

## AN INVESTIGATION OF NEW QUICKER IMPLICIT ITERATIONS IN HYPERBOLIC SPACES

by

**Ahmed Mohamed ZIDAN<sup>a,c\*</sup>, Ahmed H. SOLIMAN<sup>a,c</sup>,  
Tamer NABIL<sup>a,d</sup>, and Mohamed Ahmed BARAKAT<sup>b,c</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Al Wajh, University of Tabuk, Saudi Arabia

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Assiut Branch, Egypt

<sup>d</sup> Department of Basic Science, Faculty of Computers and Informatics,  
Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt

Original scientific paper

<https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI20S1199Z>

*The present paper investigates the convergence of some new implicit iterations of coupled fixed point for non-linear contractive like functions on  $W$ -hyperbolic metric spaces. Moreover, we provide a theoretical comparison of our new iterations to illustrate the fastest iteration the coupled fixed point.*

**Key words:** *implicit iterations,  $\phi$ -contractive mappings, convergence rate*

### Introduction

The established Banach Contraction Principle is the most significant outcome in spaces identified with metric spaces. It is successfully used to discover the solutions of some non-linear equations, for example, Volterra integral equations, and non-linear integral differential equations in Banach spaces. In addition, it supports the assembly of calculations in computational mathematics. Various expansions of Banach's Principle have been done, for the most part by generalizing the contraction operator, and sometimes by expanding the necessity of completeness or even both.

Guo *et al.* [1] presented some results about coupled fixed point followed by Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [2]. In 2009, Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [3] defined the mixed  $g$ -monotone mappings and introduced coupled common fixed point results for non-linear contractive mappings in a metric space with a relation ordering, which also extended the fixed point results due to Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [2].

On the other hand, there exists one more approach to respect the idea of convexity. Convexity in a vector space is characterized utilizing lines between points. In Euclidean spaces or more generally in Banach spaces, there is a line of most brief length that joins two points, and the length of this line is the line segment between its two endpoints. However, metric spaces do not normally have this convex structure. Takahashi [4] defined the convexity in distance spaces and gave some theorems of fixed point for non-expansive properties in such spaces. A convex distance space is more generalized than normed space and cone Banach space [4]. Later, diverse raised convex concepts have been presented on metric spaces. Kohlenbach [5] presented the concept of  $W$ -hyperbolic spaces which represents a consolidated approach for linear and non-linear concepts at the same time.

\* Corresponding author, e-mail: zidan.math90@azhar.edu.eg

Iterative fixed points procedures in convex distance spaces have been obtained by many researchers, see, e. g., [6-9], using implicit iterative procedures which are incredible significance from numerical angle as they give precise estimate

The following forms give a metric version of implicit Mann and Ishikawa iteration schemes defined by Ciric *et al.* [10, 11] in the background of  $W$ -hyperbolic spaces,

Consider  $G$  a mapping from a convex subset  $E$  of a  $W$ -hyperbolic space  $T$  into itself. Choose  $t_0 \in E$  and give the sequence  $\{t_n\}$ :

$$t_n = W(t_{n-1}, Gz_n, \alpha_n), \quad z_n = W(t_n, Gt_n, \beta_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1)$$

and

$$u_n = W(t_{n-1}, Gt_n, \alpha_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2)$$

Recently, Yildirim and Abbas [12] have introduced the following implicit  $S$ -iteration procedure and showed that the convergence rate of this iteration is higher than the iterations (2) and (1).

Initiated with  $t_n \in E$ , we get the following sequence  $\{t_n\}$ :

$$t_n = W(Gt_{n-1}, Gz_n, \alpha_n), \quad z_n = W(Gt_n, Gt_n, \beta_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3)$$

where  $(\alpha_n)$  and  $(\beta_n)$  are certain real sequences in  $[0, 1]$ . After that, many fixed point theorems in some spaces established, see, e. g., [13, 14].

The present paper aims to give three new implicit iteration procedures, explore their convergence to coupled fixed point in the  $W$ -hyperbolic spaces, as well as to present a theoretical comparison between them to show the faster iteration the coupled fixed point.

We need the following definitions and lemma in our main results.

