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In this article, the barycentric interpolation collocation methods is proposed for solving a class of non-linear advection-reaction-diffusion system. Compared with other methods, the numerical experiment shows the barycentric interpolation collocation method is a high precision method to solve the advection- reaction-diffusion system.
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## Introduction

The treatise is devoted to the numerical solution of a class of non-linear advection-re-action-diffusion system. In this paper, the general expression of such system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=d_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+a_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+h_{1}(u, v),  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=d_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+a_{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+h_{2}(u, v),
\end{array} \quad a \leq x \leq b, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T\right.
$$

with the following initial boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, 0)=f_{0}(x), \quad v(x, 0)=g_{0}(x), \quad a \leq x \leq b, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \\
& u(a, t)=f_{1}(t), \quad u(b, t)=f_{2}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T  \tag{2}\\
& v(a, t)=g_{1}(t), \quad v(b, t)=g_{2}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ represent the of the transport medium, such as water or air, and both $d_{1}>0$ and $d_{1}>0$ are diffusion coefficients, which include the parametrizations of the turbulence.

The advection-reaction-diffusion system has wide applications in thermal science, chemical and mechanics. There are some valuable efforts that focus on finding the analytical and numerical methods for solving the advection-reaction-diffusion system. These methods include B-spline method [1, 2] the variational iteration method [3], homotopy perturbation method [4], integral transform [5], and so on [6, 7]. The barycentric interpolation collocation method [8-13] is a high precision method. In this paper, we mainly employ the barycentric Lagrange barycentric interpolation collocation method to solve the systems (1).
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## Description of the numerical method

We give two initial functions $u_{0}, v_{0}$, and construct following linear iterative format:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}=d_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{n}}{\partial x^{2}}+a_{1} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}+h_{1}\left(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}\right),  \tag{3}\\
\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}=d_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{n}}{\partial x^{2}}+a_{2} \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial x}+h_{2}\left(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}\right)
\end{array} n=1,2,3, \cdots\right.
$$

Next, we use barycentric interpolation collocation method to solve eq. (3).
Let $a \leq x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{M} \leq b, 0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots<t_{N} \leq T$, respectively, These nodes can generate 2-D nodes on the rectangular are a $\Omega=[a, b] \times[0, T]$, as:

$$
\left\{\left(x_{i}, t_{j}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, M ; j=1,2, \ldots N\right\}
$$

The barycenter interpolation form of function $u(x, t)$ can be expressed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{i}(x) \eta_{j}(t) u\left(x_{i}, t_{j}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\xi_{i}(x)=\frac{\prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{M}\left(x-x_{k}\right)}{\prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{M}\left(x_{i}-x_{k}\right)}, i=1,2, \cdots, M, \eta_{j}(t)=\frac{\prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{N}\left(t-t_{k}\right)}{\prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{N}\left(t_{j}-t_{k}\right)}, j=1,2, \cdots, N
$$

According to eq. (4), the partial derivative of $l+k$ order of function $u(x, t)$ at the nodes $\left(x_{p}, t_{q}\right)$ can be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(l, k)}\left(x_{p}, t_{q}\right):=\frac{\partial^{l+k} u\left(x_{p}, t_{q}\right)}{\partial x^{l} \partial t^{k}}=\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{i}^{(l)}\left(x_{p}\right) \eta_{j}^{(k)}\left(t_{q}\right) u\left(x_{i}, t_{j}\right), \quad p=1,2, \cdots M ; q=1,2, \cdots N \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function values of the eq. (4) and the eq. (5) at the node form column vectors $u$, $u^{(l, k)}$ and they are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{M \times N}\right]^{T}, u^{(l, k)}=\left[u_{1}^{(l, k)}, u_{2}^{(l, k)}, \cdots, u_{M \times N}^{(l, k)}\right]^{T} \\
& u_{p}=u\left(X_{p}, T_{q}\right), u_{p}^{(l, k)}=u^{(l, k)}\left(X_{p}, T_{p}\right), \quad p=1,2, \cdots, M \times N
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the eq. (5) can be expressed in the following matrix form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(l, k)}=D^{(l, k)} u \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the eq. (6), $D^{(l, k)}=C^{(t)} \otimes D^{(k)}$ is the Kronecker product of matrix $C^{(t)}$ and $D^{(k)}$, and it also called $l+k$ order partial differential matrix at nodes $\left\{\left(x_{i}, t_{j}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, M, j=1,2, \ldots, N\right\}, C^{(l)}$ and $D^{(k)}$ are $l, k$ order differential matrices formed by barycenter interpolation at node interval $[a, b]$ and interval $[0, T]$, respectively. Let:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{(0)}=I_{M}, \quad D^{(0)}=I_{N} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{M}$ and $I_{N}$ are $M$ order unit matrix and $N$ order unit matrix, respectively .
So, the discrete form of the eq. (3) can be written:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D^{(0,1)} u_{n}-d_{1} D^{(2,0)} u_{n}-a_{1} D^{(1,0)} u_{n}=\operatorname{diag}\left[h_{1}\left(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}\right)\right]  \tag{8}\\
D^{(0,1)} v_{n}-d_{2} D^{(2,0)} v_{n}-a_{2} D^{(1,0)} v_{n}=\operatorname{diag}\left[h_{2}\left(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

