

SIMULATION AND SAFETY ANALYSIS OF THE LEAKAGE OF LIQUEFIED HYDROGEN FROM HIGH-PRESSURE CONTAINER

by

Nan-Nan WU*, Cheng-Dong DUAN, Yun-Cong JIANG, and Jia LIAN

School of Electrical and Power Engineering, China University of Mining and Technology,
Xuzhou, China

Original scientific paper

<https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI180411117W>

In this paper, the leakage and diffusion of liquefied hydrogen which is stored in high-pressure container were investigated and the safety arrangement in cosmodrome focused on the effects of external flow on the above process was proposed. The phase transition process of leakage of liquefied hydrogen at the initial stage was first analyzed by considering the motion of single particle and particle group. Furthermore, the rule of leakage and diffusion of liquefied hydrogen under different conditions was thoroughly examined by CFD modelling. Through this work, the leakage pressure, leakage location, external air-flow direction and external air-flow velocity are found to have great effects on the diffusion of leaking hydrogen. By increasing the leakage pressure and putting leakage port closer to ground, the diffusion and dilution of leaking hydrogen would be strongly expedited to spread much further away. By adopting upper air blow and raising the external air speed, the concentration can be fairly reduced.

Key words: liquefied hydrogen, leakage, CFD, phase transition

Introduction

As a clean propellant, liquefied hydrogen (LH₂) possesses high energy density and has the good cooling and combustion performance [1]. In 1903, hydrogen and oxygen were first proposed to be used as a propellant by Konstantin and Byrne. The American company *Pratt and Whitney* carried out the attempt to use LH₂ as rocket fuel in 1955 and by 1960, LH₂ became one of the qualified fuels for rocket engine [2].

The leakage accident of LH₂ will generate large amounts of hydrogen gas due to phase change resulting from the evaporation. Due to the low molecular weight and viscosity, the leakage rate of LH₂ is 50 times that of water, and 10 times that of liquefied nitrogen [3]. In addition, the gasification rate of LH₂ is 6.1 times than of the liquefied nitrogen, and 7.5 times that of liquid hydrogen [4]. Considering the large density of LH₂, downwind area in leakage case easily become combustible area, where the volume fraction of hydrogen in the mixture of gasified hydrogen and air is 4-75% [5]. Due to the high energy density, hydrogen combustion is characterized by high flame temperature and fast flame spread, which often appears as deflagration especially the detonation and results in serious human injury and equipment damage [6, 7]. In 1988, Raymond [8] analyzed the fracture pressure of storage tank, and the heat flux in the process of LH₂ explosion. Since the evaporation of LH₂ is the premise of combustion, Nakamichi [9] used high-speed CCD camera to measure the evaporation rate after LH₂ ejection. It was found that the

* Corresponding author, e-mail: wucumt@163.com

diameter of LH₂ droplet, which is affected by the temperature and the leakage hole size of LH₂ jet. Raj *et al.* [10] analyzed the diffusion feature of the liquefied natural gas (LNG) in the water and especially studied the gasification process of the LNG. The complete gasification time and distance of the LNG was obtained by theoretical calculation in this study. Ichard [2] predicted the evaporation and diffusion of LH₂ based on two-phase simulation in FLACS. The study found that the cooling effect of LH₂ on the ambient air will induce the rise of LH₂ and the behavior of hydrogen cloud in the air will present more obvious buoyancy effect. The studies of Najjar [11] and Lowesmith *et al.* [12] were focused on the security of the liquefaction, transportation and use of LH₂ and emphasized that safety assessment. Giannaissi *et al.* [13] simulated both horizontal and vertical diffusion of LH₂ leakage based on the CFD software ADREA-HF and investigated the influence of the humidity in the air on LH₂ diffusion. Hallgarth *et al.* [14] compared the simulation results of LH₂ leakage with the experimental data from of NASA in 1980, and found atmosphere stability plays an important role in the formation of hydrogen cloud.

