

THE α -INTERVAL VALUED FUZZY SETS DEFINED ON α -INTERVAL VALUED SET

by

Gokhan CUVALCIOGLU^a*, Arif BAL^b, and Mehmet CITIL^c

^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mersin University, Mersin, Turkiye

^b Department of Motor Vehicles and Transportation Technologies,

Vocational School of Technical Sciences, Mersin University, Mersin, Turkiye

^c Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kahramanmaraş Sutcu Imam University,
Kahramanmaraş, Turkiye

Original scientific paper

<https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI22S2665C>

In this study, α -interval valued set is defined whose elements are closed sub-intervals including α of unit interval that is $I = [0, 1]$. With different order relation on this set, the properties of α -interval valued set are examined. By the help of this order relation, it is shown that α -interval valued set is complete lattice. Negation function on α -interval valued set is given in order to study the theoretical properties of this set. By means of discussions on α -interval valued set, the fundamental features of α -interval valued set are studied. By the help of α -interval valued set, α -interval valued fuzzy sets are defined. The fundamental algebraic properties of these sets are examined. The level subsets of α -interval valued fuzzy sets are defined to give the relations between α -interval valued sets and crisp sets. With the help of this definition, some propositions and examples are given.

Key words: fuzzy sets, interval valued fuzzy sets, α -interval valued set, α -interval valued fuzzy sets

Introduction

The concept of interval valued fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [1-4]. The properties of interval valued fuzzy set and fuzzy set were studied by researchers [5-12]. The properties of interval valued fuzzy sets on different structures particularly, topological properties were examined by Mondal and Samantha [13]. By means of order relation on the family of all closed sub-intervals of unit interval $I = [0, 1]$, Biswas denoted that this family is complete lattice whose units are $[0, 0]$ and $[1, 1]$ [1]. For the lattice, Biswas used respectively representations r_{\max} , r_{\min} , r_{\sup} , and r_{\inf} for refined-max, refined-min, refined-supremum and refined-infimum [14]. The $D(I)$ represents the family of all closed sub-intervals of unit interval $I = [0, 1]$. The elements of $D(I)$ are shown with capital letters such as M , N ... In this place, M^L and M^U are called respectively lower end point and upper end point for interval $M = [M^L, M^U]$.

Definition 1. [14] $\forall M, N \in D(I)$,

- i. $M \leq N : \Leftrightarrow M^L \leq N^L \text{ and } M^U \leq N^U$

* Corresponding author, e-mail: gcuvalcioglu@gmail.com

It is easily seen that $M \leq N \Leftrightarrow M \subseteq N$

Definition 2. [14] Let I be index set, $\forall i \in I, M, N, M_i \in D(I)$,

- i. $rmax(M, N) = [\max(M^L, N^L), \max(M^U, N^U)]$
- ii. $rmin(M, N) = [\min(M^L, N^L), \min(M^U, N^U)]$
- iii. $rsup_{i \in I} M_i = [\sup_{i \in I} M_i^L, \sup_{i \in I} M_i^U]$
- iv. $rinf_{i \in I} M_i = [\inf_{i \in I} M_i^L, \inf_{i \in I} M_i^U]$

Lemma 1: [14] Let be $L = [D(I), rmin, rmax]$, then L is complete lattice with units $[0, 0]$ and $[1, 1]$.

Definition 3: [7] Let X be universal set. The function $A : X \rightarrow D(I)$:

$$A = \{ \langle x, A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X \} = \{ \langle x, [A^L(x), A^U(x)] \rangle \mid x \in X \}$$

is called interval valued fuzzy set on X (shortly IVFS). The $A^L, A^U : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are fuzzy sets and it is shown that $A = [A^L, A^U]$ such that $A^L \leq A^U$, $\forall x \in X$, $A^L(x) \leq A^U(x)$. A^L and A^U are called respectively lower and upper end point for x on A . The family of all interval valued fuzzy sets on X is shown by $IVFS(X)$.

Example 1: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$:

$$A = \{ \langle a, [0, 0.5] \rangle, \langle b, [0.1, 0.3] \rangle, \langle c, [0.2, 0.7] \rangle, \langle d, [0.6, 1] \rangle \}$$

is an interval valued fuzzy set.

Definition 4: [7] Let X be universal set. $\forall A, B \in IVFS(X)$:

- i. $A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, A^L(x) \leq B^L(x) \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq B^U(x)$
- ii. $A = B \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, A^L(x) = B^L(x) \text{ and } A^U(x) = B^U(x)$
- iii. $A \sqcap B = \left\{ \langle x, \left[\min\{A^L(x), B^L(x)\}, \min\{A^U(x), B^U(x)\} \right] \rangle \mid x \in X \right\}$
- iv. $A \sqcup B = \left\{ \langle x, \left[\max\{A^L(x), B^L(x)\}, \max\{A^U(x), B^U(x)\} \right] \rangle \mid x \in X \right\}$
- v. $A^c = \{ \langle x, [1 - A^U(x), 1 - A^L(x)] \rangle \mid x \in X \}$

Definition 5: [7] Let X be universal set. I is an index set $\forall i \in I$:

- i. $\sqcap_{i \in I} A_i = \left\{ \langle x, \left[\min_{i \in I} \{A_i^L(x)\}, \min_{i \in I} \{A_i^U(x)\} \right] \rangle \mid x \in X \right\}$
- ii. $\sqcup_{i \in I} A_i = \left\{ \langle x, \left[\max_{i \in I} \{A_i^L(x)\}, \max_{i \in I} \{A_i^U(x)\} \right] \rangle \mid x \in X \right\}$

