NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF RADIATIVE HEAT TRANSFER IN A BINARY-SIZE GRANULAR BED
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The radiative heat transfer in a high-temperature granular bed of binary-size mixture was explored in this paper. The effective view factor between particles decreases exponentially with the increase in particle interval, increases with the increase in the size of the absorption particle but is hardly affected by the volume ratio of the mixture. The effective thermal conductivity of granular bed was further deduced basing on the characteristic of the effective view factor. It is indicated that the thermal conductivity is proportional to the particle size and temperature cubed, and increases with the increase in the particle size ratio and volume ratio. Finally, modified calculation correlations of the effective view factor and effective thermal conductivity were developed for binary-size bed based on the simulation results, and good accuracy of less than 0.01 and 10% had been achieved, respectively.
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1. Introduction

Granular bed is widely used as heat storage and heat transfer device in the fields of the chemical industry, energy, metallurgy, and so on because of its simple structure, convenient operation, and high thermal efficiency. Heat exchange happens between particles, which normally consists of three parts [1], as the conduction through physical contact of particles, the conduction through the fluid gap among particles, and the thermal radiation between particles. Thermal radiation is an important part of heat transfer in high-temperature granular systems, such as the packed pebble bed of high-temperature gas-cooled reactor [2], blast furnace [3], bubbling fluidized bed [4], and other packed granular beds. It exists between the contacting or adjacent particles as well as the non-contacting particles [5-7], which can be ignored when the bed temperature is low, however, it becomes the dominant mode when the
temperature increases over 800 °C.

Effective thermal conductivity of radiation \( (k_r) \) is an important parameter for the thermal design of high-temperature beds, which was received extensive attention [8, 9]. Chen et al. [10] obtained the view factor by regarding the radiative heat transfer of particle material as a diffuse reflection process, and the results showed the factor is related to the absorptivity and scattering rate of particle material. Argo et al. [11], Wakao et al. [12], Kasparek et al. [13], Vortmeyer et al. [14] and others also gave empirical calculation formulas of view factor, which could be suitable for a specific bed void fraction. Antwerpen et al. [15] used a multi-sphere element model to study the effective thermal conductivity of a single-size granular bed. Johnson et al. [16] discussed the effect of bed void fraction and material emissivity on the effective thermal conductivity of radiation. Zhou et al. [17] proposed a two-dimensional boundary element method to calculate the effective thermal conductivity of a granular bed. The results showed that radiation made a very important influence on the effective thermal conductivity under high temperatures, and both the particle size and particle thermal conductivity affected the thermal conductivity of the bed. Singh et al. [18] studied the effect of the particle material on the effective thermal conductivity of radiation. During the radiation process in the bed, the particles absorb rays and then radiate outward again from the other side, which is affected by the conductivity of particle material to a certain extent.

The above studies mostly focused on the thermal conductivity of radiation in a single-size granular bed. In the actual process, the particles used in the bed usually have a certain size distribution. In the multi size granular bed, the particles of different diameter shield each other, which changes the heat transfer of radiation, thereby affecting the effective thermal conductivity of radiation of the bed. It is necessary to clarify the effect of the size composition of particles on the radiative heat transfer of the bed. Chen et al. [19, 20] studied the particle contact conduction of binary granular bed at the temperature range of 500~530 °C, and found that the bed had the largest effective thermal conductivity when the solid fraction of large particles is 60%. Mandal et al. [21] discussed the relationship between void fraction and effective thermal conductivity in a 30 °C bed, and pointed out that the effective thermal conductivity reached the maximum when the void fraction was the smallest. However, there is little research about thermal radiation in the high temperature granular mixture.

This paper conducts research on the granular bed of binary-size particles with high temperature, introduces the Monte Carlo (MC) method [22-24] to estimate the radiative heat transfer between particles, analyzes and obtains the calculation formula of effective thermal conductivity, and provides a calculation basis for the radiative heat transfer of binary- and multi-size granular bed.

