{2}[\eta(Y)g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_V X, W) - \eta(h\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)g_M(V, W)] \quad (31)$$

$$g_M[\tilde{R}(X, V)Y, H] = g_M(\mathcal{T}_V H, \mathcal{A}_X Y) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_X H, \mathcal{T}_V Y) + g_M(h\tilde{\nabla}_X h\tilde{\nabla}_V Y, H) - g_M(h\tilde{\nabla}_V h\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, H) - g_M(h\tilde{\nabla}_{[X, V]} Y, H) + \frac{1}{2}\{\eta(\mathcal{T}_V Y)g_M(X, H) + \eta(Y)[g_M(\mathcal{A}_X V, H) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(V)g_M(X, H)]\} \quad (32)$$

where $X, Y, Z, H \in \chi^h(M)$ and $U, V, W, F \in \chi^v(M)$.

Proof 6. Here we will investigate eq. (31). The proof of other equations can be obtained in a similar way. By using eqs. (14), (15), and (23) with straightforward computations, we have:

$$g_M[R(X, V)Y, H] = g_M(v\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{T}_V Y, W) + g_M(\mathcal{A}_X h\tilde{\nabla}_V Y, W) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(Y)g_M(v\tilde{\nabla}_X V, W) - g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_V \mathcal{A}_X Y, W) - g_M(\mathcal{T}_V h\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, W) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(Y)g_M([X, V], W) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(h\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)g_M(V, W) - g_M(\mathcal{T}_{v\tilde{\nabla}_X V} Y, W) + g_M(\mathcal{T}_{v\tilde{\nabla}_X V} Y, W) \quad (33)$$

where $X, Y \in \chi^h(M)$, $V, W \in \chi^v(M)$. On the other hand from eq. (16) we get:

$$g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{T})_V Y, W] = g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{T}_V Y, W) + g_M(\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\nabla}_X V} Y, W) - g_M(\mathcal{T}_V \tilde{\nabla}_X Y, W)$$

Additionally from eq. (15) we have:

$$g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{T})_V Y, W] = g_M(\mathcal{A}_X \mathcal{T}_V Y, W) - g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{T}_V Y, W) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{T}_V Y)g_M(hX, W) - g_M(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_X V} Y, W) - g_M(\mathcal{T}_{v\tilde{\nabla}_X V} Y, W) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(V)g_M(\mathcal{T}_{hX} Y, W) - g_M(\mathcal{T}_V \mathcal{A}_X Y, W) - g_M(\mathcal{T}_V h\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, W)$$

Thus we obtain:

$$g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{T})_V Y, W] = g_M(v\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{T}_V Y, W) - g_M(\mathcal{T}_{v\tilde{\nabla}_X V} Y, W) - g_M(\mathcal{T}_V h\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, W) \quad (34)$$

Similarly from eqs. (17) and (14) we have:

$$g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_V \mathcal{A})_X Y, W] = g_M(\hat{\nabla}_V \mathcal{A}_X Y, W) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_{h\tilde{\nabla}_X V} Y, W) - g_M(\mathcal{A}_X h\tilde{\nabla}_V Y, W) \quad (35)$$

In that case, from eqs. (33), (34), and (35) we obtain eq. (31). Thus the proof is completed.

Theorem 2. Let $f : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) . Let K' and \hat{K} be sectional curvatures of N and any fibre $(f^{-1}(x), \hat{g}_x)$, respectively. Sectional curvatures of M with respect to a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}(X, V) = & g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_V \mathcal{A})_X X, V] - g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{T})_V X, V] - g_M(\mathcal{A}_X V, h\tilde{\nabla}_V X) - \\ & - g_M(\mathcal{T}_V X, v\tilde{\nabla}_V X) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(X)g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_V X, V) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(h\tilde{\nabla}_X X) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$\tilde{K}(U, V) = \hat{K}(U, V) + \|\mathcal{T}_U V\|^2 - g_M(\mathcal{T}_U U, \mathcal{T}_V V) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{T}_V V) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{T}_U V)g_M(V, U) \quad (37)$$

$$\tilde{K}(X, Y) = K'(X', Y') - 3\|\mathcal{A}_X Y\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{A}_X Y)g_M(X, Y) \quad (38)$$

where X, Y (and U, V) are orthonormal horizontal (and vertical) vector fields and $\{X_i, U_j\}$ is f -adaptable frame on (M, g_M) .