In addition some serious researches was to study the safety of LH₂ in the leaking process. Zhang [15] and Wang *et al.* [5] investigated the risk of low temperature, deflagration and detonation of LH₂ in the leakage and gasification progress and suggested some technical safety control methods. They also found that the appearance of oxygen-solid and air-solid as the low temperature of LH₂ would easily cause the explosion. Through experimental analysis, Hu *et al.* [16] studied the explosion limit of flammable mixture gas and the transition condition between deflagration and detonation, which showed that the detonable range of the hydrogen and oxygen mixture is 25-84% in volume ratio. Du *et al.* [17] studied the effects of storage tanks lay-out on the damage size and range of the explosion based on the analysis of shock wave in the explosion hazard of LH₂.

The leakage and diffusion of LH₂ in a space filled by air is a quite complex process, which includes phase transition, component transport and multi-phase flow. Most of past experimental studies were focused on the flow evolution after the full gasification of LH₂, and only theoretical analysis and some simple numerical simulation studies on the phase transition process have been done. In this study, the process of LH₂ leakage and diffusion was studied based on CFD modelling. In this work, we studied different leaking conditions with different effect factors, including leakage pressure, the position of leakage hole, the flow velocity and direction of ambient air. Especially, the phase transition process of LH₂ at the initial stage was analyzed in view of single particle and particle group.

Initial phase transition

Single particle of LH₂

When LH₂ leaks from the storage tank to environment, it is a transition process from a cryogenic environment to a normal temperature environment. Due to the high ambient temperature, evaporation of LH₂ drops will be achieved in a very short time. Although the phase transition process is rapid, it is not a simple step, which consists of the initial appearance of LH₂ particles with different diameters, the following shrinking and eventually complete evaporation.

In this part, the evaporation process of a single drop of LH₂ was studied in order to get the time of full evaporation. The evaporation and gasification follow the occurrence of leakage, thus, the calibration of the leakage process is essential for the following gasification. The leakage velocity was calculated:

$$\bar{u}_J = C_0 \sqrt{\frac{2gPg}{\rho_J}} \quad (1)$$

where P_g [MPa] is pressure change between inside and outside of storage tank, p_l [kgm^{-3}] – the density of leakage LH_2 , C_0 – the leakage factor, which is decided by the Reynolds number and the diameter of leakage hole, in the case of some sharp mouth or leakage with $\text{Re} > 30000$, $C_0 = 0.61$, which is the value used in our study.

In order to describe the evaporation process of a single drop, the diameter, the full evaporation time and distance, and evaporation frequency are required, which were calculated based on the processing procedure of Raj and Bowdoin [10]. The evaporation process of LH_2 particle was calculated with different leakage temperature and pressure. These temperature and pressure were selected following the experiments conducted by HSL in Buxton, UK in 2010 [18], in which the leakage temperature is 20 K and the leakage pressure is 0.2 MPa. The parameters for the phase transition of LH_2 particle are shown in tab. 1.

Table 1. Phase transition parameters of LH_2 particle

	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
Leakage temperature [K]	21	22	24
Leakage pressure [MPa]	0.15	0.2	0.3
LH_2 density in leakage case [kgm^{-3}]	70	68.5	66
Leakage velocity [ms^{-1}]	118.38	169	243
Surface tension coefficient [Nm^{-1}]	0.002	0.0019	0.0015
Dynamic viscosity [$\text{Pa}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$]	0.000013	0.0000122	0.0000116
Evaporation heat [Jkg^{-1}]	440000	426000	412000
Saturation temperature [K]	21.672	22.805	24.571
Largest liquid drop diameter [m]	2.26e-6	2.04e-6	1.55e-6
Complete gasification time [s]	0.00052	0.00046	0.00027
Complete gasification distance [m]	1.41e-5	1.19e-6	5.5e-7

Particle group

The phase transition process of liquid hydrogen is the emission of liquid hydrogen from a low temperature environment to a high temperature environment to produce hydrogen and spread in the air entrainment, which is a process with multi-phase and multi-component. In the simulation, we defined functions to calculate this process focused on the heat needed for the evaporation of LH_2 .