2. Model establishment and calculation

There are several models of multiple-body radiation within the packed granular bed, i. e., black radiation model, uniform radiation model, local radiation model, particle scale radiation model (PSRM), and so on [9]. The black model is only valid for an emissivity of 1. The uniform radiation model is also not appropriate for modeling gray particles because of the assumption of uniform radiosity on the particle surface. The local radiation model, where each particle surface is divided into many surface elements, improves upon the uniform radiation model, but the high computational cost of calculating radiation element-by-element makes this unsuitable for a granular bed with lots of particles. According to Wu’s suggestion [9], the PSRM has a good approximation of the local radiation model. Hence, the PSRM was adopted to simulate the radiative heat transfer in this paper.
The framework of the simulation in this paper is shown in Fig. 1. (1) A computation domain randomly packed with particles is generated by using discrete element method (DEM) (Section 2.1). (2) A MC method is used to solve the “Effective view factor” between particles (Section 2.2). (3) Based on the PSRM, a radiative heat transfer simulation is run in domain where the left side is heated and the right is cooled (Section 2.3). (4) The resulting steady-state temperature profile is plotted and fitted by a curve of fourth power of particle temperature \( T^4 \), and then the effective thermal conductivity \( k_r \) can be calculated (Section 2.4).

2.1. Computation domain

A packed bed was generated by the DEM, in where the particles were distributed randomly and packed loosely and the solid fraction \( \phi \) is about 0.52. The particles collided each other and the Hertz force model with a Young’s modules of \( 10^9 \) and restitution coefficient of 0.5 was adopted to simulate the particle collision. A rectangle of 800 mm width, 400 mm height and 400 mm thickness was cut from the packed bed as computation domain, as shown in Fig. 2. Uniform cubic cells of 1.25 diameter of small particle (1.25 \( d_i \)) were meshed to track the particle position. The domain had periodic boundaries in the height and thickness directions. The particles at the boundaries contacted with that at the opposite and the particles leaving out the boundaries would re-enter at the opposite direction.

To deduce the effective thermal conductivity, the domain was divided into 3 parts from left side to right (Fig.2), (I) radiation-emitting region of 100 mm width, (II) radiation-transmitting region of 600 mm width, and (III) radiation-absorbing region of 100 mm width. The heat is transferred from the region I to III.

2.2. Effective view factor

The particle (emitter) in bed emittes rays from its surface. The ray follows its path and reaches the surface of the neighbors. Part of the ray was absorbed, and the unabsorbed part forms a diffuse reflection. Here, the assumption of no transmission through particles and a non-participating fluid phase is considered, and the emissivity and absorptivity meet the Kirchhoff’s law. The effective view factor \( (F) \) is defined as the ratio of the energy absorbed by a particle from the emitter and the total emitting energy, depicting the influence of the particle interval on radiation in granular bed.

Several methods were developed to solve the radiative heat transfer in the literatures, as the Discrete Ordinates Method (DOM) [25] and Finite Volume Method (FVM) [26], and Monto Carlo (MC) integration [27]. In DOM and FVM, the domain is divided into a finite number of control volumes, resulting in the flase scattering and ray effect (consequence of spatial discretization and angular discretization, respectively). Because of the simple algorithm and flexibility to real physical
conditions, MC technique was broadly served as a reference to other methods [28]. Here, the solution of MC was used to find the effective view factor between particles in binary size granular bed.

The basic calculation process of the MC method is as follows, as shown in Fig. 3a. (1) Determining a particle \( p_o \) in the center of the computation domain as the emitter. (2) Selecting a radiation point randomly on the surface of the emitter, and emitting a ray randomly from the point to the hemispherical space. (3) Tracking the possible path of the ray and finding the second particle and the landing point that the ray reaches. (4) Part of the ray is absorbed according to the absorptivity and the remaining part is diffusely reflected. (5) Emitting a diffuse reflection ray from landing point. (6) Continuing to track the diffuse reflection ray, and finding the particles, which are absorbed the reflection ray. The energy of the diffuse reflection ray is relatively lower; therefore, the re-reflection can be ignored, i.e., all the diffuse reflection ray is absorbed by the particle surface. (7) Summating the radiative rays and reflection rays absorbed particle by particle after the rays are enough in statistics.

