# THE ROLE OF HYBRID NANOFLUIDS IN IMPROVING THE THERMAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SCREEN MESH CYLINDRICAL HEAT PIPES

### by

## Raghavan Nair RAMACHANDRAN<sup>a\*</sup>, Kalliappan GANESAN<sup>b</sup>, and Lazarus Godson ASIRVATHAM<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Anna University, Chennai, India
<sup>b</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, PSG College of Technology, Coimbatore, India
<sup>c</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, Karunya University, Coimbatore, India

Original scientific paper DOI: 10.2298/TSCI150710006R

Experiments were conducted to study the thermal performance of meshed wick heat pipe by varying the working fluid and heat input. In this work four screen mesh wicked heat pipes were fabricated and tested. All the heat pipes were tested for heat input from 50 W to 250 W each with an increment of 50 W in each step. The heat input range selected in this study is commonly encountered in most of the electronic application devices. The thermal resistance of all the heat pipes charged with different working fluids such as de-ionised water,  $Al_2O_3$ /de-ionised water nanofluid of volume concentration 0.1%, and hybrid nanofluid volume concentration 0.1%, with two different combinations of ( $Al_2O_3$  50%-CuO 50%)/de-ionised water and ( $Al_2O_3$  25%-CuO 75%)/de-ionised water, was determined. The maximum percentage reduction was found to be 58.87% for the hybrid nanofluid of ( $Al_2O_3$  25%-CuO 75%)/de-ionised water compared to base fluid. An important observation from the study is that, use of hybrid nanofluid can raise the operating range of the heat pipe beyond 250 W which makes hybrid nanofluid as a potential substitute for the conventional working fluid.

Key words: screen mesh heat pipe, hybrid nanofluid, thermal resistance, effective thermal conductivity

## Introduction

Over the last decade, due to advancement in electronic industry, it is possible to produce high performance integrated electronic devices. These devices are subjected to high heat flux and therefore their thermal management has become very serious concern for many researchers. Among the different cooling methods, the role of heat pipe as an effective cooling device has recently become very interesting area of research because of their high thermal conductivity, reliability, and low weight penalty, *etc.* These features make them useful in many electronic and computer systems [1-5]. Even though there are many factors [6-13] which affect the performance of heat pipe, role of working fluid is very important, since the heat pipe utilise the phase change phenomena of the working fluid for the transport of heat. Different working fluids has been tried by many researchers, however, recently application of nanofluids as working fluid in heat pipes has been a subject of interest due to the unprecedented thermal character-

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author; e-mail: rclbs@hotmail.com

