NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF HEAT TRANSFER AND FLOW CHARACTERISTICS FOR PLATE FIN-AND-TUBE HEAT EXCHANGER WITH RING-BRIDGE SLIT FINS

by

Zihao WANG and Xinping OUYANG*

University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China

Original scientific paper https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI230123096W

In this paper, a new type of ring-bridge slit fins of plate fin-and-tube was proposed. It was studied by 3-D numerical simulation of heat transfer and flow using FLUENT. A sample of plate fin-and-tube exchanger with ring-bridge slit fins was tested. The reliability of the simulation was demonstrated by contrasting the simulated data with the experimental data. Six different structural parameters were investigated for their effects on the flow and heat transfer performance of the plate fin-and-tube exchanger with ring-bridge fins. It was found that the fin pitch had the most significant effect on the heat transfer and flow performance of the ringbridge slit fins. Proper reduction of the front ring-bridge slit angle of the sample was beneficial to the overall heat transfer performance. The overall heat transfer performance was weakened by both decreasing or increasing the rear ring-bridge slit angle of the sample. The optimal fin structure was obtained by the orthogonal experimental method.

Key words: plate fin-and-tube exchanger, ring-bridge slit fins, heat transfer enhancement, numerical simulation

Introduction

The air conditioning and refrigeration industries both make extensive use of the finand-tube heat exchanger in their systems. The majority of heat exchangers is used to enable thermal transfer between air and liquid. Since the air-side thermal resistance usually makes up more than 85% of the overall heat resistance of conventional fin-and-tube heat exchangers [1], it is necessary to develop high efficiency fins to reduce the air-side thermal resistance, thereby improving the total heat transfer coefficient, reducing the heat transfer area of fin-and-tube heat exchangers, and reducing material consumption. The development of high efficiency fins must take into account both heat transfer performance and fluid-flow pressure drop. A significant increase in fluid-flow pressure drop is not necessary to achieve improvements in heat transfer performance.

Researchers have conducted numerous experimental and modelling studies in order to enhance the heat transfer efficiency of fin-and-tube heat exchangers and optimize the fluid-flow pressure drops. Li *et al.* [2] proposed and experimentally investigated a new kind of flat fin. The enhanced longitudinal vortex generator (LVG) fin exhibits superior heat transfer capability compared to the wavy fin, according to the entropy analysis. Kim [3] conducted an experimental research on the heat transfer and friction properties of radial slit-finned heat exchangers.

^{*}Corresponding author, e-mail: xpoy@163.com

Wang and Chi [4] studied the air-side performance of a fin-and-tube heat exchanger with a flat fin structure. Wu *et al.* [5] conducted experimental study on the impact of fin pitch and tube diameter on the efficiency of air-side heat transfer in a fin-and-tube heat exchanger using a bent delta winglet vortex generator. Kong [6] found that the geometry of the slit fins can only improve the heat transfer in the mainstream zone. The continuous rectangular strip of slit fin exhibits better overall performance than the alternate upper and lower slit fin. Zhang [7] showed that the herringbone wave fin with convex strips improved heat transmission while having a slightly higher pressure drop. Li *et al.* [8, 9] conducted an experimental and numerical study of the heat transfer and friction characteristics of a new round-convex strip heat exchanger. The result of simulation shows that reducing the transverse tail pitch has the greatest improvement in performance.