![Fig. 3. Calculation of view factor. (a) schematic map of MC, (b) the radiation transmission (red line), and diffuse reflection transmission (blue line)](image_url)

C++ is used to MC code. Once the number of radiative points, radiative rays and reflection rays are sufficient (\( K, N \) and \( M \) in Fig. 3, respectively), a statistically calculation result can be obtained, and the output of the MC code is the radiation distribution factor (RDF), the proportion of the rays from the emitter and that absorbed by the particles after a number of reflections, which is termed as effective view factor. The effective view factor (\( F \)) is given as:

\[
F = \frac{k_0 \cdot \alpha_{r,i} + k_1 \cdot (1-\alpha_{r,i}) / M}{k_0}
\]

where, \( \alpha_{r,i} \) is the absorptivity of particle \( i (p_i) \), \( k_0 \) is the number of radiative rays emitted by emitter \( p_o \), \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are the absorption rays and reflection rays by particle \( i \), respectively, \( M \) is the reflection number for each ray.

The interval between the particle \( p_o \) (emitter) and the particle \( p_i \) (absorber) is marked as \( l \) (Fig. 3b). As \( l \) increases, the radiation rays absorbed by \( p_i \) decreases, and \( F \) decreases accordingly.

2.3. Radiative heat transfer between particles

The computation domain was divided into 3 parts, as shown in Fig. 2. The particles in regions I and region III had a fixed temperature \( T_h \) and \( T_l \), respectively. As \( T_h > T_l \), the heat transfers from the region I to III. No heat conduction and heat convection occurred between the particles, and here only
radiative heat transfer was considered. The radiative heat exchange between particle $i$ and particle $j$ ($q_{r,ij}$) was calculated according to the formula (2) [6].

$$q_{r,ij} = \frac{\sigma(T_i^4 - T_j^4)}{\frac{1 - \alpha_{r,i}}{A_{i}^r} + \frac{1 - \alpha_{r,j}}{A_{j}^r} + \frac{1 - \alpha_{r,i}}{F_{ij}} + \frac{1 - \alpha_{r,j}}{A_{j}^r}}$$

(2)

where, $T$ is the particle temperature, K; $\sigma$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, $\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8}$ m$^2$·K$^{-4}$; $A$ is the radiation area of the particle, m$^2$ ($A = 0.5\pi d^2$, $d$ is the particle diameter, m); subscripts $i$ and $j$ represent particles $i$ and $j$, respectively; and $F_{ij}$ is the effective view factor between particles $i$ and $j$.

According to the energy balance, the temperature of the particle $i$ in region II was changed by the formula (3).

$$m_i C_{pp,i} \frac{dT_i}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{r,ij}$$

(3)

where, $m_i$, $C_{pp,i}$, and $T_i$ are the mass, kg, specific heat, J·kg$^{-1}$·K$^{-1}$, and temperature of particle $i$, K, respectively. $n$ is the number of particles contacting with particle $i$. $q_{r,ij}$ is the heat exchange between particle $i$ and particles $j$, W.

$T_i$ was adjusted after every time step $t_{step}$.

$$T_{i,final} = T_{i,initial} + t_{step} \frac{dT_i}{dt}$$

(4)

where, $T_{i,final}$ and $T_{i,initial}$ are the temperature of particle $i$ at final and initial time, K.

The total radiative heat flux $Q$ (W) between regions I and II ($Q_{I,II}$) (or regions II and III ($Q_{II,III}$)) can be summarized particle by particle.

$$Q_{I,II} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{r,ij}$$

$$Q_{II,III} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{r,ij}$$

(5)

2.4. Effective thermal conductivity

After stabilization, $Q_{I,II} = Q_{II,III}$ and the temperature distribution in the region II was obtained, as $T = f(x)$, where $x$ was the position of particles in width direction. The effective thermal conductivity of radiation $k_{r,eff}$ could be obtained based on the following expression.