istics. Do et al. [14] experimentally investigated the thermal resistance of screen mesh wick heat pipes using the water based Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanofluids with volume fraction 1.0 and 3.0 vol%. The wall temperature distributions and thermal resistance were compared with that of de-ionised (DI) water and showed that the nanofluids enhance the maximum heat transport rate. It was also noted that the thermal resistance of the heat pipe using water based nanofluids of  $3.0 \text{ vol}\% \text{ Al}_2\text{O}_3$  is significantly reduced by 40% at the evaporator-adiabatic section because of the coating of nanoparticle at the evaporator section which ultimately increase the surface wettability and capillary wicking performance. Numerical investigations were performed by Shafahi et al. [15] to study the thermal performance of a cylindrical heat pipe utilising nanofluids namely, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CuO, and TiO<sub>2</sub>. The proposed numerical methodology could bring out that the thermal resistance of heat pipe is reduced while using nanofluids. This result obtained from numerical study were in good agreement with the experimental results of many previous researchers, thereby establishing the numerical methodology proposed by Shafahi et al. [15]. Putra et al. [16] conducted an experimental study to analyse the thermal performance of screen mesh wick heat pipes using different nanofluids such as, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-water, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-ethylene glycol, TiO<sub>2</sub>-water, TiO<sub>2</sub>-ethylene glycol, and ZnO-ethylene glycol with volume concentration ranging from 1% to 5%. The study reported that the temperature difference decreases with increase in concentration and the best performance was obtained for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-water with 5% volume concentration. Experiments were conducted by Hung et al. [17] to study the thermal performance of heat pipes with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/water nanofluid. The influence of the following parameters *i. e.*: charged volume ratio of the working fluid, tilt angle, heat pipe length, heating power, and weight fraction of the nanoparticles on the overall thermal performance of the heat pipe was studied. The results showed that, at a heating power of 40 W, the thermal performance of all the heat pipes with different concentration of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/water nanofluid was superior to heat pipes with working fluid, distilled water. An experimental investigation was conducted by Kumaresan et al. [18] to investigate the enhancement of thermal performance of sintered wick heat pipes using CuO nanofluids. The effect of heat input, angle of inclination, and the weight fractions of CuO nanoparticle on the heat pipe thermal resistance, heat transfer coefficients of evaporator, and condenser and thermal conductivity of the heat pipe was studied. Investigations showed that there is an increase of 31.2% in heat transport capacity of the heat pipe for 1wt.% of CuO nanofluid and a reduction of 38.3%, 66.1%, and 54.1% in thermal resistance for 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5wt.% of CuO nanofluid compared with DI water. At the optimum tilt angle of  $45^\circ$ , the thermal efficiency for 1.0 wt.% CuO nanofluid was improved by 24.9% compared with DI water. Kole and Dey [19] conducted experimental investigation to find the thermal performance of screen mesh wick heat pipes using water-based Cu nanofluids with different concentrations i. e. 0.0005, 0.005, 0.05, and 0.5 wt.%. The thermal conductivity of the heat pipe with 0.5 wt.% of Cu displayed a maximum enhancement of 15% compared with that of distilled water. The mentioned literature review reveals that both Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/DI water and CuO/DI water can enhance the thermal performance of heat pipes. However, researchers [20-22] have also investigated the role of hybrid nanofluids as potential working fluids in various heat transfer devices because of its high thermal conductivity compared to other fluids. Performance of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Cu/water hybrid nanofluid was experimentally studied by Suresh et al. [20] to find its laminar heat transfer and friction characteristics. Hybrid nanofluid of 0.1% volume concentration was used in the study. The study revealed that compared with water, a 13.56% enhancement in Nusselt number at Re = 1730 was observed. It was also reported that hybrid nanofluids have higher friction factor compared to nanofluid. An experimental investigation was conducted by Han and Rhi [21] to investigate the thermal characteristics of grooved heat pipe with different volume concentrations of hybrid nanofluid namely,

Ag-H<sub>2</sub>O and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O. It was proved that the present hybrid working system, pure nanoparticle fluid system was better than hybrid nanofluids system. Even though there is literature pertaining to this area, more research needs to be done because the combinations and volume concentration of different nanoparticles can affect the performance characteristics of many heat transfer equipment, especially heat pipes. In the present study, experiments have been conducted to evaluate the feasibility of using two different combinations of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.CuO hybrid nanofluids in cylindrical mesh wicked heat pipes and compare its thermal performance with DI water and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/DI water nanofluid.

## Experimentation

## Preparation of nanofluid

The first key step in the experimental work is the preparation of nanofluid. In the present investigation, two types of nanoparticles, such as  $Al_2O_3$  and hybrid nanoparticle (a combination of  $Al_2O_3$ .CuO) are used with DI water as the base fluid. The  $Al_2O_3$ /DI water nanofluid contains commercial nanoparticles manufactured by Alfa Aesar USA (Product number 44931 of 40-50 nm). The density of  $Al_2O_3$  nanoparticle is approximately 3950 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. The crystalline phase of  $Al_2O_3$  nanoparticles was determined by X-ray diffraction, (XRD) using Shimazdu

Labx-6000. The XRD image depicted in fig. 1 shows the crystalline nature of the  $Al_2O_3$  nanoparticle. The average crystalline size of nanoparticle was calculated using the Scherrer formula [23] stated in eq. (1):

$$D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta\cos\theta} \tag{1}$$

where D is the crystalline size, K – the shape factor with a value of 0.9-1.2,  $\lambda$  – the wavelength of X-ray, (1.54056  $A^{\circ}$ ),  $\theta$  – the Braggs angle, and  $\beta$  – the value of full width at half maximum (at radian). The full width half maximum corresponding to peak values of intensity at different  $2\theta$  is obtained from the XRD pattern. Based on the calculation, the average size of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was found to be 14.38 nm. The hybrid nanofluid Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CuO/DI water contains Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CuO nanoparticles. The CuO nanoparticles are manufactured by Skyspring Nanomaterials Inc., Houston, Tex., USA (Product No. 2810-071814). The XRD pattern depicted in fig. 2 shows the crystalline nature of CuO nanoparticle. The average particle size of CuO nanoparticles was found out from the results of the XRD test based on the same procedure described for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and the average particle size was found to be 17.36 nm.