**Definition 1.** [5] A  $W$ -hyperbolic space  $(T, d, W)$  is a distance space  $(T, d)$  endowed with a convexity function  $W: T^2 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow T$  Which fulfills the next items:

- $d[a, W(b, c, \alpha)] \leq (1 - \alpha)d(a, b) + \alpha d(a, c)$ ,
- $d[a, W(b, c, \alpha), W(b, c, \beta)] = \|\alpha - \beta\|d(b, c)$ ,
- $W(b, c, \alpha), W(c, b, 1 - \alpha)$ , and
- $d[W(b, e, \alpha), W(c, \omega, \alpha)] \leq (1 - \alpha)d(b, c) + \alpha d(e, \omega)$ .

**Definition 2.** [12] A map  $G: T \rightarrow T$  is called a contractive like function if there exists a continuous, strictly increasing function  $\varphi: [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  with  $\varphi_0 = 0$  and a constant  $\delta \in [0, 1)$  such that for any  $a, b \in T$ , we have:

$$d(Ga, Gb) \leq \delta d(a, b) + \varphi[d(a, Ga)] \quad (4)$$

**Definition 3.** [1] We say that  $(a, b)$  is a coupled fixed point of the function  $G: T \times T \rightarrow X$ :  
 $G(a, b) = a$  and  $G(b, a) = b$

where  $a, b \in X$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset [0, \infty)$ . If there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ , we have:

$$c_{n+1} \leq (1 + \eta_n)c_n + \eta_n\theta_n$$

where

$$\eta_n \in (0, 1), \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty \eta_n = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_n \geq 0$$

Then, the next holds:

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Main convergent results

**Definition 4.** Let  $Z$  be a convex subset of  $T$  and  $G : Z \times Z \rightarrow Z$ . Choose  $t_0, z_0 \in E$  and define the next two sequences  $\{t_n\}, \{z_n\}$  :

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &= W \left[ G^n(t_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), G(u_n, v_n), \alpha_n \right], \quad z_n = W \left[ G^n(z_{n-1}, t_{n-1}), G(v_n, u_n), \alpha_n \right] \\ u_n &= W \left[ t_n, G(t_n, z_n), \beta_n \right], \quad v_n = W \left[ z_n, G(z_n, t_n), \beta_n \right], \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $G^n(t, z) = G[G^{n-1}(t, z), G^{n-1}(z, t)]$  and  $(\alpha_n)$  and  $(\beta_n)$  are certain real sequences in  $[0, 1]$ .

**Definition 5.** Consider the assumptions of *Definition 4* and give the two sequences  $\{t_n\}, \{z_n\}$  :

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &= W \left[ G(t_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), G(u_n, v_n), \alpha_n \right], \quad z_n = W \left[ G(z_{n-1}, t_{n-1}), G(v_n, u_n), \alpha_n \right] \\ u_n &= W \left[ t_n, G(t_n, z_n), \beta_n \right], \quad v_n = W \left[ z_n, G(z_n, t_n), \beta_n \right], \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $(\alpha_n)$  and  $(\beta_n)$  are certain real sequences in  $[0, 1]$ .

**Definition 6.** Consider the assumptions of *Definition 4* and give the next two sequences  $\{t_n\}, \{z_n\}$  :

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &= W \left[ t_{n-1}, G(u_n, v_n), \alpha_n \right], \quad z_n = W \left[ z_{n-1}, G(v_n, u_n), \alpha_n \right] \\ u_n &= W \left[ t_n, G(t_n, z_n), \beta_n \right], \quad v_n = W \left[ z_n, G(z_n, t_n), \beta_n \right], \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $(\alpha_n)$  and  $(\beta_n)$  are certain real sequences in  $[0, 1]$ .

**Definition 7.** Consider the assumptions of *Definition 4* and give the next two sequences  $\{t_n\}, \{z_n\}$  :

$$t_n = W \left[ t_{n-1}, G(t_n, z_n), \alpha_n \right], \quad z_n = W \left[ z_{n-1}, G(z_n, t_n), \alpha_n \right], \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (8)$$

where  $(\alpha_n)$  and  $(\beta_n)$  are certain real sequences in  $[0, 1]$ .