The algebraic properties on interval valued fuzzy sets are given following proposition:

Proposition 1: [7] Let X be universal set. $\forall A, B \in IVFS(X)$ and I is an index set. $\forall i \in I, A_i, B_i \in IVFS(X)$:

- i. $A \sqcap B = B \sqcap A$
- ii. $A \sqcup B = B \sqcup A$

- iii. $A \cap (\sqcup_{i \in I} B_i) = \sqcup_{i \in I} (A \cap B_i)$
- iv. $A \sqcup (\cap_{i \in I} B_i) = \cap_{i \in I} (A \sqcup B_i)$

The level subsets take an important role on fuzzy set theory. The definition of level subset of interval valued fuzzy set is given by [15] below,

Definition 6. [15] Let X be universal set. $\forall [\lambda_1, \lambda_2] \in [0, 1]$,

$$A_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} = \{x \in X | A^L(x) \leq \lambda_1 \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\}$$

$A_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]}$ is called $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ -level subset of A .
 Here, they are given that:

- i. $A_{\lambda_1}^L = \{x \in X | A^L(x) \leq \lambda_1\}$
- ii. $A_{\lambda_2}^U = \{x \in X | A^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\}$
- iii. $B_{\lambda_1}^L = \{x \in X | B^L(x) \leq \lambda_1\}$
- iv. $B_{\lambda_2}^U = \{x \in X | B^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\}$

The fundamental properties of $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ -level subset of A are given following.

Proposition 2. [15] Let X be universal set. The $\forall A, B \in IVFS(X)$ and $\forall [\lambda_1, \lambda_2] \in [0, 1]$,

- i. $x \in A_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} \Leftrightarrow A(x) \leq [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$
- ii. $A \sqcup B_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} = A_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} \cap B_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]}$
- iii. $A \cap B_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} = A_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} \cup B_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]} \cup (A_{\lambda_1}^L \cap B_{\lambda_2}^U) \cup (A_{\lambda_2}^U \cap B_{\lambda_1}^L)$

The α -interval valued sets and α -interval valued fuzzy sets

In this section, the properties of the sub-family of $D(I)$ whose elements are some special elements of $D(I)$ are studied. There are no another properties that $D(I)$ is a family of closed sub-interval of unit interval $I = [0, 1]$. This situation generates that the classification of the elements of $D(I)$ makes difficult.

First and foremost, below definition is given,

Definition 8. $D(I_\alpha) = \{[M^L, M^U; \alpha] | \alpha \in I\}$ is called α -interval valued set.

In order to make easy, it is shown that

$$\{[M^L, M^U; \alpha] | \alpha \in I\} = \{[M; \alpha] | M \in D(I) \text{ and } \alpha \in I\}$$

Order relation on $D(I_\alpha)$ is defined.

Definition 9. $\forall [M; \alpha], [N; \alpha] \in D(I_\alpha)$,

$$[M; \alpha] \leq [N; \alpha] : \Leftrightarrow M^L \leq N^L \text{ and } M^U \geq N^U$$

It is easily seen from definition,

$$[M; \alpha] < [N; \alpha]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow M^L < N^L, M^U \geq N^U \text{ or } M^L \leq N^L, M^U > N^U \text{ or } M^L < N^L, M^U > N^U$$

Proposition 3. $(D(I_\alpha), \leq)$ is partial ordered set.

Proof. $[M; \alpha], [N; \alpha], [P; \alpha] \in D(I_\alpha)$ are given arbitrary,

- i. $M^L \leq M^L \text{ and } M^U \geq M^U \Rightarrow [M; \alpha] \leq [M; \alpha]$
- ii. $[M; \alpha] \leq [N; \alpha] \text{ and } [N; \alpha] \leq [M; \alpha]$
 $\Rightarrow M^L \leq N^L, M^U \geq N^U \text{ and } N^L \leq M^L, N^U \geq M^U$
 $\Rightarrow M^L = N^L \text{ and } M^U = N^U \Rightarrow [M; \alpha] = [N; \alpha]$
- iii. $[M; \alpha] \leq [N; \alpha] \text{ and } [N; \alpha] \leq [P; \alpha]$
 $\Rightarrow M^L \leq N^L, M^U \geq N^U \text{ and } N^L \leq P^L, N^U \geq P^U$
 $\Rightarrow M^L \leq P^L \text{ and } M^U \geq P^U \Rightarrow [M; \alpha] \leq [P; \alpha]$

By the help of order relation on $D(I_\alpha)$, the definitions of supremum and infimum on this set are given.

Definition 10. $\forall [M; \alpha], [N; \alpha] \in D(I_\alpha)$,

- i. $\inf \{[M; \alpha], [N; \alpha]\} = [\inf \{M^L, N^L\}, \sup \{M^U, N^U\}; \alpha]$
- ii. $\sup \{[M; \alpha], [N; \alpha]\} = [\sup \{M^L, N^L\}, \inf \{M^U, N^U\}; \alpha]$

Lemma 2. $(D(I_\alpha), \wedge, \vee)$ is complete lattice with units $[0, 1; \alpha]$ and $[\alpha, \alpha; \alpha]$.

Proof. It is clear from known order relation on \mathbb{R} .