Figure 1. The XRD pattern of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles



Figure The 2. XRD pattern of CuO nanoparticles

The hybrid nanofluid was prepared by a combination of  $Al_2O_3$  and CuO of volume concentration 0.1% mixed with DI water and sonicated for one hour in an ultrasonic cell disruptor (Model KS500F). Two different combinations of hybrid nanofluid such as,  $Al_2O_3$  50%-CuO 50%, and  $Al_2O_3$  25%-CuO 75% was used for the study.

## Experimental set-up

Figure 3 shows the schematic of the experimental set-up. The heat pipes were fabricated with commercially available straight Cu tubes with outer diameter of 12.5 mm, inner diameter



Figure 3. Schematic diagram of the experimental set-up

11.5 mm, with a length 300 mm. The evaporator, adiabatic, and condenser sections were maintained at a length of 100 mm, 50 mm, and 150 mm, respectively. Screen mesh made of Cu was used for the construction of the heat pipe wick. Three layers of 100 mesh screen Cu wick are scrolled into the inner surface of the tube and tightly affixed by a spring mechanism. The wick is completely saturated by filling the heat pipe with 5.12 ml of different working fluids at 13.46 KPa. Both ends of the Cu tube were closed by end caps. A constant heat input is given to the evaporator by a 300 W Nichrome wire heater wound circumferentially on the outer surface of the evaporator.

The power supply to the electric heater is controlled by an auto transformer and the power input is measured by a digital multimeter. A 220 W, 50 Hz AC supply is given as

input to the circuit. The condenser section was covered by an acrylic tube with 35 mm diameter and is cooled by water. The inlet coolant temperature is maintained at a specified value of 20 °Cby constant temperature bath (chiller unit) with a flow rate of 12 liter per hour (LPH). The evaporator region and the adiabatic regions are perfectly insulated with glass wool to minimize the heat loss between the heat pipe and the surroundings. Ten T-types thermo-couples employed at different locations of the heat pipe were used for measuring the temperature. Among these ten thermo-couples five were placed at the surface of the heat pipe and remaining five inside the heat pipe (vapour core) at different locations *i. e.* two at evaporator, one at adiabatic, and two at condenser region to measure the surface and vapour temperature. The thermo-couples located at the surface of the heat pipe are welded to measuring points and fixed using highly conducting thermo-bond. Additional two thermo-couples were also placed at the inlet and outlet of the cooling water jacket in the condenser region. The lead wires of all the thermo-couples are connected to computer based data acquisition system (Agilent India Ltd.).

The whole assembly is fabricated as shown in fig. 4. Since experiments were conducted for DI water, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/DI water nanofluid and two hybrid nanoparticle/DI water, four different

#### 2030

heat pipes were fabricated for this purpose. The orientation for all the heat pipes was kept horizontal throughout the experiment. The heat pipe was kept in operation for sufficient period of time till the temperature recorded by the thermo-couples showed a steady value.

## Data reduction

An important performance parameter which defines its performance under the given

heat load conditions is its thermal resistance [24], defined in eq. (3).

$$Q = V I \tag{2}$$

$$R_{HP} = \frac{\overline{T}_{E,\text{wall}} - \overline{T}_{C,\text{wall}}}{O}$$
(3)

$$k_{\rm eff} = \frac{L}{A_{\rm c} R_{\rm HP}} \tag{4}$$

#### The experimental uncertainty

In this work the dependent parameter are heat flux, thermal resistance and effective thermal conductivity. These parameters are dependent upon voltage, V, current, I, length of the evaporator, L, diameter of evaporator, d, and the temperature difference between the evaporator and condenser. The

uncertainty in the dependent parameter is estimated by using eq. (5), wherein,  $\sigma_R$  is the uncertainty in the estimation of the dependent parameter *R*, and  $\sigma_{Xi}$ is the uncertainty associated

Table 1. Uncertainty of the measured and estimated parameters

Parameters	<i>L</i> [mm]	V [V]	<i>I</i> [A]	<i>Т</i> [°С]	Q [%]	$R_{HP}$ [%]	k <sub>eff</sub> [%]
Uncertainty	0.02	0.2	0.1	0.5	2.1	3.5	2

with independent parameter X. The detailed procedure for estimating the uncertainty is outlined in [25]. The uncertainty in the independent parameter can be obtained either from the calibration of the instrument or it will be specified by the manufacturer. The uncertainty in the independent (measured) parameter and the dependent parameters is reported in tab. 1.

$$\sigma_R = \pm \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial X_i} \right)^2 \sigma_{X_i}^2 \right]}$$
(5)

#### **Results and discussions**

Four different heat pipes with different heat inputs (50-250 W in steps of 50 W) were used for the present experimental study. Various working fluids namely, DI water,  $Al_2O_3/DI$  water of 0.1 % volume concentration, and  $(Al_2O_3 + CuO)/DI$  water hybrid nanofluid of 0.1% volume concentrations were used for the experimental study. Two combinations of hybrid nanofluids  $Al_2O_3$  50%-CuO 50% and  $Al_2O_3$  25%-CuO 75% with total volume concentration of 0.1 vol.% were used for the experiments.