So, eq. (8) can be written in following partitioned matrix form:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D^{(0,1)}-d_{1} D^{(2,0)} & 0  \tag{9}\\
0 & D^{(0,1)}-d_{2} D^{(2,0)}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{n} \\
v_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{diag}\left[h_{1}\left(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}\right)\right] \\
\operatorname{diag}\left[h_{2}\left(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right]
$$

In this paper, we use displacement method to impose the initial boundary conditions. The detailed procedure see [8-10]. In calculation, we choose the chebyshev nodes. In the following numerical experiments, we set a calculation accuracy $\varepsilon=10^{-15}$, if $\left|u_{n}(x, t)-u_{n-1}(x, t)\right|<\varepsilon$ then the iteration stops.

## Numerical experiments

Experiment 1. We consider the following dvection-reaction-diffusion problem [6]:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=d_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+a_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\left(a_{1}-d_{1}\right) t+d_{1} u-a_{1} v+(u-v)^{2}+\sin (2 x)  \tag{10}\\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=d_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+a_{2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+\left(a_{2}-d_{2}\right) t+a_{2} u+d_{2} v+u^{2}+v^{2}-2 t(u+v)+2 t^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

here the initial and boundary conditions are:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(x, 0)=\sin x, \quad v(x, 0)=\cos x, \quad x \in[0,4 \pi]  \tag{11}\\
u(0, t)=u(4 \pi, t)=t, \quad v(0, t)=v(4 \pi, t)=t+1, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

The exact solution of the equations is given by $u(x, t)=t+\sin (x), v(x, t)=t+\cos (x)$. We select $d_{1}=0.5, d_{2}=0.1, a_{1}=0.5, a_{2}=0.1$. Numerical results are showed in figs. 1-6 and tabs. $1-3$. In tab. 1, comparing the calculation time and error between the present method and other methods [6] under different nodes, we can find that the present method has the least calculation time and the least error. Tables 2 and 3 show the absolute errors in different nodes. As can be seen from the tabs. 2 and 3, with the increase of nodes, the absolute error is also decreasing. Figures 1-6 are numerical solutions and absolute errors in different nodes. It can be seen that the absolute error is very small. Obviously, our method is very suitable for solving such problems.


Figure 1. Numerical solutions obtained by the present method for Experiment 1 with $M=40, N=20$


Figure 2. (a) Absolute errors of $v$ and (b) comparisons between numerical and exact solutions of $v$ for Experiment 1 with $M=40, N=20$


Figure 3. Numerical solutions for Experiment 1 with $M=\mathbf{2 0}, N=20$


Figure 4. Absolute errors for Experiment 1 with $M=20, N=20$
Table 1. Comparison of $\boldsymbol{L}_{\infty}$ error norm for Experiment 1

| Method | $M$ | CPU time | $\boldsymbol{L}_{\infty}$ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present method | 20 | 6.9844 | $1.3107-7$ |
| IMEX-TF [6] | 20 | 114.551534 | 0.000006 |
| IMEX-class [6] | 20 | 7.254047 | 0.103574 |
| Present method | 40 | 34.1141 | $2.5390-12$ |
| IMEX-class [6] | 40 | 78.499703 | 0.025453 |
| IMEX-class [6] | 80 | 29.452989 | 0.006355 |
| IMEX-class [6] | 160 | 132.944052 | 0.001592 |
| IMEX-class [6] | 320 | 1627.527233 | 0.000398 |
| IMEX-class [6] | 640 | 14774.495908 | 0.000099 |



Figure 5. Numerical solutions and absolute errors for Experiment 1 with $M=40, N=40$



Figure 6. Comparison of absolute errors for Experiment 1
Table 2. Absolute errors for Experiment 1 with $M=\mathbf{2 0}, N=20$

| $u(x, t)$ | Numerical <br> solution $v$ | Exact <br> solution $v$ | Absolute <br> error | Numerical <br> solution $u$ | Exact <br> solution $u$ | Absolute <br> error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0.35,0.002)$ | 0.0062 | 0.0062 | $0.0035 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 0.4218 | 0.4218 | $0.0024 \mathrm{e}-9$ |
| $(0.27,0.003)$ | 0.0245 | 0.0245 | $0.0215 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | $0.0114 \mathrm{e}-9$ |
| $(1.32,0.012)$ | 0.0545 | 0.0545 | $0.0310 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 0.5782 | 0.5782 | $0.1023 \mathrm{e}-9$ |
| $(2.78,0.034)$ | 0.0955 | 0.0955 | $0.0445 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 0.6545 | 0.6545 | $0.1425 \mathrm{e}-9$ |
| $(3.24,0.523)$ | 0.1464 | 0.1464 | $0.1021 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 0.7270 | 0.7270 | $0.1573 \mathrm{e}-9$ |
| $(5.48,0.598)$ | 0.2061 | 0.2061 | $0.2017 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 0.7939 | 0.7939 | $0.2347 \mathrm{e}-9$ |