Proposition 4. $\forall \alpha \in I$,

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} D(I_\alpha) = D(I)$$

Proof. $M \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} D(I_\alpha)$ is given arbitrary.

$$\forall \alpha \in I, D(I_\alpha) \subseteq D(I) \Rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} D(I_\alpha) \subseteq D(I)$$

$M \in D(I)$ is given arbitrary.

$$\alpha = \frac{M^L + M^U}{2} \text{ is chosen,}$$

$$M^L \leq \alpha \leq M^U \Rightarrow D(I) \subseteq D(I_\alpha) \Rightarrow D(I) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} D(I_\alpha)$$

Remark 1. The intersection and union of the family of α -interval valued sets are again α -interval valued sets. If any function satisfies following the conditions then it is called negation function.

Definition 11. L is complete lattice with units 0 and 1. $\mathcal{N} : L \rightarrow L$ and $\forall a, b \in L$,

- i. $\mathcal{N}(0) = 1$ and $\mathcal{N}(1) = 0$
- ii. $\mathcal{N}(a) \leq \mathcal{N}(b) \Leftrightarrow a \geq b$
- iii. $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}(a)) = a$

We try to define a negation function on $D(I_\alpha)$ with the following relation,
 $\forall [M; \alpha] \in D(I_\alpha)$

$$\mathcal{N}([M; \alpha]) = [\alpha - M^L, 1 + \alpha - M^U; \alpha]$$

this relation on $D(I_\alpha)$ is a function. Indeed,

- i. $M^L \leq \alpha \Rightarrow 0 \leq \alpha - M^L \leq \alpha$
- ii. Assume that $1 + \alpha - M^U < \alpha$, hence
 $1 - M^U < 0 \Rightarrow 1 < M^U$ is contradiction. Then, $1 + \alpha - M^U \geq \alpha$
- iii. Assume that $1 + \alpha - M^U > 1$, hence
 $\alpha - M^U > 0 \Rightarrow \alpha > M^U$ is contradiction. Then, $1 + \alpha - M^U \leq 1$ consequences from above, we get that;

$$0 \leq \alpha - M^L \leq \alpha \leq 1 + \alpha - M^U \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow [\alpha - M^L, 1 + \alpha - M^U; \alpha] = \mathcal{N}([M; \alpha]) \in D(I_\alpha)$$

From previous discussions, we can claim that \mathcal{N} is a negation function on $D(I_\alpha)$.

Proposition 5: $\forall [M; \alpha] \in D(I_\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{N} : D(I_\alpha) \rightarrow D(I_\alpha)$,

$$\mathcal{N}([M; \alpha]) = [\alpha - M^L, 1 + \alpha - M^U; \alpha]$$

\mathcal{N} satisfies conditions of *Definition 11*.

Proof. $[M; \alpha], [N; \alpha] \in D(I_\alpha)$ are given arbitrary.

- 1. $[M; \alpha] = [N; \alpha] \Rightarrow M^L = N^L$ and $M^U = N^U$
 $\Rightarrow \alpha - M^L = \alpha - N^L$ and $1 + \alpha - M^U = 1 + \alpha - N^U$
 $\Rightarrow [\alpha - M^L, 1 + \alpha - M^U; \alpha] = [\alpha - N^L, 1 + \alpha - N^U; \alpha]$
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{N}([M; \alpha]) = \mathcal{N}([N; \alpha])$

- 2. Now, it is shown that \mathcal{N} satisfies conditions of negation function.

- i. $\mathcal{N}([0, 1; \alpha]) = [\alpha - 0, 1 + \alpha - 1; \alpha] = [\alpha, \alpha; \alpha]$
 $\mathcal{N}([\alpha, \alpha; \alpha]) = [\alpha - \alpha, 1 + \alpha - \alpha; \alpha] = [0, 1; \alpha]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ii.} \quad & \mathcal{N}([M; \alpha]) \leq \mathcal{N}([N; \alpha]) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow [\alpha - M^L, 1 + \alpha - M^U; \alpha] \leq [\alpha - N^L, 1 + \alpha - N^U; \alpha] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow \alpha - M^L \leq \alpha - N^L \text{ and } 1 + \alpha - M^U \geq 1 + \alpha - N^U \\
 & \Leftrightarrow M^L \geq N^L \text{ and } M^U \leq N^U \Leftrightarrow [M^L, M^U; \alpha] \geq [N^L, N^U; \alpha] \Leftrightarrow [M; \alpha] \geq [N; \alpha]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{iii.} \quad & \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}([M; \alpha])) = \mathcal{N}([\alpha - M^L, 1 + \alpha - M^U; \alpha]) \\
 & = [\alpha - (\alpha - M^L), 1 + \alpha - (1 + \alpha - M^U); \alpha] = [M^L, M^U; \alpha] = [M; \alpha]
 \end{aligned}$$

it is gotten that $\mathcal{N}: D(I_\alpha) \rightarrow D(I_\alpha)$ is negation function.

Definition 12. Let X be universal set and $[A; \alpha]: X \rightarrow D(I_\alpha)$ be function.

$$[A; \alpha] = \left\{ \left[x, [A^L(x), A^U(x)]; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\}$$

where; $A^L: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $A^U: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are fuzzy sets.

In order to make easy, it is shown that;

$$\left\{ \left[x, [A^L(x), A^U(x)]; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} = \left\{ [x, A(x); \alpha] \mid x \in X \right\}$$

$[A; \alpha]$ is called α -interval valued fuzzy set on X . The family of α -interval valued fuzzy sets on X is shown by α -IVFS(X).

We can give below operations from previous definitions.

Definition 13. Let X be universal set and $[A; \alpha], [B; \alpha] \in \alpha$ -IVFS(X).