Figure 4. Photograph of experimental set-up

Distribution of vapour temperature along the axial length of the heat pipe plotted in fig. 5, which reveals that by using nanofluids, the vapour temperature in the heat pipe decreases due to high thermal conductivity of nanoparticles. It is observed that the average vapour temperature in the evaporator of the heat pipe drops by 16.89 °C for  $Al_2O_3$  25%-CuO 75% hybrid nanofluid, compared with DI water. A significant drop in temperature is also observed in the condenser which is circulated with cooling water maintained at constant temperature. This significant drop in temperature in the evaporator for a constant heat input indicates the ability of the heat pipe to operate under higher heat loads when compared to that of the base fluid heat pipe.

An important parameter which affects the performance of the heat pipe is the adiabatic vapour temperature which is otherwise known as the operating temperature of the heat pipe. It can be inferred from fig. 6 that the operating temperature increases with increase in heat load and decreases as the total weight of nanoparticle in the base fluid increases. It is worth mentioning here that while using hybrid nanofluid the total quantity of nanoparticle increases which in turn increases the total weight of the nanofluid as depicted in tab. 2. The reduction in temperature due to the increased weight of the nanoparticle indicates ability of the heat pipe to have high heat transfer capability. An 21.39% reduction is observed in case of heat pipe operating with  $Al_2O_3$  25%-CuO 75% at the heat load of 250 W.







Figure 6. Variation of adiabatic vapour temperature as a function of heat load

It is important to note from fig. 6 the presence of hybrid nanofluid increases the operating temperature range of the heat pipe beyond 250 W which is the maximum heat load in the case of the present DI water based heat pipe.

Working fluid	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> [mg]	CuO [mg]	Total [mg]	
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /DI water	198	—	198	
(Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 50%-CuO 50%)/ DI water	99	153	252	
(Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 25%-CuO 75%)/ DI water	50	230	280	

Table. 2 Mass of nanoparticle required to prepare 50 ml nanofluid (total vol 0.1%)

2032

Figure 7 shows the variation in surface temperature in evaporator and condenser with various heat loads. The evaporator surface temperature increases with heat load and decreases with increase in the total weight of nanoparticle in the base fluid increases. This reduction in surface temperature enhances and aids the faster cooling rate of the heat pipe. It is seen that for the hybrid nanofluid of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 25%-CuO 75% the decrease in the evaporator surface temperature is about 31.49 °C for a heat input of 250 W. Similarly the evaporator surface temperature of heat pipe with hybrid nanofluid of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 50%-CuO 50%, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/DI water is reduced by 22.85 °C, 20.3 °C when compared with heat pipe using DI water. The lowering of evaporator surface temperature with increase in the total weight of nanoparticle permits the heat pipes to withstand higher heat loads before reaching dryout condition.

Figure 8 shows the vapour temperature difference between the evaporator and condenser as a function of heat load. For a given heat load the temperature difference between the evaporator and condenser gradually decreases with increase in the total weight of the nanoparticles. This clearly shows that it is possible to operate the heat pipe under larger heat loads by using hybrid nanofluids with more nanoparticles. It can also be inferred that the temperature difference reduces by 51.33%, 47.2%, 35.82%, respectively, for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 25%-CuO 75% hybrid nanofluid, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 50%-CuO 50% hybrid nanofluid, and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanofluid when compared to the heat pipe operating with DI water at a heat load of 250 W. This conveys that the heat transport capability of the heat pipe working with



Figure 7. Evaporator and condenser surface temperature as a function of heat load



Figure 8. Evaporator and condenser vapour temperature difference as a function of heat load

Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 25%-CuO 75% hybrid nanofluid is better. The reason for reduction in temperature difference is due to the deposition of nanoparticles in the screen mesh wick of the evaporator, which forms a porous coating. This porous coating, allows the liquid molecules to get strongly attracted to the solid nanoparticles deposited in the evaporator section, eventually reducing the contact angle at the liquid solid interface. This reduction in contact angle leads to increase in surface wettability and surface roughness. Apart from this the porous coating has a capillary effect, due which more liquid will be drawn from the condenser to the evaporator. All these factors along with increased evaporator surface area leads to greater reduction in temperature differences. Stated differently, larger reduction in temperature difference causes higher heat transfer rate.

