

OSCILLATION BEHAVIOR OF A CLASS OF NEW GENERALIZED EMDEN-FOWLER EQUATIONS

by

Hong-Ying LUO^{a*}, Jun LIU^a, Xi LIU^b, and Chun-Yan ZHU^a

^a College of Mathematics and Information Science, Qujing Normal University, Qujing, China

^b College of Information Science and Engineering, Yunnan University, Kunming, China

Original scientific paper
DOI: 10.2298/TSCI1405567L

In this paper, we analyze a class of new generalized Emden-Fowler equations. By using the generalized Riccati transformation and specific analytical skills, new oscillation criteria are obtained which generalize and improve some known results.

Key words: *generalized Emden-Fowler equation, oscillation criteria, generalized Riccati transformation*

Introduction

In this paper, we consider a class of new generalized Emden-Fowler equations with neutral type delays:

$$[r(t)|z'(t)|^{\alpha-1}z'(t)]' + q(t)|x[\sigma(t)]|^{\beta-1}x[\sigma(t)] = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 \quad (1)$$

where $z(t) = x(t) + p(t)x[\tau(\sigma)]$, α and β are constants, $r(t) \in C^1([t_0, \infty), R)$, $p(t), q(t) \in C([t_0, \infty))$, and the followings are satisfied:

$$(I) \quad 0 \leq p(t) \leq 1, \quad q(t) \geq 0.$$

$$(II) \quad r(t) > 0, \quad r'(t) \geq 0, \quad R(t) = \int_{t_0}^t r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)ds$$

$$(III) \quad \sigma(t) \in C^1([t_0, \infty), R), \quad \sigma(t) > 0, \quad \sigma'(t) > 0, \quad \sigma(t) \leq t, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty$$

When $\alpha = \beta = 1$, $r(t) = 1$, $\sigma(t) = t$, $p(t) = 0$, eq. (1) change to following equation:

$$x'' + q(t)x(t) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) has some oscillation behavior [1-3], In 2012, Liu [4] obtained some oscillation criteria of eq. (1). In the last decades, the Emden-Fowler equations have attracted extensive attention for the relevance to nuclear physics and gaseous dynamics in astrophysics, the oscillation behavior of the Emden-Fowler equations are studied by many scholars [5-10].

In this paper, we further study from eq. (1), new oscillation criteria are obtained which generalize and improve some known results, especially the result by Kamenev [2] and Philos [3] becomes a special case of our results.

* Corresponding author; e-mail: luohongy1982@163.com

Oscillation behavior

Lemma 1. Assume that $x(t)$ is a positive solution of eq. (1), then we have:

- (I) $z'(t) > 0, \quad z''(t) \leq 0$
 (II) $x(t) \geq [1 - p(t)]z(t)$
 (III) $\{r(t)[z'(t)]^\alpha\}' + Q(t)z^\beta[\sigma(t)] \leq 0$, where $Q(t) = q(t)\{1 - p[\sigma(t)]\}^\beta$

Proof. (I) Since $x(t)$ is a positive solution of eq. (1) and $x(t) \geq 1$, we have $z(t) > 0$, from eq. (1), we get:

$$[r(t)|z'(t)|^{\alpha-1}z'(t)]' \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_0$$

then $r(t)|z'(t)|^{\alpha-1}z'(t)$ is a decreasing function and it is fixed symbol function, that is $r(t)|z'(t)|^{\alpha-1}z'(t) > 0$ or $r(t)|z'(t)|^{\alpha-1}z'(t) < 0$. Therefore, $z'(t) > 0$ or $z'(t) < 0$.

Suppose that $z'(t) > 0$, Otherwise, if there exists a $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $z'(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_1$, then, for some positive number K , we have:

$$r(t)|z'(t)|^{\alpha-1}z'(t) = -r(t)|z'(t)|^\alpha = -r(t)[-z'(t)]^\alpha \leq K, \quad t \geq t_1$$

that is:

$$z'(t) \leq -\left[\frac{K}{r(t)}\right]^{1/\alpha}, \quad t \geq t_1$$

Integrating the inequality from t_1 to t :

$$z(t) \leq z(t_1) - K^{1/\alpha} \int_{t_1}^t \left[\frac{1}{r(s)}\right]^{1/\alpha} ds$$

letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = -\infty$, which contradicts $z(t) > 0$. Thus, we have $z'(t) > 0$.