Λ is index set $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{i.} \quad & [A^c; \alpha] = \left\{ \left[< x, [\alpha - A^L(x), 1 + \alpha - A^U(x)] >; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
 \text{ii.} \quad & [A; \alpha] \subseteq [B; \alpha] : \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, A^L(x) \leq B^L(x) \text{ and } A^U(x) \geq B^U(x) \\
 \text{iii.} \quad & [A; \alpha] = [B; \alpha] : \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, A^L(x) = B^L(x) \text{ and } A^U(x) = B^U(x) \\
 \text{iv.} \quad & [A \cap B; \alpha] = \left\{ \left[< x, [\inf \{A^L(x), B^L(x)\}, \sup \{A^U(x), B^U(x)\}] >; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
 \text{v} \quad & [A \sqcup B; \alpha] = \left\{ \left[< x, [\sup \{A^L(x), B^L(x)\}, \inf \{A^U(x), B^U(x)\}] >; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
 \text{vi.} \quad & [\sqcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda; \alpha] = \left\{ \left[< x, [\Lambda_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda^L(x), V_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda^U(x)] >; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
 \text{vii.} \quad & [\sqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda; \alpha] = \left\{ \left[< x, [V_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda^L(x), \Lambda_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda^U(x)] >; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Example 2. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$.

$$[A; 0.4] = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left[\langle a, [0.1, 0.5] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \left[\langle b, [0.3, 1] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \\ \left[\langle c, [0.4, 0.7] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \left[\langle d, [0.2, 0.9] \rangle; 0.4 \right] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$[B; 0.4] = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left[\langle a, [0.2, 0.8] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \left[\langle b, [0.1, 0.6] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \\ \left[\langle c, [0.3, 0.4] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \left[\langle d, [0.4, 0.5] \rangle; 0.4 \right] \end{array} \right\}$$

$[A; 0.4]$ and $[B; 0.4]$ are 0.4-interval valued fuzzy sets;

$$\text{i.} \quad [A^c; \alpha] = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left[\langle a, [0.3, 0.9] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \left[\langle b, [0.1, 0.4] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \\ \left[\langle c, [0, 0.7] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \left[\langle d, [0.2, 0.5] \rangle; 0.4 \right] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{ii.} \quad [A \sqcap B; \alpha] = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left[\langle a, [0.1, 0.8] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \left[\langle b, [0.1, 1] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \\ \left[\langle c, [0.3, 0.7] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \left[\langle d, [0.2, 0.9] \rangle; 0.4 \right] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{iii.} \quad [A \sqcup B; \alpha] = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left[\langle a, [0.2, 0.5] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \left[\langle b, [0.3, 0.6] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \\ \left[\langle c, [0.4, 0.4] \rangle; 0.4 \right], \left[\langle d, [0.4, 0.5] \rangle; 0.4 \right] \end{array} \right\}$$

Proposition 6. Let X be universal set. $\forall [A; \alpha], [B; \alpha], [C; \alpha] \in \alpha\text{-IVFS}(X)$ and Λ is index set $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$\text{i.} \quad [A \sqcap B; \alpha] = [B \sqcap A; \alpha]$$

$$\text{ii.} \quad [A \sqcup B; \alpha] = [B \sqcup A; \alpha]$$

$$\text{iii.} \quad [A; \alpha] \sqcap ([B \sqcup C; \alpha]) = ([A \sqcap B; \alpha]) \sqcup ([A \sqcap C; \alpha])$$

$$\text{iv.} \quad [A; \alpha] \sqcup ([B \sqcap C; \alpha]) = ([A \sqcup B; \alpha]) \sqcap ([A \sqcup C; \alpha])$$

$$\text{v.} \quad [A; \alpha] \sqcap ([\sqcup_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}; \alpha]) = [\sqcup_{\lambda} (A \sqcap B_{\lambda}); \alpha]$$

$$\text{vi.} \quad [A; \alpha] \sqcup ([\sqcap_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}; \alpha]) = [\sqcap_{\lambda} (A \sqcup B_{\lambda}); \alpha]$$