**Definition 8.** Consider all assumptions of *Definition 4* a mapping  $G$  on  $T \times T$  to  $T$  is said to be a contractive like mapping for any  $t, z \in T$ , we get:

$$d \left[ G(t, z), G(u, v) \right] \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \left[ d(t, u) + d(z, v) \right] + \varphi \left\{ d \left[ t, G(t, z) \right] + d \left[ z, G(z, t) \right] \right\} \quad (9)$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G : E \times E \rightarrow E$  be a contractive-like mapping defined in on a non-empty closed convex subset  $E$  of a W-hyperbolic metric space  $(T, d, W)$  with  $F(G) \neq \emptyset$  (where  $F(G)$  is the set of coupled fixed point of  $G$ ). Then,  $\{t_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  given in eq. (5), we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = p$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = s$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $p, s \in F(G)$ . By eqs. (5) and (9), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) &= d \left( W \left( G^n(t_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), G(u_n, v_n), \alpha_n \right), p \right) + \\ &\quad + d \left( W \left( G^n(z_{n-1}, t_{n-1}), G(v_n, u_n), \alpha_n \right), s \right) \leq \\ &\leq \alpha_n d \left( G \left( G^{n-1}(t_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), G^{n-1}(z_{n-1}, t_{n-1}) \right), G(p, s) \right) + (1 - \alpha_n) d \left( G(u_n, v_n), G(p, s) \right) + \\ &\quad + \alpha_n d \left( G \left( G^{n-1}(z_{n-1}, t_{n-1}), G^{n-1}(t_{n-1}, z_{n-1}) \right), G(s, p) \right) + (1 - \alpha_n) d \left( G(v_n, u_n), G(s, p) \right) \leq \\ &\leq \dots \leq \alpha_n \delta^n \left[ d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s) \right] + (1 - \alpha_n) \delta \left[ d(u_n, p) + d(v_n, s) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
d(u_n, p) + d(v_n, s) &= d\left(W\left(G^n(t_n, z_n), G(t_n, z_n), \beta_n\right), p\right) + \\
&+ d\left(W\left(G^n(z_n, t_n), G(z_n, t_n), \beta_n\right), s\right) \leq \beta_n d\left(G^n(z_n, t_n), G(p, s)\right) + \\
&+ (1 - \beta_n) d\left(G(t_n, z_n), G(p, s)\right) + \beta_n d\left(G^n(y_n, x_n), G(s, p)\right) + \\
&+ (1 - \beta_n) d\left(G(z_n, t_n), G(s, p)\right) \leq \delta [d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s)]
\end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

By eqs. (10) and (11), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) &\leq \alpha_n \delta^n [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] + (1 - \alpha_n) \delta^2 (\beta_n \delta + (1 - \beta_n) \delta) \cdot \\
&\cdot [d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s)] < \alpha_n \delta^n [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] + (1 - \alpha_n) \delta [d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s)]
\end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

which implies that:

$$d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) < \frac{\alpha_n \delta^n}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] \quad (13)$$

we put:

$$A_n = \frac{\alpha_n \delta^n}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta}$$

then

$$1 - A_n = 1 - \frac{\alpha_n \delta^n}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} = \frac{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta - \alpha_n \delta^n}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} \geq 1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta - \alpha_n \delta^n$$

implies that:

$$A_n \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \delta + \alpha_n \delta^n = \delta \quad (14)$$

From eqs. (13) and (14), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) &< \delta [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] < (\delta)^2 [d(t_{n-2}, p) + d(z_{n-2}, s)] < \\
&\vdots \\
&< (\delta)^n [d(t_0, p) + d(z_0, s)]
\end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Using the fact that  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_n, p) + d(y_n, s)] = 0$ .

