The article deals with numerical analysis of a double sided coreless axial flux permanent magnet machine with two rotor disks and a coreless stator. Coreless stator represents copper windings which are held together by epoxy raisin, therefore a special focus has to be given to the stator temperature. In this article a numerical analysis is presented, which is based on the copper losses, namely the $I^2R$ product, where the resistance of the copper windings was both calculated as well as measured in the prototype machine.
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1. Introduction

Axial flux permanent magnet machines (AFPMM) are increasingly popular in the last decade due to their compactness and high degree of reliability and high-power density [1-7]. Different topologies are available, namely single sided (one stator and one rotor), double sided (single stator-double rotor or single rotor-double stator) and multistage (multiple rotors and stators). Selection of the topology depends on the application of the AFPMM [8].

This article deals with the coreless double sided topology with surface mounted permanent magnets (PMs) on two external rotor disks. Advantages of this topology are absence of cogging torque [9] and use of a massive core of rotor disks instead of a laminated iron. Since there is no ferromagnetic core in the stator they can operate at a higher efficiency [10] compared to the conventional machines [11].

Torque and induced voltage sizes of this topology are mainly limited by outer dimensions of the machine (and its mass) as well as current density in the windings.

External dimensions of the machine limit the space for the installation of coils and PMs and the maximum allowed temperature limits the current density in the windings [7], [12-14].

Since the temperature is a limiting factor for electrical machines the authors analyze it using different approaches. In [15] the literature review of the rotor-stator heat transfer in AFPMM as well as experimental techniques for convective heat transfer measurement are presented and in [16] an experimental method to measure the local heat flux inside an electrical machine by using sensors based on the transverse Seebeck effect in an AFPM. In [17] a cooling structure based on the geometry property and the assemble way of AFPM is proposed and in [18] the cooling enhanced method with rectangular fins is introduced for improving the temperature distribution of rotor in AFPMM and verified by CFD simulation.

In this article a thermal analysis of a coreless axial flux permanent magnet machine is presented for the double sided coreless AFPMM which is based on the copper losses, calculated with the mean
The article shows the importance of appropriate housing or a cooling method in order to avoid damage of the AFPMM, namely deformation of the stator. The AFPMM used in the analysis was previously presented and optimised in [19].

2. Methodology

The topology of the analyzed machine is relatively simple. It consists of two rotor disks with surface mounted permanent magnets and stator between those rotor disks. Fig. 1 shows the structure of the machine [19].

For a given design of AFPMM a thermal analysis was conducted for the thermal load, namely heat flux, determined in the electromagnetic analysis of the AFPMM (2408 W/m²). It was calculated with the division of the copper losses of the machine, which were calculated using eq. (1) and the surfaces of all windings (0.0208·6=0.125 m²).

\[ P_{Cu} = 3I^2R_p \]  

(1)

where \( I \) is the nominal current of the AFPMM (20 A) and \( R_p \) measured ohmic resistance of one phase (0.25 Ω).

The prototype AFPMM is shown in fig. 2.
A steady state simulation of the AFPMM was performed using Ansys CFX 17.2, where the meshing was done with ICEM CFD 17.2. A block structured grid with approximately 14.3 million elements was made.

2.1. Description of the analyzed machine (geometry, mesh and boundary conditions)

2.1.1 Geometry

The geometry was modeled in SolidWorks 2019. Each of the two rotor parts contain a rotor disk with 10 surface mounted permanent magnets. The stator part contains six coils. A cross-section of the electric motor with marked key parts is shown in fig. 3 and the basic geometry data in tab. 1.

![Cross-section of the analyzed AFPMM](image)

Table 1. Basic geometry data of the AFPMM [19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stator - housing</th>
<th>Rotor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of windings</td>
<td>12 (2x6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil width</td>
<td>20 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil thickness</td>
<td>15 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil span</td>
<td>30 °</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of the housing</td>
<td>400 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the housing</td>
<td>400 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of the housing</td>
<td>100 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing wall thickness</td>
<td>5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor disk radius</td>
<td>150 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor disk thickness</td>
<td>11.6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent magnet thickness</td>
<td>5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent magnet span</td>
<td>25 °</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent magnet inner radius</td>
<td>80 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent magnet outer radius</td>
<td>150 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole span</td>
<td>36 °</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data shown in tab. 1 is also presented in the fig. 4, where on the left side the stator and on the right side the rotor is shown.
2.1.2 Mesh

The mesh is divided into two domains, the rotor domain which contains the rotor parts (rotor disk, permanent magnets and the surrounding air) and the stator domain which contains the stator parts (epoxy resin that holds together the windings, housing of the AFPMM, surrounding air and the air within the AFPMM without the air in the proximity of the rotor).