Proof. $[A; \alpha], [B; \alpha], [C; \alpha] \in \alpha\text{-IVFS}(X)$ are given arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.} \quad [A \sqcap B; \alpha] &= \left\{ \left[\langle x, [\inf \{A^L(x), B^L(x)\}, \sup \{A^U(x), B^U(x)\}] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left[\langle x, [\inf \{B^L(x), A^L(x)\}, \sup \{B^U(x), A^U(x)\}] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} = [B \sqcap A; \alpha] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ii.} \quad [A \sqcup B; \alpha] &= \left\{ \left[\langle x, [\sup \{A^L(x), B^L(x)\}, \inf \{A^U(x), B^U(x)\}] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left[\langle x, [\sup \{B^L(x), A^L(x)\}, \inf \{B^U(x), A^U(x)\}] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} = [B \sqcup A; \alpha] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{iii.} \quad & [A; \alpha] \cap ([B \sqcup C; \alpha]) = [A; \alpha] \cap \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} < x, \left[\begin{array}{c} \sup \{B^L(x), C^L(x)\} \\ \inf \{B^U(x), C^U(x)\} \end{array} \right] > \alpha \end{array} \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
& = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} < x, \left[\begin{array}{c} \inf \{A^L(x), \sup \{B^L(x), C^L(x)\}\} \\ \sup \{A^U(x), \inf \{B^U(x), C^U(x)\}\} \end{array} \right] > \alpha \end{array} \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
& = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} < x, \left[\begin{array}{c} \sup \{ \inf \{A^L(x), B^L(x)\}, \inf \{A^L(x), C^L(x)\} \} \\ \inf \{ \sup \{A^U(x), B^U(x)\}, \sup \{A^U(x), C^U(x)\} \} \end{array} \right] > \alpha \end{array} \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
& = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} < x, \left[\begin{array}{c} \inf \{A^L(x), B^L(x)\} \\ \sup \{A^U(x), B^U(x)\} \end{array} \right] > \alpha \end{array} \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \sqcup \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} < x, \left[\begin{array}{c} \inf \{A^L(x), C^L(x)\} \\ \sup \{A^U(x), C^U(x)\} \end{array} \right] > \alpha \end{array} \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
& = ([A \sqcap B; \alpha]) \sqcup ([A \sqcap C; \alpha]) \\
\\
\text{iv.} \quad & [A; \alpha] \sqcup ([B \sqcap C; \alpha]) = [A; \alpha] \sqcup \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} < x, \left[\begin{array}{c} \inf \{B^L(x), C^L(x)\} \\ \sup \{B^U(x), C^U(x)\} \end{array} \right] > \alpha \end{array} \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
& = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} < x, \left[\begin{array}{c} \sup \{A^L(x), \inf \{B^L(x), C^L(x)\}\} \\ \inf \{A^U(x), \sup \{B^U(x), C^U(x)\}\} \end{array} \right] > \alpha \end{array} \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
& = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} < x, \left[\begin{array}{c} \inf \{ \sup \{A^L(x), B^L(x)\}, \sup \{A^L(x), C^L(x)\} \} \\ \sup \{ \inf \{A^U(x), B^U(x)\}, \inf \{A^U(x), C^U(x)\} \} \end{array} \right] > \alpha \end{array} \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
& = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} < x, \left[\begin{array}{c} \sup \{A^L(x), B^L(x)\} \\ \inf \{A^U(x), B^U(x)\} \end{array} \right] > \alpha \end{array} \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \cap \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} < x, \left[\begin{array}{c} \sup \{A^L(x), C^L(x)\} \\ \inf \{A^U(x), C^U(x)\} \end{array} \right] > \alpha \end{array} \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
& = ([A \sqcup B; \alpha]) \cap ([A \sqcup C; \alpha])
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{v.} \quad & [A; \alpha] \cap ([\sqcup_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}; \alpha]) \\
 &= [A; \alpha] \cap \left(\left\{ \left[\left\langle x, \left[\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}^L(x), \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \right\rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \right) \\
 &= \left\{ \left[\left\langle x, \left[\begin{array}{c} A^L(x) \\ A^U(x) \end{array} \right] \right\rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \cap \left(\left\{ \left[\left\langle x, \left[\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}^L(x), \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \right\rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \right) \\
 &= \left\{ \left[\left\langle x, \left[A^L(x) \wedge \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}^L(x), A^U(x) \vee \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \right\rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
 &= \left\{ \left[\left\langle x, \left[\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^L(x) \wedge B_{\lambda}^L(x), \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^U(x) \vee B_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \right\rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
 &= [\sqcup_{\lambda} \{ \left[\left\langle x, \left[A^L(x) \wedge B_{\lambda}^L(x), A^U(x) \vee B_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \right\rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \}] = [\sqcup_{\lambda} (A \cap B_{\lambda}); \alpha]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{vi.} \quad & [A; \alpha] \sqcup ([\sqcap_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}; \alpha]) \\
 &= [A; \alpha] \sqcup \left(\left\{ \left[\left\langle x, \left[\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}^L(x), \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \right\rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \right) \\
 &= \left\{ \left[\left\langle x, \left[\begin{array}{c} A^L(x) \\ A^U(x) \end{array} \right] \right\rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \sqcup \left(\left\{ \left[\left\langle x, \left[\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}^L(x), \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \right\rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \right) \\
 &= \left\{ \left[\left\langle x, \left[A^L(x) \vee \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}^L(x), A^U(x) \wedge \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \right\rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
 &= \left\{ \left[\left\langle x, \left[\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^L(x) \vee B_{\lambda}^L(x), \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^U(x) \wedge B_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \right\rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
 &= [\sqcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \{ \left[\left\langle x, \left[A^L(x) \vee B_{\lambda}^L(x), A^U(x) \wedge B_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \right\rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \}] = [\sqcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (A \sqcup B_{\lambda}); \alpha]
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7. Let X be universal set. $\forall [A; \alpha], [B; \alpha] \in \alpha\text{-IVFS}(X)$ and Λ is index set $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$\text{i.} \quad \left[\left([A^c; \alpha] \right)^c; \alpha \right] = [A; \alpha]$$

$$\text{ii.} \quad ([A \cap B; \alpha])^c = [A^c \sqcup B^c; \alpha]$$

$$\text{iii.} \quad ([A \sqcup B; \alpha])^c = [A^c \cap B^c; \alpha]$$

$$\text{iv.} \quad \left(\left[\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}; \alpha \right] \right)^c = \left[\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^c; \alpha \right]$$

$$\text{v.} \quad \left(\left[\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}; \alpha \right] \right)^c = \left[\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^c; \alpha \right]$$