Proof 7. Since $\tilde{K}(X, V) = g_M[\tilde{R}(X, V)V, X]$ changing the roles of X and V with Y and W , respectively, in the eq. (31) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}(X, V) = & g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_V \mathcal{A})_X X, V] - g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{T})_V X, V] - g_M(\mathcal{A}_X V, h\tilde{\nabla}_V X) - \\ & - g_M(\mathcal{T}_V X, v\tilde{\nabla}_V X) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(X)g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_V X, V) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(h\tilde{\nabla}_X X)g_M(V, V) \end{aligned}$$

Considering that the vector fields U and V are orthonormal vector fields, we get eq. (36).

The eqs. (37) and (38) can be obtained in a similar way.

Theorem 3. Let $f : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds. Let S' and \hat{S} be Ricci tensors of N and any fibre $[f^{-1}(x), \hat{g}_x]$ for $x \in N$, respectively. Ricci tensors of M with respect to a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}(U, V) = & \hat{S}(U, V) + g_M(N, \mathcal{T}_U V) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{T}_U V) + \sum_i [g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_i} \mathcal{T})_U X_i, V] + \\ & + g_M(\mathcal{A}_{X_i} V, h\tilde{\nabla}_U X_i) + g_M(\mathcal{T}_V X_i, v\tilde{\nabla}_U X_i) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(X_i)g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_U X_i, V) - \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\eta(h\tilde{\nabla}_{X_i} X_i)g_M(U, V)] - \sum_j [g_M(\mathcal{T}_U U_j, \mathcal{T}_V U_j) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{T}_U V)g_M(U, U_j)] \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}(X, Y) = & S'(X', Y') \circ f - \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{A}_X Y) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(h\tilde{\nabla}_X Y) + \sum_i [3g_M(\mathcal{A}_{X_i} X, \mathcal{A}_{X_i} Y) + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{A}_{X_i} Y)g_M(X, X_i)] + \sum_j \{g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{T})_{U_j} Y, U_j] - g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_{U_j} \mathcal{A})_X Y, U_j] + \\ & + g_M(\mathcal{A}_Y U_j, h\tilde{\nabla}_{U_j} X) + g_M(\mathcal{T}_{U_j} Y, v\tilde{\nabla}_{U_j} X) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(Y)g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_{U_j} X, U_j)\} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}(U, X) = & g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_U N, X) + \sum_i \{g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{A})(X_i, U), X_i] - g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{A})(X, U)X_i] + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\eta(U)g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_X X_i, X_i) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(U)g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_X X, X_i) + g_M(\mathcal{T}_U X_i, [X, X_i])\} - \\ & - \sum_j \{g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_{U_j} \mathcal{T})_U U_j, X]\} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where $U, V \in \chi^v(M)$; $X, Y \in \chi^h(M)$, and $\{X_i, U_j\}$ is f -adaptable frame on (M, g_M) .

Proof 8. Let us first give the proof of (39). In this case, Ricci tensor of M with respect to a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is defined by:

$$\tilde{S}(U, V) = \sum_i \tilde{R}(X_i, U, X_i, V) - \sum_j \tilde{R}(U_j, U, V, U_j) \quad (42)$$

From eq. (31), we have:

$$\sum_i \tilde{R}(X_i, U, X_i, V) = \sum_i \left\{ g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_i} \mathcal{T})_U X_i, V] + g_M(\mathcal{A}_{X_i} V, h\tilde{\nabla}_U X_i) + g_M(\mathcal{T}_V X_i, v\tilde{\nabla}_U X_i) + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2}[\eta(X_i)g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_U X_i, V) - \eta(h\tilde{\nabla}_{X_i} X_i)g_M(U, V)] \right\} \quad (43)$$

On the other hand, from eq. (25), we get:

$$\sum_i g_M[\tilde{R}(U_j, U)V, U_j] = \sum_j \left\{ g_M[\hat{R}(U_j, U)V, U_j] - g_M(\mathcal{T}_{U_j} U_j \mathcal{T}_U V) + \right. \\ \left. + g_M(\mathcal{T}_U U_j, \mathcal{T}_{U_j} V) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{T}_{U_j} V)g(U, U_j) \right\} + \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{T}_U V) \quad (44)$$

So, if eqs. (43) and (44) are substituted in eq. (42), the proof is complete.

Other equations can be obtained in a similar way.