*Theorem 2.* Consider the assumptions of eq. (9) and let the iteration eq. (6), we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = p$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = s$

*Proof.* Assume that  $p, s \in F(G)$ . Using eq. (6) and eq. (9), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) &= d\left(W\left(G(t_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), G(u_n, v_n), \alpha_n\right), p\right) + \\
&+ d\left(W\left(G(z_{n-1}, t_{n-1}), G(v_n, u_n), \alpha_n\right), s\right) \leq \\
&\leq \alpha_n d\left(G(t_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), G(p, s)\right) + (1 - \alpha_n) d\left(G(u_n, v_n), G(p, s)\right) + \\
&+ \alpha_n d\left(G(z_{n-1}, t_{n-1}), G(s, p)\right) + (1 - \alpha_n) d\left(G(v_n, u_n), G(s, p)\right) \leq \\
&\leq \alpha_n \delta [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] + \alpha_n \phi(d(p, G(p, s)) + d(s, G(s, p))) + \\
&+ (1 - \alpha_n) \delta [d(u_n, p) + d(v_n, s)] + (1 - \alpha_n) \phi(d(p, G(p, s)) + d(s, G(s, p))) \leq \\
&\leq \alpha_n \delta [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] + (1 - \alpha_n) \delta [d(u_n, p) + d(v_n, s)]
\end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(u_n, p) + d(v_n, s) &= d(W(G(t_n, z_n), G(t_n, z_n), \beta_n), p) + \\ &+ d(W(G(z_n, t_n), G(z_n, t_n), \beta_n), s) \leq \\ &\leq \delta [d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s)] \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) &\leq \alpha_n \delta [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] + \\ &+ (1 - \alpha_n) \delta [d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s)] < \\ &< \alpha_n \delta [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] + (1 - \alpha_n) \delta [d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s)] \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

which implies:

$$d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) < \frac{\alpha_n \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] \quad (19)$$

we put:

$$A_n = \frac{\alpha_n \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta}$$

then

$$1 - A_n = 1 - \frac{\alpha_n \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} = \frac{1 - \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} \geq 1 - \delta$$

implies that:

$$A_n \leq \delta \quad (20)$$

Adopting the same argument of eq. (15) in the proof of *Theorem 1*, we conclude:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s)] = 0 \quad (21)$$

*Theorem 3* Consider the assumptions of eq. (9) and let the iteration eq. (7) with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_n) < \infty$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = p$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = s$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $p, s \in F(G)$ . Using eq. (7) and eq. (9), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) &= d(W(G(t_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), G(u_n, v_n), \alpha_n), p) + \\ &+ d(W(G(z_{n-1}, t_{n-1}), G(v_n, u_n), \alpha_n), s) \\ &\leq \alpha_n \delta [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] + (1 - \alpha_n) \delta [d(u_n, p) + d(v_n, s)] \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

and

$$d(u_n, p) + d(v_n, s) \leq \delta [d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s)] \quad (23)$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) &\leq \alpha_n \delta [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] + (1 - \alpha_n) \delta [d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s)] < \\ &< \alpha_n \delta [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] + (1 - \alpha_n) \delta [d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s)] \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

which implies:

$$d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) < \frac{\alpha_n \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] \quad (25)$$

we put:

$$A_n = \frac{\alpha_n \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta}$$

then

$$1 - A_n = 1 - \frac{\alpha_n \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} = \frac{1 - \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} \geq 1 - \delta$$

implies that

$$A_n \leq \delta \quad (26)$$

From eq. (25) and eq. (26), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) &< \delta [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] < \\ &< \delta^n [d(t_0, p) + d(z_0, s)] \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Using the fact that  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s)] = 0$ .

*Theorem 4.* Consider the assumptions of eq. (9) and let the iteration eq. (8) with  $\sum_n (1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = p$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = s$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $p, s \in F(G)$ . Using eq. (8) and eq. (9), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) &= d(W(G(t_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), G(t_n, z_n), \alpha_n), p) + \\ &+ d(W(G(z_{n-1}, t_{n-1}), G(z_n, t_n), \alpha_n), s) \leq \\ &\leq \alpha_n \delta [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] + (1 - \alpha_n) \delta [d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s)] \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

which implies:

$$d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) < \frac{\alpha_n \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] \quad (29)$$

we put:

$$A_n = \frac{\alpha_n \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta}$$

then

$$1 - A_n = 1 - \frac{\alpha_n \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} = \frac{(1 - \delta)}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} \geq (1 - \delta)$$

implies that:

$$A_n \leq \delta \quad (30)$$

From eq. (29) and eq. (30), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) &< \delta [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] < \\ &< \delta^n [d(t_0, p) + d(z_0, s)] \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Using the fact that  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s)] = 0$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $G : E \times E \rightarrow E$  be a contractive-like mapping in (9) on a non-empty closed convex subset  $E$  of a  $W$ -hyperbolic metric space  $(T, d, W)$  with  $F(G) = \emptyset$ . Then, for the sequences  $\{t_n\}, \{z_n\}$  in eq. (5) with  $\sum_n (1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$  tends to the fixed point of  $T$  quicker than eqs. (6)-(8) iterations.

*Proof.* Let  $p = G(p, s)$ ,  $s = G(s, p)$  and by eq. (9), we have:

$$d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) < \frac{\alpha_n \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] < \dots < I_n \quad (32)$$

where

$$I_n = \left[ \frac{\alpha_n \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} \right]^n [d(t_0, p) + d(z_0, s)] \quad (33)$$

Using implicit iteration eq. (7), we obtain:

$$d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) < \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] < \dots < J_n \quad (34)$$

where

$$J_n = \left[ \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} \right]^n [d(t_0, p) + d(z_0, s)] \quad (35)$$

Using implicit iteration eq. (8), we obtain:

$$d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) < \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] < \dots < K_n \quad (36)$$

where

$$K_n = \left[ \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} \right]^n [d(t_0, p) + d(z_0, s)] \quad (37)$$

Using iteration process eq. (5) and eq. (13), we have:

$$d(t_n, p) + d(z_n, s) < \frac{\alpha_n \delta^n}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} [d(t_{n-1}, p) + d(z_{n-1}, s)] < \dots < L_n \quad (38)$$

where

$$L_n = \left[ \frac{\alpha_n \delta^n}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \delta} \right]^n [d(t_0, p) + d(z_0, s)] \quad (39)$$

From eqs. (33), (35), (37), and (39) one can note that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_n}{I_n} = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_n}{J_n} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_n}{K_n} = 0$$

*Remark.* It will be interesting to find more applications to our current paper in other fields see [15-30].

## Acknowledgment

The author extend their appreciation the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work through research groups program under grant number (R. G. P. 1/128/40).