Based on saturation temperature shown in tab. 1 in three cases, the phase transition happens when the temperature, detected in some calculation element, is larger than the saturation temperature. The volume fractions of LH_2 and hydrogen gas in every calculation element were calculated based on the defined functions in CFD.

Simulation details

The simulation domain is a $8000 \times 2000 \times 2000$ mm rectangular flow region, fig. 1. In this figure, the leakage hole described by the inlet is a circular hole with diameter of 2 mm, the X -direction shows the centerline of hydrogen jet evolution. The face, where the inlet locates, and the ground face are set to be wall boundary. The other faces are set to be pressure outlet. The governing equations of leakage and diffusion of LH_2 includes the conservation equation of mass, momentum and energy for the multi-phase mixture.




Figure 1. Schematic of simulation calculation region

Results and analysis

Grid independence study and simulation results verification

The simulation was carried out with four different grid densities and fig. 2 shows the volume fraction along the centerline at the symmetry face. It shows that good grid convergence is achieved in the simulation. In the following analysis, result data is from simulation with grid number $N = 845600$. The simulation result shows similarity with HSL experiment data. Due to the uncertainty in the leakage experiment, the simulation data demonstrated in fig. 3 is considered to be accurate.




Figure 2. Volume fraction of hydrogen with different grid number




Figure 3. Temperature along the centerline at the symmetry face

Cases with different leakage pressure

In the section, the effect of leakage pressure is investigated by analyzing a set of different storage pressure conditions, which are given in tab. 2. When the leakage pressure is varying in the simulation cases, the diameter of leakage hole is 2 mm and the leakage position off the ground is 1m for different cases.

Table 2. Initial condition of experiment and simulation

	LH_2 temperature [K]	Air temperature [K]	Diameter of leakage hole [mm]	Leakage velocity [ms^{-1}]
HSL [18]	20	280	26.3	163

Based on the results of fig. 4, because of the increase of the leakage pressure of LH₂, the corresponding saturation temperature will increase. As shown in tab. 3, the difference between ambient temperature and saturation temperature is small, which is easy for LH₂ to reach its evaporation temperature. With the increase of leakage pressure, hydrogen leakage distance is extended, and the hydrogen flammable area, 4-75%, with the increase of pressure is bigger.

Figure 5 shows the temperature distribution for the leakage of LH₂ under three different leakage pressure conditions. In the contour figure, the deep red color represents the ambient air zone with higher temperature, and the dark blue represents the LH₂ zone with lower temperature. As leakage pressure increases from 0.15 MPa to 0.3 MPa, the relatively low temperature zone gets extended and larger LH₂ leakage area is formed. Therefore, the increase of leakage pressure can increase the hazard area by enforcing the leaking of LH₂ in larger extent.




Figure 4. Volume fraction of hydrogen at the symmetry face; (a) 0.15 MPa, (b) 0.2 MPa, and (c) 0.3 MPa




Figure 5. Diffusion temperature at the symmetry face; (a) 0.15 MPa, (b) 0.2 MPa, and (c) 0.3 MPa

Table 3. Cases with different leakage pressure

Leakage pressure [MPa]	Leakage velocity [ms ⁻¹]	Atmosphere pressure [MPa]	Velocity of ambient air [ms ⁻¹]
0.15	118.38	0.1	0
0.2	169	0.1	0
0.3	243	0.1	0

With the increase of leakage pressure, the upper part of low temperature zone extends backwards, the leakage rate increases and more liquid hydrogen leaks from the container. The entrainment of ambient air increases as the leakage rate of hydrogen increases, moreover, the heat transfer is strengthened which produces larger low temperature zone. Therefore, the hazard of the low temperature of LH₂ will increase with the increase of leakage pressure.