$$k_{r,eff} = \frac{Q}{A_D \frac{dT}{dx}}$$

(6)

where, $A_D$ is the cross-sectional area of the computation domain, $A_D = 0.16$ m$^2$. $\frac{dT}{dx}$ is the temperature gradient of particles in the region II, K·m$^{-1}$, $Q$ is the heat flux between regions, $Q = Q_{I,II} = Q_{II,III}$ after stabilization, W.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effective view factor calculation

The effective view factor of the single-size granular bed was firstly calculated based on the theory in section 2.2 before calculating the view factor of the binary-size bed, verifying its feasibility.
3.1.1 Single size granular bed

Single-size particles of 40 mm diameter were randomly packed in the domain. The relationship between the view factor and the dimensionless particle interval (ratio of particle interval to particle diameter, $L = l/d_1$) is shown in Fig. 4(a). The results indicate that the view factor has the largest value when the absorber are in contact with emitter ($L = 0$). The effective view factor decreases exponentially with the increase in $L$, and it is almost completely attenuated ($F \approx 0$) when $L$ is greater than 1. The calculation can be repeatedly conducted, which shows that the random packing of particles does not affect the relationship of $F \sim L$.

Figure 4(b) shows the relationship of $F \sim L$ at different absorptivities, where all the value are the average view factor at the same position. It can be seen that the view factor also decreases exponentially with the increase in particle interval no matter how the absorptivity varies. In addition, Fig. 4(b) also indicates that the effective view factor decreases with the decrease in absorptivity.

![Fig. 4. Effective view factor of the particles in the granular bed, $L=l/d_1$. (a) ☐, ○, △, ▽ and ◇ represent five different accumulation of particles. (b) The relationship between view factor and particle interval under different $a_r$.](image)

3.1.2 Validation of MC model

To validate the MC model used in this paper, the calculation results of the relationship of $F \sim L$ were compared to that calculated by Pitso [5] and Johnson [16], as shown in Fig. 5. The comparisons were illustrated that the effective view factor had almost the same changing trend as that reported in the literatures [5, 16]. Therefore, the calculation method proposed in this paper was feasibility. Then, the effective view factor of binary-size granular bed was further calculated on the basis of that of the single-size bed.

![Fig. 5. Comparison of the effective view factor calculating in this work with others, $a_r = 0.8$](image)
3.1.3 Binary size particle bed

The small particles \((d_1 = 20 \text{ mm})\) were mixed with the large ones \((d_2 = 40, 30, \text{ and } 26.6 \text{ mm},\) respectively) in the same proportion of volume \((V_2 = V_1, V_2, \text{ and } V_1 \text{ are the total volume of large and small particles in the bed, respectively})\) and then randomly packed in the calculation bed. The radiation rays emitted from the emitter \((p_o)\) and then were absorbed by the rest of the particles in the computation domain.

Figure 6 presents the view factor of the absorber in different binary mixtures, where \(D\) is the size ratio of large and small particles, \(D = d_2/d_1\). The results show that the absorption energy decreases exponentially with the increase in \(L\) whether the absorber is a large particle or a small. The large particle has a larger surface than the small; therefore, the large particle absorbs more radiation energy under the same conditions. That is to say, the absorption radiation energy by large particles is greater than that by small ones at the same interval, resulting in that the effective view factor is larger (Fig. 6).

For an example, the effective view factor of large particles is 0.015 larger than that of small particles when \(D = 1.33\) and \(L = 0\) (Fig. 6(c)). With the increase in \(D\), the gap of the effective view factor becomes large moderately. Specifically, the increasing ratio of the effective view factor \((F_L/F_s, F_L, \text{ and } F_s \text{ are the view factor of large and small particles})\) is smaller than the size ratio of particles \(D\), which may be ascribed to the shielding between particles.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Fig. 6. Relationship between effective view factor and} \\
\text{particle interval under different diameter ratio, } V_2 = V_1, \\
\alpha_r = 0.9, d_1 = 20 \text{ mm} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Fig. 7. Relationship between effective view factor and} \\
\text{particle interval under different solid fraction ratio, } D = 2, \alpha_r = 0.9, d_1 = 20 \text{ mm} \\
\end{align*}
\]
energy than the small ones, that was, the effective view factor of large particles was larger than that of small ones. But for each particle, the relationship of $F-L$ was hardly affected by $\Omega$ whether the absorber is a large or a small particle. In this calculation of $D=2$, the relationship of $F-L$ hardly changed when the solid fraction ratio $\Omega$ increased from 1 to 3. In other words, for the binary-size granular bed, the effective view factor was only affected by the particle size ratio $D$, not the solid fraction ratio $\Omega$.