Each component must be set as a separate body so the appropriate material and boundary conditions can be assigned. For both the stator and rotor parts a blocked structured mesh was created in ICEM CFD 17.2. Blocks of the stator and rotor parts are shown in fig. 5. It can be seen that half of the stator domain was meshed and the boundary condition symmetry was applied. For the rotor domain only one tenth of the domain was meshed and the boundary condition rotating periodicity was applied.

The final structured mesh of the stator parts is made out of 13,500,000 elements and it is shown in fig. 6.
The final structured mesh of the rotor parts is made out of 800,000 elements and it is shown in fig. 7.

For the rotor and stator parts the goal was to reach the condition $y^+=1$. Based on the dimensionless number $y^+$ and eq. (2)-(6) we calculated the distance from the wall of the first element [20].

Reynolds number is calculated with eq. 2

$$Re = \frac{\rho U_{\infty} L}{\mu}$$

where $\rho$ is the fluid density, $L$ is characteristic length, $U_{\infty}$ is the free stream velocity and $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity of the fluid.

The skin friction coefficient for Reynolds numbers smaller than $10^9$ is calculated by eq. (3) using the Schlichting approximation solution:
\[ C_f = (2 \log_{10}(Re) - 0.65)^{-2.3} \]  

(3)

The wall shear stress is defined by eq. (4) and is calculated using the skin friction coefficient and the free stream velocity:

\[ \tau_w = \frac{C_f \rho U_\infty^2}{2} \]  

(4)

The friction velocity is defined eq. (5) and is calculated using the wall shear stress and the fluid density:

\[ u_* = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_w}{\rho}} \]  

(5)

The distance of the first element from the wall is defined by eq. (6).

\[ \Delta s = \frac{y^+ \mu}{\rho u_*} \]  

(6)

2.1.3 Boundry conditions

Boundary condition setting and stationary simulations were performed using Ansys CFX 17.2. Within the program, we divided the model into the domains shown in tab. 1 – 5 and fig. 8 – 11.

Table 2. Domains of the permanent magnets and housing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the domain</th>
<th>Permanent magnets</th>
<th>Housing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of domain</td>
<td>Solid domain</td>
<td>Solid domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation</td>
<td>1500 rev/min</td>
<td>No rotation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material of the domain</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>Aluminum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transfer</td>
<td>Thermal Energy</td>
<td>Thermal Energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. Domain of the permanent magnets (left) and housing (right)
Table 3. Domains of the epoxy resin and rotor disk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the domain</th>
<th>Epoxy resin</th>
<th>Rotor disk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of domain</td>
<td>Solid domain</td>
<td>Solid domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation</td>
<td>No rotation</td>
<td>1500 rev/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material of the domain</td>
<td>Epoxy resin</td>
<td>Steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transfer</td>
<td>Thermal Energy</td>
<td>Thermal Energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9. Domain of the epoxy resin (left) and rotor disk (right)

Table 4. Domains of the air around rotor and stator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of domain</th>
<th>Rotor air</th>
<th>Stator air</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of domain</td>
<td>Fluid domain</td>
<td>Fluid domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotacija</td>
<td>1500 rev/min</td>
<td>No rotation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material of the domain</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transfer</td>
<td>Thermal Energy</td>
<td>Thermal Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulent model</td>
<td>SST</td>
<td>SST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buoyancy model</td>
<td>Non Buoyant</td>
<td>Non Buoyant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. Domain of the air around rotor (left) and stator (right)
Table 5. Domain of the surrounding air

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the domain</th>
<th>Surrounding air</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of domain</td>
<td>Fluid domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation</td>
<td>No rotation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material of the domain</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transfer</td>
<td>Thermal Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulent model</td>
<td>SST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buoyancy model</td>
<td>Buoyant - Temperature 300 K – Gravity in y direction 9.81 m/s²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the upper, lower, rear, left and right surfaces of the surrounding air the boundary condition opening was defined. The front surfaces of the surrounding air, housing, and epoxy resin domains were defined as symmetry. A heat flux of 2400 W/m² was defined on the surfaces of the windings, which was determined in a separate magnetostatic analysis. The Frozen rotor condition was defined on the surfaces where the rotor and stator domains meet.

In the equation for conservation of momentum, the expression for the gravitational acceleration can be written as eq. (7):

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho \ddot{\mathbf{g}} &= \rho_0 \ddot{\mathbf{g}} + (\rho - \rho_0) \ddot{\mathbf{g}} = \nabla (\rho_0 \ddot{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}) + (\rho - \rho_0) \ddot{\mathbf{g}} \\
\frac{\partial \rho \dot{\mathbf{V}}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \dot{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \dot{\mathbf{V}}) &= \nabla p' + (\rho - \rho_0) \ddot{\mathbf{g}} + \nabla \cdot \tau
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

In the domain of the surrounding air we accounted for natural convection and used the Boussinesq approximation, where the differences in density was ignored and the following eq. (8) is assumed:

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho(T) - \rho_0 &\approx \rho_0 \beta(T - T_0) \\
\beta(T - T_0) &\ll 1
\end{align*}
\]

(8)
The term \((\rho - \rho_0) g\) can be eliminated for incompressible flow \((\rho = \rho_0)\) and for compressible flow with modest density variations.