Table 3. Absolute errors for Experiment 1 with $M=40, N=40$

| $u(x, t)$ | Numerical <br> solution $v$ | Exact <br> solution $v$ | Absolute <br> error | Numerical <br> solution $u$ | Exact <br> solution $u$ | Absolute <br> error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(3.18,0.001)$ | 0.0015 | 0.0015 | $0.0023 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 0.1753 | 0.1753 | $0.4201 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $(2.97,0.014)$ | 0.0062 | 0.0062 | $0.0134 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 0.2061 | 0.2061 | $0.5137 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $(6.23,0.028)$ | 0.0138 | 0.0138 | $0.0223 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 0.2388 | 0.2388 | $0.5328 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $(7.59,0.049)$ | 0.0245 | 0.0245 | $0.1543 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 0.3087 | 0.3087 | $0.6217 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $(8.90,0.301)$ | 0.0381 | 0.0381 | $0.2321 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 0.3455 | 0.3455 | $0.6357 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $(8.95,0.312)$ | 0.0737 | 0.0737 | $0.3861 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 0.3833 | 0.3833 | $0.7211 \mathrm{e}-12$ |

Experiment 2. Experiment 1 is joined with the following initial conditions and Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u(x, 0)=\sin x, \quad v(x, 0)=\cos x, \quad x \in\left[0, \frac{5}{2} \pi\right] \\
u(0, t)=t, u\left(\frac{5}{2} \pi, t\right)=t+1, \quad v(0, t)=t+1, v\left(\frac{5}{2} \pi, t\right)=t, \quad t \in[0,1]
\end{array}
$$

The exact solution is given by $u=t+\sin x, v=t+\cos x$. We take $d_{1}=0.5, d_{2}=0.1$, $a_{1}=0.5$, and $a_{2}=0.1$.

From the figs. 7 and 8 and tab. 4 , it can be seen that when the number of nodes is increased, the absolute error tends to be stable and convergence rapidly, which indicates that the present method has good stability and convergence. It can be seen from Experiments 1 and 2 that when spatial $x$ selects different ranges, the influence of numerical solutions is small. With different spatial values, when the number of nodes is greater, the absolute error is smaller.


Figure 7. Numerical solutions and absolute errors for Experiment 2 with $M=\mathbf{2 0}, N=20$
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Figure 8. Numerical solutions and absolute errors for Experiment 2 with $M=40, N=40$

Table 4. Absolute errors for Experiment 2 with $M=40, N=40$

| $u(x, t)$ | Numerical <br> solution $v$ | Exact <br> solution $v$ | Absolute <br> error | Numerical <br> solution $v$ | Exact <br> solution $u$ | Absolute <br> error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0.21,0.001)$ | 1.0222 | 1.0222 | $0.0007 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 0.7256 | 0.7256 | $0.1034 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $(1.34,0.018)$ | 1.0711 | 1.0711 | $0.1015 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 0.9756 | 0.9756 | $0.1124 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $(2.18,0.003)$ | 1.1216 | 1.1216 | $0.2037 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 1.4756 | 1.4756 | $0.2157 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $(0.17,0.508)$ | 1.1733 | 1.1733 | $1.3009 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 1.5971 | 1.5971 | $0.3210 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $(2.10,0.019)$ | 1.2256 | 1.2256 | $1.3472 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 1.6301 | 1.6301 | $0.3789 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $(2.55,0.149)$ | 1.2778 | 1.2778 | $2.0097 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 1.7146 | 1.7146 | $0.4321 \mathrm{e}-12$ |

Experiment 3. We consider the following system having time-dependent model parameters and a non-linear reaction term [14]:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\frac{t}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-t \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+(u-v)^{2}+u^{2}+v^{2}-2 t^{2}  \tag{13}\\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=\frac{t}{4} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{t}{2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+u v-t^{2}-\frac{\sin (4 x)}{2}+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the following initial and periodic boundary condition:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(x, 0)=-\sin 2 x, \quad v(x, 0)=-\cos 2 x, \quad x \in[0,4 \pi] \\
u(0, t)=t=u(4 \pi, t), \quad v(0, t)=t-1=v(4 \pi, t)=t, \quad t \in[0,1]
\end{gathered}
$$

The exact solution is $u=t-\sin 2 x, v=t-\cos 2 x$. The numerical solution and the absolute error diagram of Experiment 3 are given in fig. 9, respectively. As can be seen from the fig. 9 , the method can be used to obtain a smaller absolute error.


Figure 9. Numerical solutions and absolute errors for Experiment 3 with $M=\mathbf{5 0}, N=\mathbf{5 0}$

## Conclusion

In the present work, a class of advection-reaction-diffusion systems have solved by using barycentric interpolation collocation method. The numerical experiments show that the algorithm is high accuracy. We will apply this approach to more areas in the future.
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