As seen in fig. 9, the thermal resistance of the heat pipe using the base fluid, nanofluid, and hybrid nanofluids is higher at low heat loads, because of the formation of a thin liquid film in the evaporator section. However, as the heat load increases the thermal



Figure 9. Thermal resistance as a function of heat load



Figure 10. Effective thermal conductivity of heat pipe as a function of heat load

resistance decreases. The reduction in thermal resistance is found to be more while using a hybrid nanofluid compared to heat pipe operating with pure nanofluid and DI water. An 52.36% reduction in thermal resistance is observed for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanofluid compared to that of DI water. Furthermore, it is also seen that a maximum reduction in thermal resistance of about 58.87% is observed in the case of hybrid nanofluid with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 25%-CuO 75% compared to that of DI water. As the total weight of nanoparticle increases, the thickness of the porous layer coating also increases which in turn significantly reduces the thermal resistance of the heat pipe.

As stated earlier a heat pipe employing nanofluid as the working substance has the ability to transfer more heat. This point is further established from the plot of the effective thermal conductivity vs. heat load depicted in fig. 10. It can be inferred from the figure that the effective thermal conductivity of the heat pipe increases by 38.34% for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/DI water, 41.47% for (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 50%-CuO 50%)/DI water, and 79.35% for (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 25%-CuO 75%)/DI water compared to the base fluid (DI water) for the maximum heat load considered in this study.

### Conclusion

Experiments have been conducted to investigate the performance of heat pipes using various working fluids such as, DI water, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/DI

water nanofluid, and (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 50%-CuO 50%)/DI water hybrid nanofluid and (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 25%-CuO 75%)/DI water hybrid nanofluid. It can be seen from the study that the operating temperature of the heat pipe is inversely proportional to the total weight of hybrid nanoparticle in the base fluid and therefore hybrid nanofluid can increase the operating range of heat pipe above 250 W. Experimental results also showed that the thermal resistance of the heat pipe decreases with the use of hybrid nanofluid which in turn increases its effective thermal conductivity by 38.34% for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/DI water, 41.47% for (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 50%-CuO 50%)/DI water, and 79.35% for (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 25%-CuO 75%)/DI water compared base fluid (DI water) for the maximum heat load considered in this study.

#### Nomenclature

- $A_{\rm c}$ - area of cross section, [mm<sup>2</sup>]
- D - crystalline size, [nm]
- d - diameter, [mm]
- Ι - current, [A]
- K - shape factor
- $k_{\rm eff}$  effective thermal conductivity, [Wm<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>]

- length of the heat pipe, [mm] L

- Q - heat load, [W]
- $\underline{R}_{\underline{HP}}$  thermal resistance, [°CW<sup>-1</sup>]
- $\overline{T}_{C}$  $\overline{T}_{E}$ V- average condenser surface temperature, [°C]
  - average evaporator surface temperature, [°C]
  - voltage [V]

Ramachandran, R. N., *et al.*: The Role of Hybrid Nanofluids in Improving the ... THERMAL SCIENCE, Year 2016, Vol. 20, No. 6, pp. 2027-2035

Greek symbols

 $\beta$  – full width at half maximum [radians]

#### Reference

 Oomi, M., et al., A Heat Pipe System for Cooling a Desktop Computer, Adv. Electron. Packaging, 2 (1999), pp. 1951-1955

 $\theta$  – Braggs angle

 $\lambda$  – wave length of X-ray [A<sup>o</sup>]

