

GALERKIN APPROACH TO APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS OF SOME BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

by

Yi TIAN^{a,c*} and Xiu-Qing PENG^b

^a College of Data Science and Application, Inner Mongolia University of Technology,
Hohhot, China

^b School of Economics and Management, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot, China

^c Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Engineering and Technology Research
Center of Big Data Based Software Service, Hohhot, China

Original scientific paper

<https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI2303957T>

This paper uses the Galerkin method to find approximate solutions of some boundary value problems. The solving process requires to solve a system of algebraic equations, which are large and difficult to be solved. According to the Groebner bases theory, an improved Buchberger's algorithm is proposed to solve the algebraic system. The results show that the Galerkin approach is simple and efficient.

Key words: *Galerkin method, boundary value problem, improved version of Buchberger's algorithm, Groebner bases*

Introduction

With the rapid development of natural science, various kinds of analytical methods were used to handle non-linear problems arising in mathematical, mechanics, economics, management fields and so forth, the most advanced method is the homotopy perturbation method [1-7] and its various modifications, e.g., the reducing rank method [8], the higher-order HMP [9], He-Laplace method [10], Li-He's modification [11, 12]. Other famous methods include the variational iteration method and its modifications [13, 14], and Taylor series method [15]. The variational-based method is also very attractive [16, 17], the variational principle plays a key role in both numerical and analytical analyses of a practical problem [18, 19], the idea of which is mainly reformulate the original equation as a variational problem and then to minimize the corresponding variational functional within a set of trial functions. Sometimes it is not used possibly due to the difficulty arising in establishing its variational formulation. When we apply mathematical methods to solve various practical problem, the simpler is the better [20, 21], though there are many advanced methods for theoretical analysis of the non-linear behavior for a practical problem [22-25].

The Galerkin approach [26, 27] is more flexible than the variational approach because it is applicable to a broader range of problems, for example, when a variational reformulation of the original equation is not possible.

* Corresponding author, e-mail: ttxsun@163.com

Boundary value problems arise everywhere in engineering [28], the purpose of this paper is to give a demonstration of the application of the Galerkin method to some boundary value problems.

Groebner bases

In the following, we list the basic results of Groebner bases theory [29].

Definition 1. Let $f = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ be a non-zero polynomial in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let $>$ be a monomial order.

- The multidegree of f is:

$$\text{multideg}(f) = \max(\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n : a_{\alpha} \neq 0)$$

(the maximum is taken with respect to $>$).

- The leading coefficient of f is:

$$\text{LC}(f) = a_{\text{multideg}(f)} \in k$$

- The leading monomial of f is:

$$\text{LM}(f) = x^{\text{multideg}(f)}$$

(with coefficient 1).

- The leading term of f is:

$$\text{LT}(f) = \text{LC}(f)\text{LM}(f)$$

Definition 2. Let $f, g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be non-zero polynomials,

- If $\text{multideg}(f) = \alpha$ and $\text{multideg}(g) = \beta$, then let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$, where $\gamma_i = \max(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ for each i . We call x^{γ} the least common multiple of $\text{LM}(f)$ and $\text{LM}(g)$, it can be written $x^{\gamma} = \text{LCM}[\text{LM}(f), \text{LM}(g)]$.
- The **S-polynomial** of f and g is the combination:

$$S(f, g) = \frac{x^{\gamma}}{\text{LT}(f)} f - \frac{x^{\gamma}}{\text{LT}(g)} g$$

Definition 3. Let $I \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an ideal other than $\{0\}$,

- We denote by $\text{LT}(I)$ the set of leading terms of elements of I . Thus:

$$\text{LT}(I) = \{cx^{\alpha} : \text{there exists } f \in I \text{ with } \text{LT}(f) = cx^{\alpha}\}$$

- We denote by $\langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle$ the ideal generated by the elements of $\text{LT}(I)$.