By numerical simulation, Li et al. [10] found that the pressure drop was biggest in the channel formed by the wavy fins. Even though it has good heat transfer, it has the worst overall performance when flow resistance is taken into account. Kumar et al. [11] studied the effect of various parameters on the thermodynamic performance of air-cooled condensers. Numerical studies [12-16] of the thermodynamic performance of the vortex generator fins were conducted. The result shows that the arrangement of winglets reduces the wake area behind the tube. The field synergy principle is used to explain how LVG to enhance heat transfer. Fan et al. [17] proposed a combination of a LVG and slit parallel convex strips. Lin et al. [18] found that the interrupted annular groove was effective in guiding and restraining the separation vortex. However, the radial and circumferential position of the annular groove has little influence on the thermal performance. Zeeshan et al. [19] found that elliptical tubes had the best thermal-hydraulic performance among round, elliptical, and flat tubes. Zhao et al. [20] investigated the thermodynamic performance of the air side of a rectangular elliptical fin-and-tube heat exchanger using numerical simulations. Wu et al. [21] proposed a new type of semi-dimpled slit fin and analyzed its heat transfer and flow properties. Gholami et al. [22] investigated the thermodynamic performance of single and triple wavy fin heat exchangers with elliptical tubes by using numerical methods. Zhi et al. [23] considered the effects of geometric parameters and Reynold number on the thermodynamic performance of the slit fin based on a response surface methodology.

Many scholars have made great efforts to enhance air-side heat transfer and have proposed many methods to enhance heat transfer. In this paper, based on the previous research on open silt fins, a new type of open silt fin is proposed that is arranged with raised intermittent ring strips on the fins around the base tube. This kind of fin enhances heat transfer while the increase in pressure drop loss is relatively small. The raised ring-bridge structure also improves the mechanical strength of the fin. In this study, numerical simulation and test of the heat transfer and flow characteristics are carried out for this plate fin-and-tube exchanger with ring-bridge slit fins. Through orthogonal test analysis, the optimal fin structure is derived based on four structural parameters.

Experiment of plate fin-and-tube heat exchanger with ring-bridge slit fins

In this paper, a sample of a plate fin-and-tube heat exchanger with ring-bridge slit fins is first experimented with. The ring-bridge slit fin is shown in fig. 1. The values of the convective heat transfer coefficient and the friction factor outside the tube are obtained. Subsequently, simulations are carried out on the experimental sample, and the simulation results are verified against the results of the experiments. The validated simulation method is then used to study the performance of the fin-and-tube under various working situations and structural factors.

78



Figure 1. Geometry of ring-bridge slit fins; (a) overall structure, (b) base tube and ring-bridge dimensions, (c) straight bridge dimension, and (d) section A-A fin cross-section

The experiment was conducted on a test bench in a recirculating wind tunnel. The sample was arranged in the test section of the wind tunnel. Hot water was passed through the inside of the tube with the inlet temperature controlled at 60 °C \pm 0.1 °C, and the water flow velocity maintainedat about 1.5 m/s. The air-flow velocity (face velocity) was varied from 1.5-4.5 m/s and the tests of seven operating points with different air-flow velocities were conducted.

The basic parameters of the sample are described in tab. 1. The geometry of the finand-tube is shown in fig. 1. The heat exchanger tube is made of a C70600 copper-nickel alloy and the fin is made of C11000 red copper. There are four segments of ring-bridges around the tube and a straight bridge between two tubes.

Table 1. plate	fin-and-tube	diameters
----------------	--------------	-----------

Parameter	Value	
Fin pitch, F _p [mm]	1.52	
Fin thickness, δ [mm]	0.12	
Base tube outer diameter, D [mm]	10.35	
Transverse tube pitch, S_p [mm]	20	
Longitudinal tube pitch, L _p [mm]	15.5	
Tube length, L [mm]	600	
Tube number, M	89	
Tube row, N	3	
Slit height, <i>h</i> _c [mm]	0.34	
Straight bridge height, h_s [mm]	0.34	
Front ring-bridge angle, $\beta_{\rm f}$ [°]	45	
Rear ring-bridge angle, β_r [°]	45	

Wang, Z.,	et al.: Num	nerical Sin	nulation o	of Heat	Transfe	er and F	low
TH	ERMAL SO	CIENCE: \	Year 2024	4, Vol. 2	28, No.	1A, pp.	77-88

Model description and numerical calculation methods

The fin-and-tube has been modeled for the experimental sample. Due to the periodic nature of the fin structure, one of the smallest periodic units of the fin-and-tube has been taken as the object of study. As shown in fig. 1(d), the region depicted in the rectangular imaginary box is selected as the calculation domain.