Proof. $[A; \alpha], [B; \alpha] \in \alpha\text{-IVFS}(X)$ are given arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.} \quad [A^c; \alpha] &= \left\{ \left[\langle x, [\alpha - A^L(x), 1 + \alpha - A^U(x)] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\ &\Rightarrow \left[\left([A^c; \alpha] \right)^c; \alpha \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left\{ \left[\langle x, [\alpha - (\alpha - A^L(x)), 1 + \alpha - (1 + \alpha - A^U(x))] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\ &\Rightarrow \left[\left([A^c; \alpha] \right)^c; \alpha \right] = \left\{ \left[\langle x, [A^L(x), A^U(x)] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} = [A; \alpha] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ii.} \quad ([A \sqcap B; \alpha])^c &= \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\begin{array}{l} \alpha - \inf \{A^L(x), B^L(x)\}, \\ 1 + \alpha - \sup \{A^U(x), B^U(x)\} \end{array} \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\begin{array}{l} \sup \{ \alpha - A^L(x), \alpha - B^L(x) \}, \\ \inf \{ 1 + \alpha - A^U(x), 1 + \alpha - B^U(x) \} \end{array} \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\begin{array}{l} \alpha - A^L(x), \\ 1 + \alpha - A^U(x) \end{array} \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \sqcup \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\begin{array}{l} \alpha - B^L(x), \\ 1 + \alpha - B^U(x) \end{array} \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\ &= [A^c \sqcup B^c; \alpha] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{iii.} \quad ([A \sqcup B; \alpha])^c &= \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\begin{array}{l} \alpha - \sup \{A^L(x), B^L(x)\}, \\ 1 + \alpha - \inf \{A^U(x), B^U(x)\} \end{array} \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\begin{array}{l} \inf \{ \alpha - A^L(x), \alpha - B^L(x) \}, \\ \sup \{ 1 + \alpha - A^U(x), 1 + \alpha - B^U(x) \} \end{array} \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\begin{array}{l} \alpha - A^L(x), \\ 1 + \alpha - A^U(x) \end{array} \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \cap \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\begin{array}{l} \alpha - B^L(x), \\ 1 + \alpha - B^U(x) \end{array} \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\ &= [A^c \sqcap B^c; \alpha] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{iv.} \quad & [\sqcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}; \alpha] = \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^L(x), \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
 \Rightarrow & ([\sqcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}; \alpha])^c = \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\alpha - \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^L(x), 1 + \alpha - \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
 = & \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \alpha - A_{\lambda}^L(x), \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} 1 + \alpha - A_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} = [\sqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^c; \alpha] \\
 \text{v.} \quad & [\sqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}; \alpha] = \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^L(x), \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
 \Rightarrow & ([\sqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}; \alpha])^c = \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\alpha - \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^L(x), 1 + \alpha - \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} \\
 = & \left\{ \left[\langle x, \left[\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \alpha - A_{\lambda}^L(x), \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} 1 + \alpha - A_{\lambda}^U(x) \right] \rangle; \alpha \right] \mid x \in X \right\} = [\sqcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^c; \alpha]
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 8. Let X be universal set. $0_X : X \rightarrow [0, 1; \alpha]$ and $1_X : X \rightarrow [\alpha, \alpha; \alpha]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{i.} \quad & (0_X)^c = 1_X \\
 \text{ii.} \quad & (1_X)^c = 0_X
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{i.} \quad & (0_X)^c = ([0, 1; \alpha])^c = [\alpha - 0, 1 + \alpha - 1; \alpha] = [\alpha, \alpha; \alpha] = 1_X \\
 \text{ii.} \quad & (1_X)^c = ([\alpha, \alpha; \alpha])^c = [\alpha - \alpha, 1 + \alpha - \alpha; \alpha] = [0, 1; \alpha] = 0_X
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 14. Let X be universal set and $[A; \alpha] \in \alpha$ -IVFS(X). $[A; \alpha]$ has sup-property

$$:\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, \exists [\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha] \in D(I_{\alpha}) \ni [A(x); \alpha] = [\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]$$

Definition 15. Let X be universal set and $[A; \alpha] \in \alpha$ -IVFS(X).

$$\forall [\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha] \in D(I_{\alpha}),$$

$$[A; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} = \{x \in X \mid A^L(x) \geq \lambda_1 \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\}$$

The set $[A; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]}$ is called $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]$ -level subset of $[A; \alpha]$. It is easily seen from definition, $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]$ -level subsets of $[A; \alpha]$ are crisp sets.

Definition 16. Let X be universal set and $[A; \alpha] \in \alpha$ -IVFS(X).

$$\forall [\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha] \in D(I_{\alpha}),$$

$$\forall [A; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} \text{ -level subsets of } [A; \alpha],$$

$$\text{i.} \quad A_{\lambda_1}^L = \{x \in X | A^L(x) \geq \lambda_1\}$$

$$\text{ii.} \quad A_{\lambda_2}^U = \{x \in X | A^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\}$$

$$\text{iii.} \quad B_{\lambda_1}^L = \{x \in X | B^L(x) \geq \lambda_1\}$$

$$\text{iv.} \quad B_{\lambda_2}^U = \{x \in X | B^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\}$$