Theorem 1. (Improved version of Buchberger's algorithm [29]) Let $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$ be a polynomial ideal. Then a Groebner basis I can be constructed in a finite number of steps by the following algorithm:

Input:

$$F = (f_1, \dots, f_s)$$

Output:

$$\mathbf{G}, \text{ a Groebner basis for } I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$$

```

{initialization}  $B := \{(i, j) : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq s\}$ 
 $G := F$ 
 $t := s$ 
{iteration} WHILE  $B \neq \emptyset$  DO
    Select  $(i, j) \in B$ 
    IF  $\text{LCM}(\text{LT}(f_i), \text{LT}(f_j)) \neq \text{LT}(f_i)\text{LT}(f_j)$  AND
    Criterion  $(f_i, f_j, B)$  is false THEN
         $S := \overline{S(f_i, f_j)}^G$ 
        IF  $S \neq 0$  THEN
             $t := t + 1; f_t := S$ 
             $G := G \cup \{f_t\}$ 
             $B := B \cup \{(i, t) : 1 \leq i \leq t - 1\}$ 
             $B := B - \{(i, j)\}$ 
    
```

Galerkin method for the ODE boundary value problems

Consider the following example [30]:

$$y'' + y + x = 0 \tag{1}$$

with the boundary conditions:

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = 0 \tag{2}$$

where y' is the differentiation with respect to x .

We choose a trial function satisfying all the boundary conditions:

$$\varphi_n(x) = x^n(x-1) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots) \tag{3}$$

the approximate solution can be expressed:

$$y_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i (x-1) \tag{4}$$

Case 1. $n = 1$

$$y_1 = ax(x-1) \tag{5}$$

where a is an unknown constant to be further determined.

Substituting (5) into the following Galerkin equation:

$$\int_0^1 G_1 \varphi_i dx = 0 \tag{6}$$

where $G_i = y_i'' + y_i + x (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$. From eq. (6), we obtain:

$$-\frac{1}{12} - \frac{3a}{10} = 0 \tag{7}$$

and we have $a = -5/18$, and the first-order approximate solution of eq. (1) is:

$$y_1 = -\frac{5}{18}x(x-1) \quad (8)$$

Case 2. $n = 2$

$$y_1 = ax(x-1) + bx^2(x-1) \quad (9)$$

where a, b are unknown constants to be further determined.

Substituting (9) into the following Galerkin equation:

$$\int_0^1 G_2 \varphi_i dx = 0 \quad (10)$$

where $\varphi_i \in \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$. From eq. (10), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{12} - \frac{3a}{10} - \frac{3b}{20} &= 0 \\ -\frac{1}{20} - \frac{3a}{20} - \frac{13b}{105} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and we have $a = -71/369, b = -7/41$, and the second-order approximate solution of eq. (1) is:

$$y_2 = -\frac{71}{369}x(x-1) - \frac{7}{41}x^2(x-1) \quad (12)$$

Case 3. $n = 3$

$$y_1 = ax(x-1) + bx^2(x-1) + cx^3(x-1) \quad (13)$$

where a, b, c are unknown constants to be further determined.

Substituting (13) into the following Galerkin equation:

$$\int_0^1 G_3 \varphi_i dx = 0 \quad (14)$$

where $\varphi_i \in \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3\}$. From eq. (14), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{12} - \frac{3a}{10} - \frac{3b}{20} - \frac{19c}{210} &= 0 \\ -\frac{1}{20} - \frac{3a}{20} - \frac{13b}{105} - \frac{79c}{840} &= 0 \\ -\frac{1}{30} - \frac{19a}{210} - \frac{79b}{840} - \frac{103c}{1260} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Equation (15) seems to be large and difficult to solve by hand, even by software, such as MAPLE and MATLAB. In this paper, we use an improved version of Buchberger's algorithm to solve this problem.