As shown in fig. 2, the actual calculation domain extends six times the longitudinal tube pitch at the inlet to maintain a constant inlet velocity and fifteen times the longitudinal tube



Figure 2. Fin-and-tube 3-D calculation domain

pitch at the outlet to ensure no re-circulation flow. The area width is the transverse tube pitch. As shown in fig. 1(d), the length of the area is the transverse tube pitch. The upper boundary of the calculation domain is positioned 1 mm above the upper surface of the fin, and the lower boundary is positioned 0.4 mm below the lower surface of the fin, for a total height of 1.52 mm.

The physical parameters are taken to be constant, and the fluid is considered to be incompressible. The fluid-flow is turbulent. Both the flow and heat transfer are in a steady-state. The temperature of the tube wall is assumed to stay constant since the material of the tube has a high thermal conductivity, and the heat transfer coefficient inside the tube is always substantially greater than the coefficient outside the tube. The temperature of the fin surface is determined by synthetically computing the energy equation in the coupled state of air and fin.

The equations of continuity, momentum, and energy control can be described.

Continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho u_i) = 0 \tag{1}$$

Momentum equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho u_i u_k) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\mu \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} \right) - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}$$
(2)

Energy equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho u_i T) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\lambda}{c_p} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right)$$
(3)

In this study, the effect of the boundary-layer is considered including vortex and flow separation in the wake region. After considering the various scenarios that may arise during the simulation process, the realizable k- ε model has chosen as the most suitable option.

The boundary conditions are set It is assumed that the velocity of the air entering the inlet of the calculation region is constant in the *x*-direction, and the air has a constant temperature T_{in} and a velocity of zero in the *y*- and, *z*- directions. The outlet condition uses the free outflow boundary condition:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = 0$$

The left and right bounds are subject to symmetric boundary constraints:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = 0, \ w = 0, \ \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = 0$$

The derivative term, which is perpendicular to the boundary, is thought to be zero. The temperature of the tube wall is thought to be a constant T_{w} . The top and lower bounds are subject to periodic boundary conditions:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} = 0, \quad v = 0, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = 0$$

The solid surface of the model is automatically set to a no-slip boundary condition.

Parameter definition and independence verification *Parameter definition*

The values of h, j factor, and f factor are determined by the following equations. The fluid properties are determined by the qualitative temperature:

$$h = \frac{\varphi}{A_{\text{total}}\Delta T} \tag{4}$$

where A_{total} is the total heat exchange area, ΔT – the log-average temperature difference, and ϕ – the amount of exchanged heat:

$$A_{\text{total}} = A_{\text{tube}} + A_{\text{fin}}\eta \tag{5}$$

where A_{tube} is the tube area, A_{fin} – the fin area, and η – the fin efficiency:

$$\Delta T = \frac{T_{\rm in} - T_{\rm out}}{\ln \frac{T_{\rm in} - T_{\rm w}}{T_{\rm un} - T_{\rm w}}} \tag{6}$$

where T_{in} is the air inlet temperature, T_{out} – the air outlet temperature, and T_w – the outer surface temperature of the base tube:

$$j = \frac{\mathrm{Nu}}{\mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{Pr}^{1/3}} \tag{7}$$

$$f = \frac{A_{\rm c}}{A_0} \frac{2\Delta p}{\rho u_{\rm max}^2} \tag{8}$$

where A_c is the minimum flow cross-section area, A_0 – the total surface area, and Δp – the pressure drop of air.

Grid independence verification

In order to perform the grid-independence verification, seven different grid cells ranging from 0.75-4.2 M are investigated under the same conditions. The result calculated for different grid cells is shown in fig. 3. The Nusselt number error calculated for the 2M and 4.2M grids is about 2% at maximum. Therefore, considering both computational speed and accuracy, the solution of the 2M grid system can be considered as a grid-independent solution and adopted in the subsequent simulations. The error of Nusselt number calculated by 2M grid and 4M grid is 1.04%. The grid diagram of the fin surface is given in fig. 4.