Cases with different leakage hole position

The change of the location of leakage hole, *h*, will significantly affect the characteristics of the diffusion of LH₂. When the leakage hole is close to the ground, the concentration distribution changes dramatically. Therefore, the concentration accumulation behavior near the ground should be focused in this study. In this section, LH₂ leakage and diffusion under different leakage locations will be given. The initial condition of cases considered is given in tab. 4.

The fig. 6 shows the volume fraction distribution along the horizontal line at three different vertical locations. One obvious observation at fig. 6(c) is the peak value of volume fraction along the horizontal line gets significantly pushed downstream by about 2 m. From the

Table 4. Cases with different height of leakage hole

Leakage pressure [MPa]	Atmosphere pressure [MPa]	Velocity of ambient air [ms^{-1}]	Height of leakage hole [m]
0.2	0.1	0	0.1
0.2	0.1	0	0.5
0.2	0.1	0	1

**Figure 6. Volume fraction of hydrogen along the horizontal line off leakage hole at the symmetry face; (a) axis line along leakage hole, (b) 0.5 m from leakage hole, and (c) 1 m from leakage hole****Figure 7. Diffusion temperature at the symmetry face; (a) $h = 1$ m, (b) $h = 0.5$ m, (c) $h = 0.1$ m and floor****Figure 8. Volume fraction of hydrogen at the symmetry face; (a) up-per wind, (b) up-wind**

leakage flow. The influence of the wind will make hydrogen concentration distribution greatly change. In this part, we will simulate the liquid hydrogen leakage under different wind directions. *Upper wind* represents the negative direction of z and *Upwind* represents the negative direction of x axis.

Figure 8 shows the wind has a great influence on the hydrogen distribution. The wind can induce hydrogen accumulation, and make the diffusion distance shorter. The upper wind can accelerate the diffusion of hydrogen, and make hydrogen concentration lower. The wind is good for the hydrogen diffusion, and the upper wind case is better than the upwind case.

Based on above results and analysis, the control strategy of hydrogen diffusion can be proposed:

finding in fig. 7, it is confirmed that the closer the leakage hole is against ground, the further the leaking can pass down.

Figure 7 shows that as the leakage position has shorter distance from the ground, the low temperature area spreads further. The low temperature zone represents the leaking LH_2 from cold tank. When the leakage position is close to ground, the leaking motion and hydrogen transports in spanwise direction and positive direction of Z will be confined by the ground.

Safety analysis and evaluation

In previous sections, the leakage of LH_2 was simulated in the windless environment. Actually in the real environment one breeze environment generally exists, and the existence of the wind flow is crucial for the spread of LH_2

- The leakage height of LH₂ storage tanks is proved to affect the hydrogen concentration distribution. Therefore, the storage tank should be designed to have bottom hanging over the ground or adopt enforced material for bottom part. By doing this, the chance of leakage is reduced and even leakage occurs, the hazard effect would be reduced to a low level.
- The existence of wind flow will promote the diffusion of hydrogen, and especially the wind is coming from the upper wind direction has the greatest effect on promoting the diffusion. When LH₂ leaks, the wind from the upper wind direction can notably dilute the hydrogen. Therefore, the storage tank should be placed on some place which has good venting condition. If possible, fans can be installed to bring in venting flow.

Conclusion

This study is focusing on the leakage and diffusion of LH₂ using CFD modelling. The simulation of liquid hydrogen with different leakage pressures and different leakage hole position was performed to characterize the leakage process. From analytical and simulation results, it is shown that the large area range of low-temperature hazard zone of LH₂ and hydrogen combustible are induced by large leakage pressure and the location of leakage hole to the ground. It also demonstrates that the external air-flow can slow down the accumulation of the hydrogen gas and the upper blow wind is the most favorable way to dilute the concentration of hydrogen. Furthermore, the control strategy of the leakage and diffusion of liquid hydrogen is proposed to reduce the dangers of the leakage and diffusion of liquid hydrogen in cosmodrome. The prominent findings in this work can be used as the fundamental guidance for designing liquid safety forecasting system of hydrogen leakage.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2017XKZD02) and a project funded by the priority academic program development of Jiangsu higher education institutions.