### 3.1.4 Correction of effective view factor

According to the results in sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.3, the absorber contacted with emitter receive the most rays and has the largest effective view factor. With interval $L$ increasing, the effective view factor decreases rapidly and tend to 0. The RDF seemly conforms to a normal distribution, hence the relationship of $F-L$ is assumed as the following relationship (equation (7)).

$$F = \frac{1}{n_2\sqrt{2\pi}\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{L^2}{2\theta^2}\right)$$

(7)

where, $n_2$ is the number density of absorber at $l$, $n_2 = 6\varphi(2L+D+1)^3/D^3$; $\varphi=0.52$, $L = l/d$, $D = d_2/d_1$, $\theta$ is the standard deviation of the distribution.

$F$ is influenced by particle interval $L$, absorptivity $\alpha_r$, and particle size ratio $D$. The relationship of equation (7) presents the effect of $L$. Then it is considered that $\theta$ is related to $\alpha_r$ and $D$, and shows the simple function as following expression.

$$\theta = a(\alpha_r)^b D^c$$

(8)

where, $a$ is a proportional coefficient, $b$ and $c$ are the exponential coefficients.

The simulation results of effective view factor for binary size granular bed are presented in section 3.1.1 and 3.1.3. Based on these MC simulation results, $a$, $b$ and $c$ can be got by fitting equations (7) and (8), and their value is 0.388, -0.962 and 1.333, respectively.

Figure 8 gives the fitting accuracy of equation (7). Most of the fitting results are in good agreement with the original value from the MC simulation, where the fitting error of effective view factor is within 0.01. As the original view factor has a certain of deviation (the data in Figs. 4, 6 and 7) and the effects of $\alpha_r$, $D$ and $\Omega$ on view factor are complex, a small number of data are out of the range of $\pm0.01$. As there are several thousand particles in computation domain, the error will be weakened. Here, equation (7) is subsequently used to estimate the effective radiation thermal conductivity of granular bed in the section 3.2.
3.2. Temperature distribution and effective thermal conductivity

3.2.1 Radiative heat transfer and PSRM

Small particles of \(d_1\) were mixed with large ones of \(d_2\) in a volume proportion \(\Omega\) and then randomly packed in a granular bed. At the initial moment \((t = 0 \text{ s})\), the temperature of the particles in zone I was initiated as 1000 K, and those in zones II and III were both 800 K (Fig. 9(a)). During the process of heat transfer, the temperature of the particles in zones I and III remained unchanged. The temperature in zone II began to increase with the proceeding of the radiative heat transfer between particles. The temperature distribution in the bed at different times was shown in Fig. 9(a). The temperature of the particles in zone II gradually increased from right to left, and showed sunken distribution. In addition, it also could be seen that the temperature distribution in the bed after 10000 s was almost similar to that of 15000 s. Therefore, it could be considered that the temperature distribution became stable after 10000 s, and the temperature cloud diagram was shown in the insert of Fig. 9(a) after stabilization. With the periodic boundary, the temperature gradient only exists in the width direction \((x\)-direction) and no gradient in the height and thickness directions.

The radiation heat fluxes between zones I and II, II, and III are shown in Fig. 9(b). The calculation results indicated that the heat flux between I and II \((q_{I,II})\) gradually decreased, while the heat flux between II and III \((q_{II,III})\) increased as the heat transfer went on. Finally, \(q_{I,II}\) and \(q_{II,III}\) reached uniformity after stabilization. In Fig. 9(b), \(Q_{I,II}/A_d\) heat flux from I to II and \(Q_{II,III}/A_d\) heat flux from II to III.