Validation of calculation results

To validate the computational model, the numerical simulation result of the ringbridge plate fin-and-tube heat exchanger is compared with the experimental result.



Figure 3. Grid-independence verification

3.19M 3.7M 4.2M

Grid number

2M 2.6M

0.75M 1.45M



Figure 5. Comparison of simulated and experimental heat transfer coefficient and friction coefficient

Wang, Z., *et al.*: Numerical Simulation of Heat Transfer and Flow ... THERMAL SCIENCE: Year 2024, Vol. 28, No. 1A, pp. 77-88



Figure 4. Schematic of fin surface grid

Based on the experimentally measured fluid-flow volume and inlet and outlet temperature data, the heat exchange rate and the total heat transfer coefficient can be calculated. The classical Dittus-Boelter formula is used to calculate the convective heat transfer coefficient of the water in the tube. It is possible to separate the convective heat transfer coefficient of the air outside the tube from the total heat transfer coefficient. The friction factor can be estimated using the pressure drop that isobtained experimentally. Two different turbulent models are applied in the simulation. It is shown that the heat transfer coefficient and friction factor predicted by the numerical model are compared with the outcomes of the experiments in fig. 5.

Two different computational models are used in the simulations. For the k- ε model, the maximum error of the heat transfer coefficient is 2.97% and the minimum is 0.25%. The maximum error of the friction coefficient is 6.96% and the minimum is 0.97%. In contrast, for the k- ω model, the maximum error of the heat transfer coefficient is 18.4% and the minimum is 16.9%, while the maximum error of the friction coefficient is 22.7% and the minimum is 11%. Therefore, the k- ε model is considered more suitable for the calculation of this case and it will be used as the calculation model for similar cases of subsequent variable operating conditions or variable structures. It can be used for subsequent research analysis of fin-and-tube structure optimization.

Calculation of various cases of fin-and-tube and analysis of results

In this section, the effects of longitudinal tube pitch, transverse tube pitch, fin pitch, ring-bridge height, and front and rear ring-bridge slit angle on heat transfer and fluid-flow performance are simulated and analyzed, respectively.

Effect of structural parameters

The effect of longitudinal tube pitch

The longitudinal tube pitch of the experimental sample is 15.5 mm. The simulation results, shown in fig. 6, take into account the variation of longitudinal tube pitch from 15 mm to 16.25 mm for the same air-flow velocity (U_f =1.5 m/s). With increasing longitudinal tube pitch, both the *j*-factor and *f*-factor observe to decrease. The *j*-factor is reduced by about 6% and the *f*-factor is reduced by about 8%. The increase in the longitudinal tube pitch makes the disturbance in the air-flow weaker and the rea-



Figure 6. Effect of longitudinal tube pitch on *j*-factor, *f*-factor, and performance index

son for the decrease in the *j*-factors and *f*-factors. In summary, the smaller the transverse tube pitch is within a reasonable range of fin structure parameters, the better the fin heat transfer performance will be.

The effect of transverse tube pitch

The transverse tube pitch of the experimental sample is 20 mm. The simulation simulation results, shown in fig. 7, take into account the variation of transverse tube pitch from 18-28 mm under the same air-flow velocity ($U_f = 1.5 \text{ m/s}$). The results demonstrate that the heat transfer coefficient, h_o , decreases as the transverse tube pitch, S_p , increases. The *j*-factor and *f*-factor increase with the increase in the tube pitch. The heat transfer coefficient is reduced by about 16%, the *j*-factor is increased by about 24%, and the *f*-factor is increased by about 36%. As the transverse tube pitch increases, the flow velocity U_{max} at the minimum cross-section decreases and the Reynolds number decreases. The efficiency of the fin is reduced. Therefore,

the average heat transfer coefficient and the Nusselt number decrease. Since the decrease in Reynolds number is greater than the decrease in Nusselt number, the *j*-factor exhibits an increase with increasing transverse tube pitch. Previous research theory shows that for air-flow passing through the same channel, the *f*-factor always increases as the Reynolds number decreases. With an increase of transverse tube pitch, the increase in circulation area makes the air-flow disturbance decrease and results in a reduction in air-flow resistance. However, as the Reynolds number decreases further, the combined effect makes the *f*-factor increase.