Let I be the ideal:

$$I = \left\langle -\frac{1}{12} - \frac{3a}{10} - \frac{3b}{20} - \frac{19c}{210}, -\frac{1}{20} - \frac{3a}{20} - \frac{13b}{105} - \frac{79c}{840}, -\frac{1}{30} - \frac{19a}{210} - \frac{79b}{840} - \frac{103c}{1260} \right\rangle \subset k[a, b, c] \quad (16)$$

corresponding to the system of eq. (15), and we want to find all points in $\mathbf{V}(I)$.

Using the improved version of Buchberger's algorithm with the lexicographic order $a > b > c$, we find the reduced Groebner basis:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= -7 + 299c \\ g_2 &= 2380 + 12259b \\ g_3 &= 13811 + 73554a \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

thus, from $g_1 = g_2 = g_3 = 0$, we have:

$$\mathbf{V}(I) = \mathbf{V}(g_1, g_2, g_3) = \left\{ a = -\frac{13811}{73554}, b = -\frac{2380}{12259}, c = \frac{7}{299} \right\} \quad (18)$$

and the third-order approximate solution of eq. (1) is:

$$y_3 = -\frac{13811}{73554}x(x-1) - \frac{2380}{12259}x^2(x-1) + \frac{7}{299}x^3(x-1) \quad (19)$$

Galerkin method for the partial differential equation boundary value problems

Consider the following example:

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + u = x^2 + t \quad (20)$$

with the boundary conditions:

$$u(0, t) = u(1, t) = u(x, 0) = u(x, 1) = 0 \quad (21)$$

We choose a trial function satisfying all the boundary conditions:

$$\varphi_n(x) = x^n(x-1)t(t-1) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (22)$$

the approximate solution can be expressed:

$$u_n(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i(x-1)t(t-1) \quad (23)$$

Case 1. $n = 1$

$$u_1 = ax(x-1)t(t-1) \quad (24)$$

where a is a unknown constant to be further determined.

Substituting (24) into the following Galerkin equation:

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_1 \varphi_1 dx dt = 0 \quad (25)$$

where $G_i = (u_i)_{tt} - (u_i)_{xx} + (u_i) - x^2 - t$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). From eq. (25), we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{900}(-20 + a) = 0 \quad (26)$$

and we have $a = 20$, and the first-order approximate solution of eq. (20) is:

$$u_1 = 20x(x-1)t(t-1) \quad (27)$$

Case 2. $n = 2$

$$u_2 = ax(x-1)t(t-1) + bx^2(x-1)t(t-1) \quad (28)$$

where a, b are unknown constants to be further determined.

Substituting (28) into the following Galerkin equation:

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_2 \varphi_i dx dt = 0 \quad (29)$$

where $\varphi_i \in \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$. From eq. (29), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-40 + 2a + b}{1800} &= 0 \\ \frac{-315 + 14a + 40b}{25200} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

and we have $a = 1285/66, b = 35/33$, and the second-order approximate solution of eq. (20) is:

$$u_2 = \frac{1285}{66} x(x-1)t(t-1) + \frac{35}{33} x^2(x-1)t(t-1) \quad (31)$$

Case 3. $n = 3$

$$u_3 = ax(x-1)t(t-1) + bx^2(x-1)t(t-1) + cx^3(x-1)t(t-1) \quad (32)$$

where a, b , and c are unknown constants to be further determined.

Substituting (32) into the following Galerkin equation:

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_3 \varphi_i dx dt = 0 \quad (33)$$

where $\varphi_i \in \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3\}$. From eq. (33), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-280 + 14a + 7b + 6c}{12600} &= 0 \\ \frac{-315 + 14a + 40b + 39c}{25200} &= 0 \\ \frac{-205 + 12a + 39b + 42c}{25200} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Let I be the ideal:

$$I = \left\langle \frac{-280 + 14a + 7b + 6c}{12600}, \frac{-315 + 14a + 40b + 39c}{25200}, \frac{-205 + 12a + 39b + 42c}{25200} \right\rangle \subset k[a, b, c] \quad (35)$$