The temperature distribution (relationship between the particle temperature and its position) in zone II after stabilization is given as \(f(T, x) = 0\). According to the fitting data, the fourth power of particle temperature \((T^4)\) shows a linear relationship with its position along \(x\)-direction, as \(T^4 = ax + b\).

Based on the equation (6), the effective thermal conductivity can be further given as:

\[
k_{r, eff} = \frac{4}{a A_d} T^3
\]

where \(a\) and \(b\) are parameters according to data fitting. Equation (9) shows that the effective thermal conductivity is proportional to \(T^3\), which is consistent with the literatures [13, 14].

Figure 10 presents the temperature distribution and the corresponding effective thermal conductivity for the granular mixture of 20 mm and 40 mm. As the bed temperature increases from 800 K to 1000 K, the effective thermal conductivity increases from 11.4 W/(m·K) to 22.0 W/(m·K), while the temperature gradient in the region II decreases.
distribution of particles in the bed is hardly affected by particle diameter \( d \), particle size ratio \( D \), volume ratio \( \Omega \), and absorptivity \( \alpha_r \). The temperature distribution in Fig. 11 is completely coincident with that in Fig. 10. So it can be considered that \( T^3 \) is always in liner with the position in the \( x \)-direction, and the thermal conductivity is a third power relationship of temperature.

![Fig. 10. Effective radiation thermal conductivity of bed, \( \alpha_r = 0.95, d_1 = 20 \text{ mm}, d_2 = 40 \text{ mm}, \Omega = 1, \alpha_r = 0.95 \)](image)

**3.2.2 Effective thermal conductivity**

Figure 11 shows the temperature distribution of granular mixture after stabilization under different calculation conditions. The results indicated that the temperature distribution of particles in bed is hardly affected by particle diameter \( d \), particle size ratio \( D \), volume ratio \( \Omega \), and absorptivity \( \alpha_r \). The temperature distribution in Fig. 11 is completely coincident with that in Fig. 10. So it can be considered that \( T^3 \) is always in liner with the position in the \( x \)-direction, and the thermal conductivity is a third power relationship of temperature.

![Fig. 11. Temperature distribution in bed, (a) Single size beds, (b)-(d) Binary size particles beds](image)

![Fig. 12. Effective thermal conductivity of bed, (a) Single size granular beds, (b)-(d) Binary size particles beds](image)

Figure 12(a) gives the effective thermal conductivity in a single-size granular bed, indicating that the effective thermal conductivity increases linearly with the particle size. Although the temperature distribution is almost unaffected by the particle size, the large size particle will bring in a large
temperature difference between adjacent particles and a great heat transfer $Q$, resulting the large $k_{\text{eff}}$. The effective thermal conductivity in a binary size granular bed is given in Fig. 12(b)-(d). The results indicated that the absorptivity of particles has a small effect on thermal conductivity. When the absorptivity increases, the radiation reflection decreases, and the effective thermal conductivity decreases slightly. The heat flux transferred by the large particles increases when the size of large particles increases or the volume ratio of large particles increases, resulting in the increase of thermal conductivity in the bed.

### 3.2.3 Validation of numerical model

There are some empirical formulas to calculate the thermal conductivity of the packed bed of single size particles, as the model of Zehner, Bauer and Schlunder (ZBS) [29], Breitbach and Bartheles (BB) [30], Wakao and Kato (WK) [12], and so on. Here, we comparing our simulation results with the previous, as shown in Fig. 13. Comparatively speaking, the thermal conductivity of WK is much lower than the others, as the effect of solid fraction $\phi$ does not considered. For the loose packed bed with $\phi = 0.52$, $k_{\text{eff}}$ in the current study is closed to that calculated by ZBS and BB, and the difference is less than 10% during the temperature range from 800 K to 1000 K. The difference may be induced by the fitting error of equation (4), and it can be considered that the numerical model in this study predicts the effective heat conductivity of radiation well.