Figure 7. Effect of transverse tube pitch on *j*-factor, *f*-factor, and heat transfer coefficient

The effect of the ring-bridge slit height

The height of the ring-bridge slit in the experimental sample is 0.34 mm. The ringbridge slit height for simulation varies from 0.24-0.54 mm in fig. 8. As can be observed, as the height of the ring-bridge increases, so does the *j*-factor and the *f*-factor. The ring-bridge fin enhances heat transfer in two ways: - Enhance the air-flow disruption flow.

- Guide the flow of gas and increase the flow of air around the tube.

Both can be enhanced as the height of the ring-bridge increases.

In the studied range of ring-bridge heights, the equal pump power performance evaluation index ζ_p is higher than 1 in the case of heights larger than 0.34 mm. This means that



Figure 8. Effect of ring-bridge slit height on *j*-factor, *f*-factor, and performance index

compared to flat fins, the heat exchange of a fin can only be meaningfully enhanced at fin heights greater than 0.34 mm for the same pumping power. In addition, when the height of the ring-bridge reaches 0.49 mm, the *j*-factor starts to decrease, and ζ_p tends to level off when the height of the ring-bridge continues to increase. Therefore, it is not necessary to continue to increase the height of the ring-bridge. It can be considered that the fin-and-tube has the best comprehensive thermodynamic performance when the height of the ring-bridge slit is 0.49 mm.

The effect of fin pitch

In the study of the effect of ring-bridge slit fin pitch on heat transfer performance, the fin pitch is varied from 1.02 mm to 4.12 mm. The relationship between fin pitch with *j*-factor, *f*-factor, and performance index is represented in fig. 9. The performance evaluation index of heat transfer performance is defined:

$$\zeta_{\rm fp} = \frac{\frac{\dot{J}_c}{f_c^{1/3}}}{\frac{\dot{J}_{\rm fp}}{f_c^{1/3}}}$$
(9)

where j_{fp} and f_{fp} are the *j*-factor and *f*-factor at a fin pitch of 1.52 mm of the sample.



Figure 9. Effect of fin pitch on *j*-factor and *f*-factor

The *j*-factor is found to decrease with an increase of fin pitch, whereas the *f*-factor exhibits a tendency to decrease and subsequently increase with the increase of fin pitch. Among the studied fin pitch range, the comprehensive performance evaluation indexes of other pitches are less than 1 compared to the performance index of the 1.52 mm pitch. It means that 1.52 mm has the best overall performance in the studied fin pitch range. When the fin pitch is sufficiently large, its influence on the *j*-factor and *f*-factor is negligible, according to the study [24]. Also, there should be an optimum value of fin pitch. It is shown that the result is the same as the conclusion of the study [24] in fig. 9.

The effect of front and rear ring-bridge slit angle

The slit angles of the front and rear ring-bridges are shown in fig. 1(b), and those of experimental samples are 45°. Simulations are performed by changing the slit angle of the front and rear ring-bridge, from 0-80°, as a way to study its effect on the *j*-factor and *f*-factor. The result of the calculation is shown in figs. 10 and 11, where ζ_{10} and ζ_{10} are the comprehensive performance evaluation indexes of the variable slit angle of the front and rear ring-bridge, respectively.