## References

- [1] Guo, D., Lakshmikantham, V., Coupled Fixed Points of Non-Linear Operations with Applications, *Non-Linear Anal.*, 11 (1987), 52, pp. 525 -562
- [2] Bhaskar, T. G., Lakshmikantham, V., Fixed Point Theorems in Partially Ordered Metric Spaces and Applications, *Non-linear Anal.*, 65 (2006), 7, pp. 1379-1393
- [3] Lakshmikantham, V., Ćirić, L., Coupled Fixed Point Theorems for Non-Linear Contractions in Partially Ordered Metric Spaces, *Non-Linear Anal.*, 70 (2009), 12, pp. 4341-4349
- [4] Takahashi, W., A Convexity in Metric Space and Non-Expansive Mappings, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, 22 (1970), 2, pp. 142-149
- [5] Kohlenbach, U., Some Logical Metatherems with Applications in Functional Analysis, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 357 (2004), 1, pp. 89-128
- [6] Chugh, R., et al., On Analytical and Numerical Study of Implicit Fixed Point Iterations, *Cogent Mathematics*, 2 (2015), 1021623
- [7] Chang, S. S., et al., Demi-Closed Principle and Weak Convergence Problems for Asymptotically Non-Expansive Mappings, *Journal Korean Math. Soc.*, 38 (2001), 6, pp. 1245-1260
- [8] Ishikawa, S., Fixed Points and Iteration of Non-Expansive Mappings of in a Banach Spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 73 (1967), 2, pp. 61-71
- [9] Osilike, M. O., Udomene, A., Weak and Strong Convergence Theorems for Fixed Points of Asymptotically non-expansive mappings, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 32 (2000), 10, pp. 1181-1191
- [10] Ćirić, Lj. B., et al., On Mann Implicit Iterations for Strongly Accretive and Strongly Pseudo-Contractive Mappings, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 198 (2008), 1, pp. 128-137
- [11] Ćirić, Lj. B., et al., On the Convergence of the Ishikawa Iterates to a Common Fixed Point of Two Mappings, *Archivum Mathematicum (Brno) Tomus*, 2 (2003), 2, pp. 123-127
- [12] Yildirim, I., Abbas, M., Convergence Rate of Implicit Iteration Process and a Data Dependence Result, *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 11 (2018), 1, pp. 189-201
- [13] Soliman, A. H., Zidan, A. M., A New Coupled Fixed Point Result in Extended Metric Spaces with an Application Study the Stability of Set-Valued Functional Equations, *Journal of Function Space*, 2019 (2019), ID4146328
- [14] Soliman, A. H., Zidan, A. M., Existential Examination of the Coupled Fixed Point in Generalized b-Metric Spaces and an Application, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 38 (2020), 3, pp. 2801-2807
- [15] Abdel-Aty, et al., A Quantum Classification Algorithm for Classification Incomplete Patterns Based on Entanglement Measure, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 38 (2020), 3, pp. 2809- 2816
- [16] Abdel-Aty, A.-H., et al., New Analytical Solutions of Wick-Type Stochastic Schamel KdV Equation Via Modified Khater Method, *Journal of Information Science and Engineering*, On-line first, 2020
- [17] Ahmed, M. A., Zidan, A. M., Fixed Point Theorems in Left and Right Dislocated Quasi-Multiplicative Metric Spaces, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 4 (2017), 5, pp. 31-34
- [18] Ahmed, M. A., et al., Weak Quasi-Partial Metric Spaces and Fixed Point Results, *International Journal of Advances in Mathematics*, 1 (2017), 6, pp. 123-136
- [19] Park, C., et al., Dynamical Analysis of the Non-Linear Complex Fractional Emerging Telecommunication Model with Higher-Order Dispersive Cubic-Quintic, *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 59 (2020), 3, pp. 1425-1433
- [20] Sagheer, A., et al., A Novel Autonomous Perceptron Model for Pattern Classification Applications, *Entropy*, 21 (2019), 8, 763
- [21] Soliman, A. H., et al., On the Existence of Fixed Points of Two Rational Type Contractions in A Dislocated Quasi Multiplicative Metric Spaces and It's Application, *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, 8 (2017), 1, pp. 59-66
- [22] Soliman, A. H., et al., A New Contribution the Fixed Point Theory in b-Generalized Metric Spaces (b-G.M.C), *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, 8 (2017), 1, pp. 111-116
- [23] Zidan, M., et al., Low-Cost Autonomous Perceptron Neural Network Inspired by Quantum Computation, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1905 (2017), 1, 020005
- [24] Zidan, M., et al., An Autonomous Competitive Learning Algorithm using Quantum Hamming Neural Networks, *Proceedings*, International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN, Killarney, Ireland, 2015, pp. 1-7
- [25] Soliman, A. H., et al., On Quasi-Partial Generalized Type of Metric Spaces and an Application Complexity Analysis of Computer Algorithms, *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 59 (2020), 3, pp. 1233-1238

- [26] Das, S. C., *et al.*, An Application of Preservation Technology in Inventory Control System with Price Dependent Demand and Partial Backlogging, *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 59 (2020), 3, pp. 1359-1369
- [27] Rashwan, R. A., *et al.*, Common Fixed Point Results for Weakly Compatible Mappings Under Implicit Relations in Complex Valued G-Metric Spaces, *Inf. Sci. Lett.*, 8 (2019), 119
- [28] Abu-Donia, H. M., *et al.*, Some Fixed-Point Theorems in Fuzzy 2-metric spaces under  $\psi$ -contractive mappings, *Inf. Sci. Lett.*, 2 (2020), 1, pp. 21-25
- [29] Ereu, J., *et al.*, On Solutions of Non-Linear Integral Equations in the Space of Functions of Shiba-Bounded Variation, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.*, 14 (2020), 3, pp. 393-404
- [30] Parvaneh, V., *et al.*, Fixed Point Results for Some Contractions in Non-Triangular Non-Archimedean Extended Fuzzy b-Metric Spaces, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.*, 14 (2020), pp. 519-526