- [2] Lin, L., et al., High Performance Miniature Heat Pipe, Int. J. Heat Mass Tran., 45 (2002) 15, pp. 3131-3142
- [3] Vasiliev, L. L., Micro and Miniature Heat Pipes-Electronic Component Cooler, *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, 28 (2008), 4, pp. 266-273
- [4] Sonan, R., et al., Transient Thermal and Hydrodynamic Model of Flat Heat Pipe for the Cooling of Electronic Components. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 51 (2008), 25, pp. 6006-6017
- [5] Brautsch, A., Kew, P. A., Examination and Visualisation of Heat Transfer Processes during Evaporation in Capillary Porous Structures. Appl. Therm. Eng., 22 (2002), 7, pp. 815-824
- [6] Ma, H. B., et al., Effect of Nanofluid on the Heat Transport Capacity in an Oscillating Heat Pipe. Applied Physics Letters, 88 (2006), 14, ID 143116
- [7] Xu, J., et al., Effect of Pore Parameters on Thermal Conductivity of Sintered LHP Wicks. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 55 (2012), 9, pp. 2703-2706
- [8] Semena, M. G., Zaripov, V. K., Influence of the Diameter and Length of Fibres on Material Heat Transfer of Metal Fibre Wicks of Heat Pipes, *Therm. Eng.*, 24 (1977), 4, pp. 69-72
- [9] Lee., Y., Bedrossian, A., The Characteristics of Heat Exchangers using Heat Pipes or Thermosyphon, Int. J. of Heat and Mass Transfer, 21 (1978), 2, pp. 221-229
- [10] Tsai, C. Y., et al., Effect of Structural Character of Gold Nanoparticles in Nanofluid on Heat Pipe Thermal Performance, Material Letters, 58 (2004), 9, pp. 1461-1465
- [11] Thuchayapong, N., et al., Effect of Capillary Pressure on Performance of a Heat Pipe: Numerical approach with FEM, Appl. Therm. Eng., 32 (2012), Jan., pp. 93-99
- [12] Lips, S., Lefevre, F., A General Analytical Model for the Design of Conventional Heat Pipes Int. J. of Heat and Mass Transfer, 72 (2014), May, pp. 288-298
- [13] Suman, B., Kumar, P., An Analytical Model for Fluid Flow and Heat Transfer in a Micro Heat Pipe of Polygonal Shape, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 48 (2005), 21-22, pp. 4498-4509
- [14] Do, H., et al., Thermal Resistance of Screen Mesh Heat Pipes using the Water Based Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Nanofluids, Int. J. of Heat and Mass Transfer, 53 (2010), 25-26, pp. 5888-5894
- [15] Shafahi, M., et al., An Investigation of the Thermal Performance of Cylindrical Heat Pipes Using Nanofluids, Int. J. of Heat and Mass Transfer, 53 (2010), 1-3, pp. 376-383
- [16] Putra, N., et al., Thermal Performance of Screen Mesh Wick Heat Pipes with Nanofluids, Experimental Thermal and Fluid Sciences, 40 (2012), July, pp. 10-17
- [17] Hung, Y. H., et al., Evaluation of the Thermal Performance of a Heat Pipe Using Alumina Nanofluids, Experimental Thermal and Fluid Sciences, 44 (2013), Jan., pp. 504-511
- [18] Kumaresan, G., et al., Experimental Investigation on Enhancement in Thermal Characteristics of Sintered Wick Heat Pipe Using CuO Nanofluids. Int. J. of Heat and Mass Tran., 72 (2014), May, pp. 507-516
- [19] Kole, M., Dey, T. K., Thermal Performance of Screen Mesh Wick Heat Pipes Using Water-Based Copper Nanofluids, *Appl . Therm. Eng.*, 50 (2013), 1, pp. 763-770
- [20] Suresh, S., et al., Effect of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Cu/Water Hybrid Nanofluid in Heat Transfer, Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science, 38 (2012), Apr., pp. 54-60
- [21] Han, W. S., Rhi, S. H., Thermal Characteristics of Grooved Heat Pipe with Hybrid Nanofluids, *Thermal Science*, 15 (2011), 1, pp. 195-206
- [22] Jena, P. K., et al., Identification of a Third Phase in Cu-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Nanoscale Composites Prepared by Chemical Routes, *Material Science and Engineering: A*, 371 (2004), 1-2, pp. 72-78
- [23] Kwon, Y. J., et al., Characterization of ZnO Nanopowders Synthesized by the Polymerized Complex Method via an Organochemical Route, Journal of Ceramic Processing Research, 3 (2002), 3, pp. 146-149
- [24] Reay, D. A., et al., Heat Pipes, Theory, Design and Applications, Elsevier, New York, USA, 2014
- [25] Kline, S. J., Mcklintock, F. A., Describing the Uncertainties in Simple Sample Experiments, *Mech. Eng.*, 75 (1953), 1, pp. 3-8

Paper submitted: July 10, 2015

Paper revised: January 9, 2016

Paper accepted: January 13, 2016

2035