It can be seen that both the front and rear ring-bridge slits are able to enhance heat transfer. The *j*-factor and *f*-factor show large differences with the angle changes. The ringbridge slit can be roughly divided into two parts to enhance heat transfer: one part perpendicular to the direction of incoming flow and the other part parallel to the direction of incoming flow. The first part mainly serves to enhance the gas disturbance. The latter part is mainly to guide the gas-flow, which can guide the gas-flow to the wake area behind the tube to enhance the heat exchange in the wake area. Therefore, the front and rear ring-bridge slit angles contribute positively to improving the heat transfer.

In terms of performance evaluation indexes, compared to the ring-bridge slit fins of the experimental sample, the comprehensive evaluation index is higher at an angle of $15^{\circ}-35^{\circ}$ of the front ring-bridge, reaching its highest at 25° . It indicates that the comprehensive performance of the experimental sample should be better if the front ring-bridge angle is reduced from 45°-25°. However, the performance evaluation index is less than 1 when the rear ringbridge angle is lower or higher than 45° in the sample, which indicates that the reduction or increase of the rear ring-bridge angle is not conducive to the improvement of comprehensive performance. That indicates that the disturbance effect of the rear ring-bridge slit on the rear domain of the circular tube is more effective compared to the front ring-bridge. In terms of comprehensive performance, the best angle of slit can be obtained with the front ring-bridge with an angle of 25° and the rear ring-bridge with an angle of 45°.

Orthogonal analysis

The orthogonal analysis is a statistical analysis of the experimental results using the analysis of variance method to infer the optimal combination of multiple factors through a reasonable combination of the simulated data through an orthogonal table. Based on the numerical simulation results in sections The effect of the ring-bridge slit height, The effect of fin pitch, and The effect of front and rear ring-bridge slit angle, the effects of fin height, fin pitch, and front and rear ring-bridge slit angle on heat transfer coefficient, pressure drop, and performance factor are analyzed using the orthogonal method, and the optimal combination is obtained.

The trend of the effect of fin height, fin pitch, and front and rear ring-bridge slit angle on the performance factor is obtained. Extreme difference analysis reveals that the order of the affecting factors is fin pitch > fin height > rear ring-bridge slit angle > front ring-bridge slit angle. The highest performance coefficient is obtained for the fin when the fin pitch is 1.52 mm, the ring-bridge height is 0.54 mm, the front ring-bridge slit angle is 30°, and the rear ring-bridge slit angle is 40°. An optimized structure is obtained by an orthogonal test with a fin pitch of 1.52 mm, a ring-bridge height of 0.54 mm, a front ring-bridge slit angle of 30° and a rear ring-bridge slit angle of 40°. The numerical model of the optimized structure is built using FLUENT for computational analysis. Compared to the fins with a fin pitch of 1.52 mm, a ringbridge height of 0.34 mm, and front and rear ring-bridge slit angles of 45°, the optimized fins improved the *j*-factor by 12.2%, the *f*-factor by 3.1%, the performance factor by 8.9%, and the equal pump power performance evaluation index ζ_p by 1.111.

Conclusions

In this paper, FLUENT was used to perform a numerical simulation study of a ringbridge slit fin, on which four ring-bridge slits are arranged around each heat exchanger tube. The accuracy of the numerical simulation results was verified by comparing them to the experimental data, and the conclusions are as follows.

- The effects of six fin-and-tube structural parameters (L_p , S_p , F_p , h_s , β_f , β_r) on the fin heat • transfer and flow properties were investigated. It was discovered that the j-factor and f-factor had positive correlations with S_p , h_s and negative correlations with L_p , F_p . Although the *j*-factor increases with the increase of S_p , the heat transfer coefficient of the fin surface reduces with the increase of S_0 . It means that the heat transfer coefficient of the fin decreases as the S_p increases. For the front and rear ring-bridge slit angles, the proper reduction of the front ring-bridge angle from 45° of the sample to 25° is beneficial to the overall heat transfer performance. Reducing or increasing the rear ring-bridge angle of the sample by 45° does not improve the heat transfer performance as a whole.
- Within a certain range of structural parameters, the optimal fin structure was derived by orthogonal test analysis. The optimized structure improves the *j*-factor by 12.2%, the *f*-factor by 3.1%, and the performance factor by 8.9%, and the equal pump power performance evaluation index ζ_p is 1.11. The overall performance is clearly better.