Nomenclature

C_0 – leakage factor	\vec{u}_l – leak velocity, [ms ⁻¹]
h – the location of leakage hole, [m]	Greek symbol
N – number of grid	ρ_l – the density of leakage LH ₂ , [kgm ⁻³]
Pg – pressure change, [MPa]	
Re – Reynolds number	

References

- [1] Cao, W. Z., Hydrogen Energy, Production Process and Application, *Proceedings*, 2th International Youth Hydrogen Energy Forum, Beijing, China, 2003
- [2] Ichard, M., et al., CFD Computations of Liquid Hydrogen Releases, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 37 (2012), 22, pp. 17380-17389
- [3] Lin, C. S., et al., Use of Hydrogenic Energy and Research Status of Hydrogen Production and Storage Technology, *Energy Conservation and Environmental Protection*, 2 (2010), Feb., pp. 42-43
- [4] Xu, W., et al., Progress of Research on Hydrogen Storage, *Progress of Chemistry*, 18 (2006), 2, pp. 200-209
- [5] Wang, Q. S., et al., Main Consequences Analysis after Liquid Hydrogen Release, *Journal of Wuhan University of Technology*, 28 (2006), 2, pp. 279-284
- [6] Zhao, R. X., et al., Safety Control of Liquid Hydrogen, *Missiles and Space Vehicles*, 2 (1981), Apr., pp. 1-12
- [7] Zhang, Q. Y., Comprehensive Risk Analysis of Liquid Hydrogen, *Foreign Missile Technology*, 7 (1983), July, pp. 50-53

- [8] Raymond, W. M., Analysis of Liquid Hydrogen Explosion, *Physics Teacher*, 23 (1985), 9, pp. 57-59
- [9] Nakamichi, K., *et al.*, Observation of Liquid Hydrogen Jet on Flashing and Evaporation Characteristics, *Cryogenics*, 48 (2008), 1, pp. 26-30
- [10] Raj, P. K., Bowdoin, L. A., Underwater LNG Release, Does a Pool Form on the Water Surface what Are the Characteristics of the Vapor Released, *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 23 (2010), 6, pp. 753-761
- [11] Najjar, Y. S. H., Hydrogen Safety, The Road toward Green Technology, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 38 (2013), 25, pp. 10716-10728
- [12] Lowesmith, B. J., *et al.*, Safety Issues of the Liquefaction, Storage and Transportation of Liquid Hydrogen, An Analysis of Incidents and Hazards, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 39 (2014), 35, pp. 20516-20521
- [13] Giannissi, S. G., *et al.*, The CFD Modelling of Hydrogen Dispersion under Cryogenic Release Conditions, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 39 (2014), 28, pp. 15851-15863
- [14] Hallgarth, A., *et al.*, Hydrogen Vehicle Leak Modelling in Indoor Ventilated Environments, *Proceedings*, COMSOL Conference, Milan, Italy, 2009
- [15] Zhang, Q. Y., Analysis of Explosion and Detonation of Liquid Hydrogen, *China Aerospace*, 9 (1982), Sept., pp. 48-51
- [16] Hu, D., *et al.*, Studies of the Detonability Limit and the Transition from Deflagration Detonation for Detonability Gases, *Explosion and Shock Waves*, 9 (1989), 3, pp. 266-275
- [17] Du, X. P., *et al.*, The Hazardous Analysis of Explosion about Storage Vessels within Liquid Hydrogen according to Different Types of Lay-out, *Proceedings*, International Symposium on Shock & Impact Dynamics-2011, Taiyuan, Shanxi, 2011
- [18] Willoughby, D. B., *et al.*, Experimental Releases of Liquid Hydrogen, *Proceedings*, 4th International Conference of Hydrogen Safety, San Francisco, Cal., USA, 2011