![Fig. 13. Comparison of the thermal conductivity between the current study and previous models](image)

### 3.2.4 Correction of effective thermal conductivity

In our study, the effective thermal conductivity of binary mixture $k_{\text{eff}}$ is corrected based on $k_{\text{eq}}$.

$$k_{\text{r,eff}} = Xk_{\text{r,eq}}$$

(10)

where $X$ is the correction factor of binary mixture, and $k_{\text{r,eq}}$ is the effective radiation thermal conductivity of a single particle size bed.

According to the previous studies, $k_{\text{eq}}$ has a linear relationship with $T^3$ and particles diameter, as $k_{\text{eq}} = F_E/A$, where $A = 4\sigma d^3$, $F_E$ is the exchange factor. In our study, a simple relationship of $F_E$ is assumed, $F_E = \zeta k d^4$, where, $\zeta$ and $k$ are coefficients for absorptivity. Obviously, $X$ is related to diameter ratio $D$ and fraction ratio $\Omega$. When $D = 1$ or $\Omega = 0$, $X = 1$, the binary mixture can degrade to single size granular bed. Based on this truth, we introduce the expression of $X$ as following.

$$X = \frac{m + D\Omega^n}{m + \Omega^n}$$

(11)

where, $m$ and $n$ are coefficients in correction factor of binary mixture.
Hence, the equation (6) can be rewritten as

\[ k_{r,eff} = 4\zeta\sigma d_1 \varepsilon_r^{m+D\Omega/m+\Omega} T^3 \]  

Equation (12)

Fitting is carried out based on the influence of \( \varepsilon_r, D, \Omega, \) and \( T \) on \( k_{r,eff} \), as shown in Fig. 12, obtaining \( \zeta = 2/3, m = 0.461, n = 1, \) and \( k = 0.27 \). Therefore, \( k_{r,eff} \) can be shown in equation (9), which gives the correction formula of thermal conductivity in binary particle size bed.

\[ k_{r,eff} = \frac{8}{3} \sigma d_1 \varepsilon_r^{0.461+D\Omega} T^3 \]  

Equation (13)

Figure 14 shows the accuracy of the fitting formula, equation (13). The fitting formula in this work is in good agreement with the original calculated results, and the fitting error is less than 10%. Furthermore, equation (13) has extended the radiation thermal conductivity calculation to the binary-size mixture, which is more in line with the actual situation.

![Fig. 14. Accuracy of effective thermal conductivity fitting](image)

The results obtained in this paper provide a basis for the calculation of radiative heat transfer in the high-temperature granular bed. It should be noted that the influence of the heat transfer of the granular material itself on the radiative heat transfer has not been considered in the paper. Therefore, the results obtained from this paper are suitable for the high-temperature granular beds dominated by radiation. When the thermal conductivity of particle material is small or the radiation heat is not dominant, namely, the effective thermal conductivity of radiation is at the same level as the thermal conductivity of the particle material [18], the influence of thermal conductivity on radiative heat transfer needs to be furtherly considered.

4. Conclusions

The effective view factor and effective thermal conductivity of high-temperature binary-size granular bed were studied in this paper. The effective view factor decreases exponentially with the increase of the particle distance. As for a packed granular bed, the view factor is almost completely attenuated when particle interval is greater than their diameter. The surface characteristic of particle material also affects the radiative heat transfer, and the view factor decreases as the absorptivity of the granular material decreases. For a binary-size granular bed, the view factor of large particles increases with increasing particle size but is hardly affected by the solid fraction ratio of large to small particles. The empirical correlation formula for the view factors of randomly packed granular beds is given as equations (7) and (8) by fitting the MC calculation results.
The effective radiation thermal conductivity of the bed is proportional to the particle size $d$ and third power of temperature $T^3$, and decreases with the increase in particle absorptivity. For a binary-size granular bed, the thermal conductivity increases with the increase in particle size ratio and volume ratio. Finally, the corrected formula for the calculation of effective radiation thermal conductivity of binary-size granular bed is given as equation (13). Therefore, the paper may provide reference for the calculation of effective thermal conductivity involved in the heat transfer process of high-temperature multicomponent particles.
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