Nomenclature

- $A_{\rm fin}$ fin area, [m²]
- A_{tube} heat exchanger tube area, [m²]
- A_{total} total surface area, [m²]
- $A_{\rm c}$ minimum flow area, [m²]
- equivalent total surface area, [m²] A_0
- specific heat, [Jkg⁻¹K⁻¹]
- Ď - tube outside diameter, [mm]
- f - friction factor
- F_p - fin pitch, [mm]
- h_{\circ} - heat transfer coefficient outside tube, [Wm⁻²K⁻¹]
- height of ring-bridge fin, [mm] $h_{\rm c}$
- straight bridge height, [mm] h.

- colburn factor
- tube length, [mm] L
- $L_{\rm p}$ longitudinal tube pitch, [mm] M tube number
- N -tube row
- Nu Nusselt number, (= hD/λ)
- Pr Prandtl number
- pressure drops, [Pa] Δp
- pressure, [Pa]
- Re - Reynolds number, $(=\rho u_{\text{max}}D/\mu)$
- S_{p} - transverse tube pitch, [mm]
- Ť - temperature, [K]
- ΔT log mean temperature difference, [K]

Wang, Z., et al.: Numerical Simulation of Heat Transfer and Flow ... THERMAL SCIENCE: Year 2024, Vol. 28, No. 1A, pp. 77-88

 $U_{\rm f}$ – air-flow velocity, [ms⁻¹]

Greek symbols

- $\beta_{\rm f}$ front ring-bridge open slit angle, [°]
- $\beta_{\rm r}$ rear ring-bridge open slit angle, [°]
- δ thickness of fin, [mm]

 ζ – performance evaluation index

fin efficiency

- λ thermal conductivity, [Wm⁻¹K⁻¹]
- μ viscosity, [kgm⁻¹s⁻¹]
- ρ density, [kgm⁻³]
- ϕ heat transfer rate, [W]
- ψ orthogonal method performance factor

References

 Bhuiyan, A. A., Islam, A. K. M. S., Thermal and Hydraulic Performance of Finned-Tube Heat Exchangers under Different Flow Ranges: A Review on Modelling and Experiment, *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 101 (2016), Oct., pp. 38-59