From eq. (1), we have:

$$[r(t)|z'(t)|^{\alpha-1}z'(t)]' = r'(t)[z'(t)]^\alpha + \alpha r(t)[z'(t)]^{\alpha-1}z''(t) \leq 0$$

then $z'' \leq 0$.

- (II) $x(t) = z(t) - p(t)x[\tau(t)] \geq [1 - p(t)]z(t)$
 (III) $q(t)|x(\sigma(t))|^{\beta-1}x[\sigma(t)] = q(t)x^\beta[\sigma(t)] \geq Q(t)z^\beta[\sigma(t)],$

$$\{r(t)[z'(t)]^\alpha\}' + Q(t)z^\beta[\sigma(t)] \leq 0$$

Lemma 2 [4]. Assume that $\theta > 0, A > 0, B \in R$, then:

$$Bu - Au^{(\theta+1)/\theta} \leq \frac{\theta^\theta}{(\theta+1)^{\theta+1}} \frac{B^{\theta+1}}{A^\theta}$$

Lemma 3. Assume that $x(t)$ is a positive solution of eq. (1), $\rho(t) \in C^1(I, R^+)$, $\rho'(t) \geq 0$, $I = (t_0, \infty)$, let:

$$w(t) = \rho(t) \frac{r(t)[z'(t)]^\alpha}{z^\beta[\sigma(t)]}$$

then we have:

$$(I) \quad \alpha \geq \beta, \quad w'(t) \leq \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - \rho(t)Q(t) - A(t)w^{(\beta+1)/\beta}(t), \quad \text{where } A(t) = \frac{b^{(\alpha-\beta)/\beta} \beta \sigma'(t)}{[\rho(t)r(t)]^{1/\beta}}$$

$$(II) \quad \beta \geq \alpha, \quad w'(t) = \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - \rho(t)Q(t) - A(t)[w(t)]^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \quad \text{where } A(t) = \frac{\alpha \sigma'(t)}{[\rho(t)r(t)]^{1/\alpha}}$$

Proof. (I) When $\alpha \geq \beta$, from (III) of Lemma 1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) &= \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) + \rho(t) \frac{\{r(t)[z'(t)]^\alpha\}'}{z^\beta[\sigma(t)]} - \rho(t)r(t)[z'(t)]^\alpha \frac{\beta z^{\beta-1}[\sigma(t)]z'[\sigma(t)]\sigma'(t)}{z^{2\beta}[\sigma(t)]} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - \rho(t)Q(t) - \rho(t)r(t)[z'(t)]^\alpha \frac{\beta z'[\sigma(t)]\sigma'(t)}{z^{\beta+1}[\sigma(t)]} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - \rho(t)Q(t) - \frac{\beta \sigma'(t)}{[\rho(t)r(t)]^{1/\beta} [z'(t)]^{(\alpha/\beta)-1}} [w(t)]^{(\beta+1)/\beta} \end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 1, we have $z''(t) \leq 0$, that is $z'(t)$ is decreasing function and bounded, there exist $b > 0$, such that $z'(t) \leq 1/b$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) &\leq \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - \rho(t)Q(t) - \frac{b^{(\alpha-\beta)/\beta} \beta \sigma'(t)}{[\rho(t)r(t)]^{1/\beta}} [w(t)]^{(\beta+1)/\beta} = \\ &= \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - \rho(t)Q(t) - A(t)[w(t)]^{(\beta+1)/\beta} \end{aligned}$$

(II) When $\beta \leq \alpha$, from (III) of Lemma 1, we have:

$$w'(t) \leq \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - \rho(t)Q(t) - \frac{\beta z'[\sigma(t)]\sigma'(t)}{[\rho(t)r(t)]^{1/\alpha} z'(t)[z(t)]^{1-(\beta/\alpha)}} \left\{ \frac{\rho(t)r(t)[z'(t)]^\alpha}{z^\beta[\sigma(t)]} \right\}^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}$$

from Lemma 1, we have $z''(t) \leq 0$, that is $z'(t)$ is decreasing function, since $t \geq \sigma(t)$, then $z'(t) \leq z'[\sigma(t)]$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) &\leq \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - \rho(t)Q(t) - \frac{\beta \sigma'(t)}{[\rho(t)r(t)]^{1/\alpha} [z(t)]^{1-(\beta/\alpha)}} [w(t)]^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - \rho(t)Q(t) - A(t)[w(t)]^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. Assume that $\beta \geq \alpha$:

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ t-s \right\}^n \left\{ \rho(s)Q(s) - \left[\frac{\rho'(s)}{\alpha+1} \right]^{\alpha+1} \frac{r(s)}{[\rho(s)\sigma'(s)]^\alpha} \right\} ds = \infty$$

where $Q(t) = q(t)\{1 - p[\sigma(t)]\}^\beta$, then eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose that eq. (1) has a non-increasing positive solution $x(t)$, from Lemma 3 and Lemma 2, when $t > t_1$, we have:

$$w'(t) \leq -\rho(t)Q(t) + \left[\frac{\rho'(t)}{\alpha+1} \right]^{\alpha+1} \frac{r(t)}{[\rho(t)\sigma'(t)]^\alpha} = -\varphi(t)$$

that is $\varphi(t) \leq -w'(t)$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^n \varphi(s) ds &\leq \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{t_1}^t [-(t-s)^n w'(s)] ds = \frac{1}{t^n} (t-t_1)^n w(t_1) - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{t_1}^t n(t-s)^{n-1} w(s) ds \leq \left(1 - \frac{t_1}{t}\right)^n w(t_1) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[1 - (t_1/t)\right]^n w(t_1) = w(t_1) < \infty$, which contradicts conditions, then eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Let $\rho(t) = 1$, have:

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^n q(s) ds = \infty,$$

the ref. [2] have spread.

Theorem 2. Assume that $\alpha \geq \beta$:

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ (t-s)^n \left[\rho(s)Q(s) - \left[\frac{\rho'(s)}{\beta+1} \right]^{\beta+1} \frac{r(s)}{[\rho(s)\sigma'(s)]^\beta b^{\alpha-\beta}} \right] \right\} ds = \infty$$

where $Q(t) = q(t)\{1 - p[\sigma(t)]\}^\beta$, then eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Now, we consider set $D = [(t, s): t \geq s \geq t_0]$, if $H(t, s) \in C(D, R)$ and satisfy follows conditions, then remember to $H(t, s) \in \Omega$:

$$(I) \quad H(t, t) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0; \quad H(t, s) > 0, \quad t > s \geq t_0$$

$$(II) \quad \frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s} \leq 0, \text{ and is continuous on } D.$$

$$(III) \quad \exists \rho(t) \in C^1, \quad \rho'(t) \geq 0, \quad h(t, s) \in C(D, R), \text{ such that:}$$

$$\beta \geq \alpha, \quad \frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s} + \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} H(t, s) = -h(t, s) H^{\alpha/(\alpha+1)}(t, s)$$

$$\alpha \geq \beta, \quad \frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s} + \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} H(t, s) = -h(t, s) H^{\beta/(\beta+1)}(t, s)$$

Theorem 3. Assume that $\beta \geq \alpha$, $H(t, s) \in \Omega$:

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ H(t, s) \rho(s) Q(s) - \left[\frac{h(t, s)}{\alpha+1} \right]^{\alpha+1} \frac{\rho(s)r(s)}{[\sigma'(s)]^\alpha} \right\} ds = \infty$$

where $Q(t) = q(t)\{1 - p[\sigma(t)]\}^\beta$, then eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose that eq. (1) has a positive solution $x(t)$, from Lemma 2-3, when $t > t_1 > t_0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t)Q(t) &\leq -w'(t) + \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)}w(t) - A(t)[w(t)]^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \\ \int_{t_1}^t \rho(s)Q(s)ds &\leq \int_{t_1}^t \left[-w'(s) + \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)}w(s) - A(s)w^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}(s) \right] ds \\ \cdot \int_{t_1}^t H(t,s)\rho(s)Q(s)ds &\leq \int_{t_1}^t H(t,s) \left[-w'(s) + \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)}w(s) - A(s)w^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}(s) \right] ds \leq \\ &\leq H(t,t_1)w(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t \left[\frac{|h(t,s)|}{\alpha+1} \right]^{\alpha+1} \frac{\rho(s)r(s)}{[\sigma'(s)]^\alpha} ds \\ \cdot \int_{t_1}^t \left\{ H(t,s)\rho(s)Q(s) - \left[\frac{|h(t,s)|}{\alpha+1} \right]^{\alpha+1} \frac{\rho(s)r(s)}{[\sigma'(s)]^\alpha} \right\} ds &\leq H(t,t_1)w(t_1) \leq H(t,t_0)w(t_1) \\ \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ H(t,s)\rho(s)Q(s) - \left(\frac{|h(t,s)|}{\alpha+1} \right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{\rho(s)r(s)}{[\sigma'(s)]^\alpha} \right\} ds &= \\ = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left\{ H(t,s)\rho(s)Q(s) - \left(\frac{|h(t,s)|}{\alpha+1} \right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{\rho(s)r(s)}{[\sigma'(s)]^\alpha} \right\} ds &+ \\ + \int_{t_1}^t \left\{ H(t,s)\rho(s)Q(s) - \left(\frac{|h(t,s)|}{\alpha+1} \right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{\rho(s)r(s)}{[\sigma'(s)]^\alpha} \right\} ds &\leq \\ \leq H(t,t_0) \left[\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \rho(s)Q(s)ds + w(t_1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