3. Results

As mentioned in the previous section, the heat flux was calculated from copper losses, which were determined by using eq. (1) as well as measured. Tab. 6 shows the comparison of calculated and measured copper losses. It can be seen that the analytically calculated result is much smaller that the measured one.

Table 6. Comparison of calculated and measured copper losses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Copper losses (W)</th>
<th>Analytical</th>
<th>Measured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While conducting the laboratory measurements of losses the measurements of temperatures of individual windings were also conducted. The temperature measurements were conducted using the measurement module FieldPoint, shown in fig. 12.

Fig. 12. Temperature measuring equipment

Fig. 13 shows the results of the temperature measurements.

Fig. 13. Temperatures in individual windings
Numbers from 1 to 9 in fig. 13 mark the sequence of the measurements at different rotation speed, namely as followed: 200 rev/min, 400 rev/min, 600 rev/min, 800 rev/min, 1000 rev/min, 1200 rev/min, again 1000 rev/min, 1500 rev/min and 1400 rev/min. Near the end of the measuring process we encountered a problem at rotation speed 1500 rev/min (and 1400 rev/min). the temperature reached the value at which the stator support structure started to deform, namely we heard the rotor touch the stator and finished the measurement process. The result of rotor touching the stator can be seen on fig. 14 (red line at 15A) where the copper losses are shown.

Due to the measuring problems we conducted the numerical thermal analysis. Even though the measured copper losses were higher than calculated losses, probably due to the deformation of the stator, we used the analytically obtained losses for the thermal analysis. The temperature distribution is shown in fig. 15. We can see that the highest temperature of 160 °C occurs at the source (winding). The maximum temperatures that occur on the surface of the windings are over the allowed limit, which is set by the epoxy resin manufacturer (maximum temperature should not exceed 150 °C).
Fig. 15. Temperature distribution in AFPMM

Fig. 16 shows the speed fields, from which we can see the speeds within the AFPMM are higher than 10 m/s while on the outer side, where the natural convection occurs, the speeds are significantly lower, around 0.6 m/s.

Figure 16. Speed fields: left 0-10 m/s, right 0-1 m/s
4. Conclusion

The article shows the importance of suitable cooling of the AFPMM, especially in the case of coreless topology. The analysis was performed for a prototype AFPMM with improvised housing, which is made out of aluminum plates, without any air inlet.

The obtained results are as expected, since the heat load applied was the value obtained from copper losses, namely 300 W divided by the surfaces of the windings. When the temperature was measured at constant 1500 [rev/min] the epoxy raisin, holding the windings in place, was slightly deformed. The main reason could be in the improvised housing of the machine without any air inlet or cooling.

The deformation itself cannot be shown, but its influence is shown in fig. 14 as the increase of copper losses at 1500 rev/min. The copper losses were in line with the calculated value for lower speed, even up to 1200 rev/min (around the calculated value 300W). It can be assumed that the measured losses are higher at 1500 rev/min due to the lack of cooling and consequently overheating of the stator.

It is clear that the improvised housing of the prototype AFPMM is not suitable, therefore it would be advisable to consider inlet and outlet slots that would help to supply cold air and exhaust warm air. In case the desired temperature is still not reached a forced convection should be considered with the help of a fan.

Nomenclature

\[
P_{\text{Cu}} \quad \text{copper losses, [W]}
\]
\[
l \quad \text{nominal current, [A]}
\]
\[
R_p \quad \text{phase resistance, [}\Omega]\]
\[
\text{Re} \quad \text{Reynolds number, [-]}
\]
\[
\rho \quad \text{density, [kg/m}^3\text{]}
\]
\[
L \quad \text{characteristic length, [m]}
\]
\[
U_n \quad \text{free stream velocity, [m]}
\]
\[
\mu \quad \text{free stream velocity, [kg/ms]}
\]
\[
C_f \quad \text{skin friction coefficient, [-]}
\]
\[
\tau_w \quad \text{wall shear stress, [Pa]}
\]
\[
\Delta s \quad \text{distance of the first element from the wall, [m]}
\]
\[
\bar{g} \quad \text{gravitational acceleration, [m/s}^2\text{]}
\]
\[
\rho_0 \quad \text{operating density, [kg/m}^3\text{]}
\]
\[
\rho_0 \quad \text{operating density, [kg/m}^3\text{]}
\]
\[
T \quad \text{temperature, [K]}
\]
\[
T_0 \quad \text{operating temperature, [K]}
\]
\[
\beta \quad \text{thermal expansion coefficient, [K}^{-1}\text{]}
\]
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