η

- [2] Li, M. J., et al., Experimental and Numerical Study and Comparison of Performance for Wavy Fin and a Plain Fin with Radiantly Arranged Winglets around Each Tube in Fin-and-Tube Heat Exchangers, Applied Thermal Engineering, 133 (2018), Mar., pp. 298-307
- [3] Kim, N.-H., An Experimental Investigation on the Air-Side Performance of Fin-and-Tube Heat Exchangers Having Radial Slit Fins, Int. J. Air-Cond., 23 (2015), 1550021
- [4] Wang, C.-C., Chi, K.-Y., Heat Transfer and Friction Characteristics of Plain Fin-and-Tube Heat Exchangers – Part I: New Experimental Data, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 43 (2000), 15, pp. 2681-2691
- [5] Wu, X., et al., Experimental Study on the Effects of Fin Pitches and Tube Diameters on the Heat Transfer and Fluid-Flow Characteristics of a Fin Punched with Curved Delta-Winglet Vortex Generators, Applied Thermal Engineering, 119 (2017), June, pp. 560-572
- [6] Kong, Y. Q., Effects of Continuous and Alternant Rectangular Slots on Thermo-Flow Performances of Plain Finned Tube Bundles in-Line and Staggered Configurations, *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 93 (2016), Feb., pp. 97-107
- [7] Zhang, K., Experimental and Numerical Study and Comparison of Performance for Herringbone Wavy Fin and Enhanced Fin with Convex-Strips in Fin-and-Tube Heat Exchanger, *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 175 (2021), 121390
- [8] Li, X.-Y., et al., Experimental Study on Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop Characteristics of Fin-and-Tube Surface with Four Convex-Strips around Each Tube, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 116 (2018), Jan., pp. 1085-1095
- [9] Li, X.-Y., et al., Numerical Study on the Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop Characteristics of Fin-and-Tube Surface with Four Round-Convex Strips around Each Tube, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 158 (2020), 120034
- [10] Li, L., et al., Numerical Simulation on Flow and Heat Transfer of Fin Structure in Air-Cooled Heat Exchanger, Applied Thermal Engineering, 59 (2013), 1-2, pp. 77-86
- [11] Kumar, A., et al., The 3-D CFD Simulations of Air Cooled Condenser-III: Thermal-Hydraulic Characteristics and Design Optimization under Forced Convection Conditions, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 93 (2016), Feb., pp. 1227-1247
- [12] Sarangi, S. K, Mishra, D. P., Effect of Winglet Location on Heat Transfer of A Fin-and-Tube Heat Exchanger, *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 116 (2017), Apr., pp. 528-540
- [13] Xie, J., Lee, H. M., Flow and Heat Transfer Performances of Directly Printed Curved-Rectangular Vortex Generators in a Compact Fin-Tube Heat Exchanger, *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 180 (2020), 115830
- [14] Wu, J. M., Tao, W. Q., Investigation on Laminar Convection Heat Transfer in Fin-and-Tube Heat Exchanger in Aligned Arrangement with Longitudinal Vortex Generator from the Viewpoint of Field Synergy Principle, *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 27 (2007), 14-15, pp. 2609-2617
- [15] Li, M. J., et al., Heat Transfer and Pressure Performance of a Plain Fin with Radiantly Arranged Winglets around Each Tube in Fin-and-Tube Heat Transfer Surface, *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 70 (2014), Mar., pp. 734-744
- [16] Wang, W., et al., Numerical Investigation of a Finned-Tube Heat Exchanger with Novel Longitudinal Vortex Generators, Applied Thermal Engineering, 86 (2015), July, pp. 27-34
- [17] Fan, J.-F., et al., Application of Combined Enhanced Techniques for Design of Highly Efficient Air Heat Transfer Surface, *Heat Transfer Engineering*, 33 (2012), 1, pp. 52-62
- [18] Lin, Z.-M., et al., Numerical Study on Heat Transfer Enhancement of Circular Tube Bank Fin Heat Exchanger with Interrupted Annular Groove Fin, Applied Thermal Engineering, 73 (2014), 2, pp. 1465-1476

- [19] Zeeshan, M., et al., Numerical Study to Predict Optimal Configuration of Fin and Tube Compact Heat Exchanger with Various Tube Shapes and Spatial Arrangements, Energy Conversion and Management, 148 (2017), Sept., pp. 737-752
- [20] Zhao, L., et al., Numerical Study on Airside Thermal-Hydraulic Performance of Rectangular Finned Elliptical Tube Heat Exchanger with Large Row Number in Turbulent Flow Regime, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 114 (2017), Nov., pp. 1314-1330
- [21] Wu, X., et al., Performance Evaluation and Optimization of Semi-Dimpled Slit Fin, Heat Mass Transfer, 50 (2014), 9, pp. 1251-1259
- [22] Gholami, A., et al., Thermal-Hydraulic Performance of Fin-and-Oval Tube Compact Heat Exchangers with Innovative Design of Corrugated Fin Patterns, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 106 (2017), Mar., pp. 573-592
- [23] Zhi, C., et al., Prediction and analysis of Thermal-Hydraulic Performance with Slit Fins in Small Diameter (3 mm to 4 mm) Heat Exchangers, International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer, 129 (2021), 105684
- [24] He, Y. L., et al., The 3-D Numerical Study of Heat Transfer Characteristics of Plain Plate Fin-and-Tube Heat Exchangers from View Point of Field Synergy Principle, *International Journal of Heat and Fluid-Flow*, 26 (2005), 3, pp. 459-473