That is, we have:

$$\frac{1}{H(t,t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ H(t,s)\rho(s)Q(s) - \left[\frac{|h(t,s)|}{\alpha+1} \right]^{\alpha+1} \frac{\rho(s)r(s)}{[\sigma'(s)]^\alpha} \right\} ds \leq \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \rho(s)Q(s)ds + w(t_1)$$

which contradicts conditions, then eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Let $\rho(t) = 1$, $p(t) = 0$, $\sigma(t) = t$, $r(t) = 1$, $\alpha = 1$, we have:

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t,t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left[H(t,s)q(s) - \frac{1}{4} h^2(t,s) \right] ds = \infty$$

the ref. [3] have spread.

Corollary 1. Assume that $\beta \geq \alpha$, $H(t, s) \in \Omega$:

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left[H(t, s)q(s) - \left(\frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \right)^{\alpha+1} |h(t, s)|^{\alpha+1} \right] ds = \infty$$

then eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Theorem 4. Assume that $\alpha \geq \beta$, $H(t, s) \in \Omega$:

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ H(t, s)\rho(s)Q(s) - \left[\frac{|h(t, s)|}{\beta + 1} \right]^{\beta+1} \frac{\rho(s)r(s)}{[\sigma'(s)]^\beta} \frac{1}{b^{\alpha-\beta}} \right\} ds = \infty$$

where $Q(t) = q(t)\{1 - p[\sigma(t)]\}^\beta$, then eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Corollary 2. Assume that $\alpha \geq \beta$, $H(t, s) \in \Omega$:

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left[H(t, s)q(s) - \left(\frac{1}{\beta + 1} \right)^{\beta+1} |h(t, s)|^{\beta+1} \frac{1}{b^{\alpha-\beta}} \right] ds = \infty .$$

then eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by Chinese Natural Science Foundation Grant No. 11361048, 51463021, Qujing Normal University Natural Science Foundation Grant No. 2012QN016 and Yunnan Province Natural Science Foundation Grant No. 2011FB090.

References

- [1] Wintner, A., A Criterion of Oscillatory Stability, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 7 (1949), pp. 115-117
- [2] Kamenev, I. V., An Integral Criterion for Oscillation of Linear Differential Equations of Second Order (in Russian), *Math. Zametki*, 23 (1978), 2, pp. 249-251 (Translation in: *Mathematical Notes of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR*, 23 (1978), 2, pp. 136-138
- [3] Philos, C. G., Oscillation Theorems for Linear Differential Equations of Second Order (in German), *Arch. Math.*, 53 (1989), 5, pp. 482-492
- [4] Liu, H., et al., Oscillation and Asymptotic Analysis on a New Generalized Emden–Fowler Equation, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 219 (2012), 5, pp. 2739-2748
- [5] Abdel Latif, M. S., Some Exact Solutions of KdV Equation with Variable Coefficients, *Commun. Non-linear. Sci. Numer. Simul.* 16 (2011), 4, pp. 1783-1786
- [6] Kiguradze, I. T., On the Asymptotic Properties of Solutions of the Equation $u'' + a(t)u = 0$, *Sobbsc. Akad. Nauk. Gruzin SSR*, 30 (1963), 2, pp. 129-136
- [7] Wong, J. S., On the Generalized Emden–Fowler Equation, *SIAM Rev.*, 17 (1975), 2, pp. 339-360
- [8] Pachpatte, B. G., Inequalities Related to the Zeros of Solutions of Certain Second Order Differential Equations, *Ser. Math. Inform.*, 16 (2001), pp. 21-24
- [9] Yang, X. J., Oscillation Criterion for a Class of Quasilinear Differential Equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 153 (2004), 1, pp. 225-229
- [10] Li, W. T., Interval Oscillation of Second-Order Half-Linear Functional Differential Equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 155 (2004), 2, pp. 451-468