Corollary 2. Let $f: (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) . Let τ' and $\hat{\tau}$ be scalar curvatures of N and any fibre $[f^{-1}(x), \hat{g}_x]$ for $x \in N$, respectively. Scalar curvature of M with respect to a new type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau} = & \hat{\tau} + \tau' \circ f - \sum_i \eta(h\tilde{\nabla}_{X_i} U_j X_i) + \sum_{i,j} \{2g_M[(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_i} \mathcal{T})_{U_j} X_i, U_j] + 2g_M(\mathcal{A}_{X_i} U_j, h\nabla_{U_j} X_i) + \\ & + 2g_M(\mathcal{T}_{U_j} X_i, v\tilde{\nabla}_{U_j} X_i) + \eta(X_i)g_M(\tilde{\nabla}_{U_j} X_i, U_j) + 3g_M(\mathcal{A}_{X_i} U_j, \mathcal{A}_{X_i} U_j)\} - \eta(N) \end{aligned}$$

where X, Y (and U, V) are orthonormal horizontal (and vertical) vector fields and $\{X_i, U_j\}$ is f -adaptable frame on (M, g_M) .

References

- [1] O'Neill, B., The Fundamental Equations of a Submersion, *Michigan Math. J.*, 13 (1966), 4, pp. 459-469
- [2] Gray, A., Pseudo-Riemannian Almost Product Manifolds and Submersions, *J. Math. Mech.*, 16 (1967), 7, pp. 715-737
- [3] Akyol, M. A., Beyendi, S., Riemannian Submersions Endowed with a Semi-Symmetric Non-Metric Con-Nection, *Konuralp J. Math.*, 6 (2018), 1, pp. 188-193
- [4] Sahin, B., Riemannian Submersions, Riemannian Maps in Hermitian Geometry, and their Applications, *Academic Press*, (2017)

- [5] Demir, H., Sari, R., Riemannian Submersions with Quarter-Symmetric Non-Metric Connection, *Journal Engine.Tech. Appl. Sci.*, 6 (2021), 1, pp. 1-8
- [6] Demir, H., Sari, R., Riemannian Submersions Endowed with a Semi-Symmetric Metric Connection, *Tbilisi Centre for Mathematical Sciences*, 10 (2022), pp. 99-108
- [7] Narita, F., Riemannian Submersions with Isometric Reflections with Respect to the Fibers, *Kodai Math. J.*, 16 (1993), 3, pp. 416-427
- [8] Sahin, B., Riemannian Submersions from Almost Hermitian Manifolds, *Taiwan. Math.*, 17 (2013), 2, pp. 629-659
- [9] Friedmann A., Schouten J. A., Uber die Geometrie der Halbsymmetrischen Ubertragungen, *Math. Zeitschr.*, 21 (1924), Dec., pp. 211-223
- [10] Hayden, H. A., Subspaces of A space with Torsion, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 34 (1932), 1, pp. 27-50
- [11] Yano, K., On Semi-Symmetric Metric Connection, *Revue Roumaine de Math., Pure et Appliquées*, 15 (1970), pp. 1579-1586
- [12] Agashe, N. S., Chafle, M. R., A Semi-Symmetric Non-Metric Connection on a Riemannian Manifold, *Indian J., Pure Appl. Math.*, 23 (1992), pp. 399-409
- [13] Sengupta, J., et al., On a Type of Semi-Symmetric Non-Metric Connection on a Riemannian Manifold, *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, 31 (2000), 12, pp. 1659-1670
- [14] Chaubey, S. K., Yildiz, A., Riemannian Manifolds Admitting a New Type of Semi-Symmetric Non-Metric Connection, *Turk. J. Math.*, 43 (2019), 4, pp. 1887-1904
- [15] Pak, E., On the Pseudo-Riemannian Spaces, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 6 (1969), 1, pp. 23-31
- [16] Andonie, O. C., Smaranda, D., Certaines Connexions Semi Symetriques, *Tensor*, 31 (1977), 1, pp. 8-12
- [17] Nirmala, S., et al, A Semi-Symmetric Non-Metric Connection on a Riemannian Manifold, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 23 (1992), 6, pp. 399-409
- [18] Ozgur, C., Sular, S., Warped Products with a Semi-Symmetric Non-Metric Connection, *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.*, 36 (2011), Apr., pp. 461-473
- [19] De, U. C., et al., A Special Type of Semi-Symmetric Non-Metric Connection on a Riemannian Manifold, *Fac. Ser. Math.*, 31 (2016), 2, pp. 529-541
- [20] Falcitelli, M., et al., Riemannian Submersions and Related Topics, World Scientific, (2004)
- [21] Gundmundson, S., An Introduction to Riemannian Geometry, Lecture Notes, University of Lund, Faculty of Science, (2014)