Proposition 9. Let X be universal set and $[A; \alpha], [B; \alpha] \in \alpha\text{-IVFS}(X)$.
 $\forall [\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha] \in D(I_\alpha)$ and I is index set, $\forall i, j \in I, [\lambda_i, \lambda_j; \alpha] \in D(I_\alpha)$,

$$\text{i.} \quad x \in [A; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} \Leftrightarrow [A(x); \alpha] \geq [\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]$$

$$\text{ii.} \quad [A; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} = A_{\lambda_1}^L \cap A_{\lambda_2}^U$$

$$\text{iii.} \quad ([A \sqcup B; \alpha])_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]}$$

$$= [A; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} \cup [B; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} \cup (A_{\lambda_1}^L \cap B_{\lambda_2}^U) \cup (B_{\lambda_1}^L \cap A_{\lambda_2}^U)$$

$$\text{iv.} \quad ([A \sqcap B; \alpha])_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} = [A; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} \cap [B; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]}$$

$$\text{v.} \quad A_{\lambda_1}^L \supseteq A_{\lambda_2}^L$$

$$\text{vi.} \quad A_{\lambda_1}^U \subseteq A_{\lambda_2}^U$$

$$\text{vii.} \quad \bigcap_{i \in I} A_{\lambda_i}^L = A_{\bigwedge_{i \in I} \lambda_i}^L$$

$$\text{viii.} \quad \bigcup_{j \in I} A_{\lambda_j}^U = A_{\bigvee_{j \in I} \lambda_j}^U$$

Proof.

$$\text{i.} \quad x \in [A; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} \Leftrightarrow A^L(x) \geq \lambda_1 \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq \lambda_2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [A^L(x), A^U(x); \alpha] \geq [\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha] \Leftrightarrow [A(x); \alpha] \geq [\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]$$

$$\text{ii.} \quad x \in [A; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} \text{ is given arbitrary.}$$

$$[A(x); \alpha] \geq [\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha] \Leftrightarrow A^L(x) \geq \lambda_1 \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq \lambda_2 \Leftrightarrow x \in A_{\lambda_1}^L \text{ and } x \in A_{\lambda_2}^U$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in A_{\lambda_1}^L \cap A_{\lambda_2}^U$$

iii. $x \in ([A \sqcup B; \alpha])_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]}$ is given arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} & [(A \sqcup B)(x); \alpha] \geq [\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha] \\ & \Leftrightarrow [\sup\{A^L(x), B^L(x)\}, \inf\{A^U(x), B^U(x)\}; \alpha] \geq [\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha] \\ & \Leftrightarrow \sup\{A^L(x), B^L(x)\} \geq \lambda_1 \text{ and } \inf\{A^U(x), B^U(x)\} \leq \lambda_2 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \{A^L(x) \geq \lambda_1 \text{ or } B^L(x) \geq \lambda_1\} \text{ and } \{A^U(x) \leq \lambda_2 \text{ or } B^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\} \\ & \Leftrightarrow \{A^L(x) \geq \lambda_1 \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\} \text{ or } \{B^L(x) \geq \lambda_1 \text{ and } B^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\} \\ & \text{or } \{A^L(x) \geq \lambda_1 \text{ and } B^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\} \text{ or } \{B^L(x) \geq \lambda_1 \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\} \\ & \Leftrightarrow x \in [A; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} \text{ or } x \in [B; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} \text{ or } \{x \in A_{\lambda_1}^L \cap B_{\lambda_2}^U\} \text{ or } \{x \in B_{\lambda_1}^L \cap A_{\lambda_2}^U\} \\ & \Leftrightarrow x \in [A; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} \cup [B; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} \cup (A_{\lambda_1}^L \cap B_{\lambda_2}^U) \cup (B_{\lambda_1}^L \cap A_{\lambda_2}^U) \end{aligned}$$

iv. $x \in ([A \sqcap B; \alpha])_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]}$ is given arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} & [(A \sqcap B)(x); \alpha] \geq [\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha] \\ & \Leftrightarrow [\inf\{A^L(x), B^L(x)\}, \sup\{A^U(x), B^U(x)\}; \alpha] \geq [\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha] \\ & \Leftrightarrow \inf\{A^L(x), B^L(x)\} \geq \lambda_1 \text{ and } \sup\{A^U(x), B^U(x)\} \leq \lambda_2 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \{A^L(x) \geq \lambda_1 \text{ and } B^L(x) \geq \lambda_1\} \text{ and } \{A^U(x) \leq \lambda_2 \text{ and } B^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\} \\ & \Leftrightarrow \{A^L(x) \geq \lambda_1 \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\} \text{ and } \{B^L(x) \geq \lambda_1 \text{ and } B^U(x) \leq \lambda_2\} \\ & \Leftrightarrow x \in [A; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} \cap [B; \alpha]_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2; \alpha]} \end{aligned}$$

v. $x \in A_{\lambda_2}^L$ is given arbitrary.

$$A^L(x) \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \Rightarrow x \in A_{\lambda_1}^L \Rightarrow A_{\lambda_1}^L \supseteq A_{\lambda_2}^L$$

vi. $x \in A_{\lambda_1}^U$ is given arbitrary.

$$A^U(x) \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \Rightarrow x \in A_{\lambda_2}^U \Rightarrow A_{\lambda_1}^U \subseteq A_{\lambda_2}^U$$

vii. $x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_{\lambda_i}^L$ is given arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_{\lambda_i}^L &\Leftrightarrow \forall i \in I, x \in A_{\lambda_i}^L \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in I, A^L(x) \geq \lambda_i \\ &\Leftrightarrow A^L(x) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in I} \lambda_i \Leftrightarrow x \in A_{\bigwedge_{i \in I} \lambda_i}^L \end{aligned}$$

viii. $x \in \bigcup_{j \in I} A_{\lambda_j}^U$ is given arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \bigcup_{j \in I} A_{\lambda_j}^U &\Leftrightarrow \exists j \in I, x \in A_{\lambda_j}^U \Leftrightarrow \exists j \in I, A^U(x) \leq \lambda_j \\ &\Leftrightarrow A^U(x) \leq \bigvee_{j \in I} \lambda_j \Leftrightarrow x \in A_{\bigvee_{j \in I} \lambda_j}^U \end{aligned}$$