corresponding to the original system of eq. (34), using the improved version of Buchberger's algorithm with the lexicographic order $a > b > c$, we find the reduced Groebner basis:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= 490 + 27c \\ g_2 &= -5705 + 297b \\ g_3 &= -10795 + 594a \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

thus,

$$\mathbf{V}(I) = \mathbf{V}(g_1, g_2, g_3) = \left(a = \frac{10795}{594}, b = \frac{5705}{297}, c = \frac{490}{27} \right) \quad (37)$$

and the third-order approximate solution of eq. (20) is

$$u_3 = \frac{10795}{594} x(x-1)t(t-1) + \frac{5705}{297} x^2(x-1)t(t-1) + \frac{490}{27} x^3(x-1)t(t-1) \quad (38)$$

Conclusions

In this paper, we use Galerkin method to solve some boundary value problems. The solving process requires to solve a system of algebraic equations, which are large and difficult to solve by hand, the Groebner bases theory (the improved version of Buchberger's algorithm) is applied to solve this problem, which gives the smallest Groebner basis. In the future, we will try to do some improvements on the Buchberger's algorithm [29, 31] for computing Groebner bases.

Acknowledgment

The study was funded by Humanities and Social Science Foundation of Ministry of Education of China (20YJC630111), the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia (2020BS07004).

References

- [1] He, J. H., et al., Homotopy Perturbation Method for the Fractal Toda Oscillator, *Fractal and Fractional*, 5 (2021), 3, 93
- [2] He, J. H., et al., A Simple Frequency Formulation for the Tangent Oscillator, *Axioms*, 10 (2021), 4, 320
- [3] He, J. H., El-Dib, Y. O., Periodic Property of the Time-Fractional Kundu-Mukherjee-Naskar Equation, *Results in Physics*, 19 (2020), 1, 103345
- [4] He, J. H., El-Dib, Y. O., Homotopy Perturbation Method for Fangzhu Oscillator, *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 58 (2020), 10, pp. 2245-2253
- [5] He, C. H., El-Dib, Y.O., A Heuristic Review on the Homotopy Perturbation Method for Non-Conservative Oscillators, *Journal of Low Frequency Noise Vibration and Active Control*, 41 (2021), 2, pp. 572-603
- [6] Skrzypacz, P., et al., Dynamic Pull-in and Oscillations of Current-Carrying Filaments in Magnetic Micro-Electro-Mechanical System, *Communications in Non-linear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 109 (2022), June, 106350
- [7] Shen, Y., El-Dib, Y. O., A Periodic Solution of the fractional Sine-Gordon Equation Arising in Architectural Engineering, *Journal of Low Frequency Noise, Vibration & Active Control*, 40 (2021), 2, pp. 683-691
- [8] He, J. H., El-Dib, Y. O., The Reducing Rank Method to Solve Third-Order Duffing Equation with the Homotopy Perturbation, *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, 37 (2020), 2, pp. 1800-1808
- [9] Anjum, N., He, J. H., Higher-Order Homotopy Perturbation Method for Conservative Non-linear Oscillators Generally and Microelectromechanical Systems' Oscillators Particularly, *International Journal of Modern Physics B*, 34 (2020), 32, 2050313
- [10] Nadeem, M., Li, F. Q., He-Laplace Method for Non-linear Vibration Systems and Non-linear Wave Equations, *Journal of Low Frequency Noise Vibration and Active Control*, 38 (2019), 3-4, pp. 1060-1074
- [11] Li, X. X., He, C. H., Homotopy Perturbation Method Coupled with the Enhanced Perturbation Method, *Journal of Low Frequency Noise, Vibration & Active Control*, 38 (2019), 3-4, pp.1399-1403
- [12] Ji, Q. P., et al., Li-He's Modified Homotopy Perturbation Method Coupled with the Energy Method for the Dropping Shock Response of a Tangent Non-linear Packaging System, *Journal of Low Frequency Noise, Vibration & Active Control*, 40 (2021), 2, pp. 675-682

- [13] Anjum, N., He, J. H., Analysis of Non-linear Vibration of Nano/Microelectromechanical System switch Induced by Electromagnetic Force Under Zero Initial Conditions, *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 59 (2020), 6, pp. 4343-4352
- [14] Wang, K. L., et al., Physical Insight of Local Fractional Calculus and Its Application to Fractional KdV-Burgers-Kuramoto Equation, *Fractals*, 27 (2019), 7, 1950122
- [15] He, J. H., Taylor Series Solution for a Third Order Boundary Value Problem Arising in Architectural Engineering, *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 11 (2020), 4, pp. 1411-1414
- [16] Ma, H. J., Simplified Hamiltonian-based frequency-amplitude Formulation for Non-linear Vibration System, *Facta Universitatis. Series: Mechanical Engineering*, 20 (2022), 2, pp. 445-455
- [17] Ma, H. J., Fractal Variational Principle for an Optimal Control Problem, *Journal of Low Frequency Noise, Vibration & Active Control*, 41 (2022), 4, pp. 1523-1531
- [18] He, C. H., A Variational Principle for a Fractal Nano/Microelectromechanical (N/MEMS) System, *International Journal of Numerical Methods for Heat & Fluid Flow*, 33 (2022), 1, pp. 351-359
- [19] He, J. H., et al., Variational Approach to Fractal Solitary Waves, *Fractals*, 29 (2021), 7, 2150199
- [20] He, J. H., When Mathematics Meets Thermal Science: The Simpler is The Better, *Thermal Science*, 25 (2021), 3B, pp. 2039-2042
- [21] Qian, M. Y., He, J. H., Two-Scale Thermal Science for Modern Life – Making the Impossible Possible, *Thermal Science*, 26 (2022), 3B, pp. 2409-2412
- [22] Wang, C. C., et al., Theoretical Analysis of the Non-Linear Behavior of a Flexible Rotor Supported by Herringbone Grooved Gas Journal Bearings, *Tribology International*, 40 (2007), 3, pp. 533-541
- [23] Chen, C. L., et al., Performance Analysis and Optimization of a Solar Powered Stirling Engine with Heat Transfer Considerations, *Energies*, 5 (2012), 9, pp. 3573-3585
- [24] Chen, C. L., et al., Terminal Sliding Mode Control for Aeroelastic Systems, *Non-linear Dynamics*, 70 (2012), 3, pp. 2015-2026
- [25] Yau, H. T., Yan, J. J., Adaptive Sliding Mode Control of a High-Precision Ball-Screw-Driven Stage, *Non-linear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 10 (2009), 3, pp. 1480-1489
- [26] Rashidinia, J., Nabati, M., Sinc-Galerkin and Sinc-Collocation Methods in the Solution of Non-linear Two-Point Boundary Value Problems, *Computational & Applied Mathematics*, 32 (2013), 2, pp. 315-330
- [27] Secer, A., et al., Sinc-Galerkin Method for Approximate Solutions of Fractional Order Boundary Value Problems, *Boundary Value Problems*, 281 (2013), 1, pp. 1-14
- [28] Alkan, S., Approximate Analytical Solutions of a Class of Non-Linear Fractional Boundary Value Problems with Conformable Derivative, *Thermal Science*, 25 (2021), Suppl. 1, pp. S121-S130
- [29] Cox, D. A., et al., *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms: An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra* 3rd ed., Springer, New York, USA, 2007
- [30] Lao, D. Z., *Fundamentals of the Calculus of Variations* 3rd ed. (in Chinese), National Defense Industry Press, Beijing, 2015
- [31] Zhang, S. H., Euclid's Algorithm, Gauss' Elimination and Buchberger's Algorithm, *Mathematics Applicata*, 31 (2018), 1, pp. 148-152