Example 3. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$.

$$[A; 0.4] = \left\{ \begin{aligned} &[<a, [0.1, 0.5]>; 0.4], [<b, [0.3, 1]>; 0.4], \\ &[<c, [0.4, 0.7]>; 0.4], [<d, [0.2, 0.9]>; 0.4] \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$[A; 0.4]$ is 0.4 -interval valued fuzzy set;

i. $[A; 0.4]_{[0,1;0.4]} = \{x \in X | A^L(x) \geq 0 \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq 1\} = \{a, b, c, d\} = X$

ii. $[A; 0.4]_{[0,0.5;0.4]} = \{x \in X | A^L(x) \geq 0 \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq 0.5\} = \{a\}$

iii. $[A; 0.4]_{[0,0.9;0.4]} = \{x \in X | A^L(x) \geq 0 \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq 0.9\} = \{a, c, d\}$

iv. $[A; 0.4]_{[0.3,0.5;0.4]} = \{x \in X | A^L(x) \geq 0.3 \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq 0.5\} = \emptyset$

v. $[A; 0.4]_{[0.3,0.7;0.4]} = \{x \in X | A^L(x) \geq 0.3 \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq 0.7\} = \{c\}$

vi. $[A; 0.4]_{[0.2,0.9;0.4]} = \{x \in X | A^L(x) \geq 0.2 \text{ and } A^U(x) \leq 0.9\} = \{c, d\}$

Proposition 10. Let X be universal set and $[A; \alpha] \in \alpha$ -IVFS(X).

$$M \notin D(I_\alpha) \Rightarrow [A; \alpha]_M = \emptyset$$

Proof: Let $M \notin D(I_\alpha)$. Assume that $[A; \alpha]_M \neq \emptyset$, hence,

$$\exists x \in [A; \alpha]_M \Rightarrow [A(x); \alpha] \geq M$$

$$\Rightarrow A^L(x) \geq M^L, A^U(x) \leq M^U \Rightarrow \alpha \geq A^L(x) \geq M^L \text{ and } \alpha \leq A^U(x) \leq M^U$$

$$\Rightarrow M \in D(I_\alpha)$$

is contradiction. Then:

$$[A; \alpha]_M = \emptyset$$

Conclusion

In this study, the definition of α -interval valued set was given. It was shown that α -interval valued set is lattice by giving of definition of partial ordered relation on this set. In terms of definitions and discussions, α -interval valued fuzzy set was introduced. The definitions of intersection and union on this set were introduced. Afterwards, the complement of α -interval valued fuzzy sets was given by the help of negation function on α -interval valued set. Thus, the fundamental algebraic properties of this set were studied. In addition, the level subset of α -interval valued fuzzy set was given.

References

- [1] Zadeh, L. A., Fuzzy Sets, *Information and Control*, 8 (1965), 3, pp. 338-353
- [2] Zadeh, L. A., The Concept of a Linguistic Variable and Its Application to Approximate Reasoning, Part 1, *Infor. Sci.*, 8 (1975), 3, pp.199-249
- [3] Zadeh, L. A., The Concept of a Linguistic Variable and Its Application to Approximate Reasoning, Part 2, *Infor. Sci.*, 8 (1975), 4, pp. 301-357
- [4] Zadeh, L. A., The Concept of a Linguistic Variable and Its Application to Approximate Reasoning, Part 3, *Infor. Sci.*, 9 (1975), 1, pp.43-80
- [5] Grattan-Guinness, I., Fuzzy Membership Mapped onto Interval and Many-valued Quantities, *Z. Math. Logik, Grundlehren Math*, 22 (1975), 1, pp.149-160
- [6] Gorzalczyk, B., Approximate Inference with Interval-valued Fuzzy Sets-an Outline, *Proceedings, Polish Symp. on Interval and Fuzzy Mathematics*, Poznan, Poland, 1983, pp. 89-95
- [7] Gorzalczyk, B., A Method of Inference in Approximate Reasoning Based on Interval-valued Fuzzy Sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 21 (1987), 1, pp. 1-17
- [8] Jahn, K. U., Intervall-wertige Mengen, *Math.Nach*, 68 (1975), 1, pp. 115-132
- [9] Salahshour, S., et al., Uncertain Fractional Operator With Application Arising In The Steady Heat Flow, *Thermal Science*, 23 (2019), 2B, pp. 1289-1296
- [10] Sambuc, R., Fonctions ϕ -floues Application L'aide au Diagnostic en Pathologie Thyroïdienne, Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. Marseille, Marseille, France, 1975
- [11] Tu, X, et al., State Variable-Fuzzy Prediction Control Strategy for Superheated Steam Temperature of Thermal Power Units, *Thermal Science*, 25 (2021), 6A, pp. 4083-4090
- [12] Turksen, I., Interval Valued Fuzzy Sets Based on Normal Forms, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20 (1986), 2, pp. 191-210
- [13] Mondal T. K., Samanta, S. K., Topology of Interval-Valued Fuzzy Sets, *Indian J. Pure Applied Math*, 30 (1999), 1, pp. 20-38
- [14] Biswas, R., Rosenfeld's Fuzzy Subgroups with Interval Valued Membership Functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 63 (1994), 1, pp. 87-90
- [15] Li, X., Wang, G., The S_H -Interval-Valued Fuzzy Subgroups, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 112 (2000), 2, pp. 319-325