# THEORETICAL STUDY OF HEAT PUMP SYSTEM USING CO<sub>2</sub>/DIMETHYLETHER AS REFRIGERANT

by

# Xiao-Wei FAN<sup>a\*</sup>, Xian-Ping ZHANG<sup>b,c</sup>, Fu-Jun JU<sup>a</sup>, and Fang WANG<sup>a</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> School of Energy and Environment, Zhongyuan University of Technology, Zhengzhou, Henan, China
<sup>b</sup> School of Civil Engineering, Henan Institute of Engineering, Zhengzhou, Henan, China
<sup>c</sup> School of Chemical Engineering and Energy, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, China

> Review paper DOI: 10.2298/TSCI13051261F

Nowadays, HCFC22 is widely used in heat pump systems in China, which should be phased out in the future. Thus, eco-friendly mixture  $CO_2$ /dimethylether is proposed to replace HCFC22. Compared with pure CO<sub>2</sub> and pure dimethylether, the mixture can reduce the heat rejection pressure, and suppress the flammability and explosivity of pure dimethylether. According to the Chinese National Standards on heat pump water heater and space heating system, performances of the subcritical heat pump system are discussed and compared with those of the HCFC22 system. It can be concluded that  $CO_2$ /dimethylether mixture works efficiently as a refrigerant for heat pumps with a large heat-sink temperature rise. When mass fraction of dimethylether is increased, the heat rejection pressure is reduced. Under the no-minal working condition, there is an optimal mixture mass fraction of 28/72 of  $CO_2$ /dimethylether for water heater application under conventional condensation pressure, 3/97 for space heating application. For water heater application, both the heating coefficient of performance and volumetric heating capacity increase by 17.90% and 2.74%, respectively, compared with those of HCFC22 systems. For space heating application, the heating coefficient of performance increases by 8.44% while volumetric heating capacity decreases by 34.76%, compared with those of HCFC22 systems. As the superheat degree increases, both the heating coefficient of performance and volumetric heating capacity tend to decrease.

Key words: carbon dioxide, dimethylether, heat pump system, subcritical, pinch point

## Introduction

With increasing requirements for environmental protection and the strict situation of energy shortage all over the world, the environment-friendly and energy saving technologies have been given much closer attention by researchers [1]. Meanwhile, heat pump, as an efficient and energy conservation technology, presents unique advantages for environmental protection and energy usage [2]. Nowadays, the mainly used working fluids in China for heat pump applications are HCFC22, HFC134a, R410A, and R407C, *etc.*, of which HCFC22 system holds a dominant percentage [3, 4]. All of these working fluids are freons which should be phased out in the near future. With the sustainable development in consideration, natural refrigerant is ideal to replace HCFC22 in a long run. However, there still is no any satisfacto-

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author; e-mail: xwfan@zut.edu.cn

ry natural refrigerant to substitute HCFC22 even now. Hence this paper selected the natural refrigerants  $CO_2$  (or R744) and DME (or RE170, dimethylether) as the potential components to form a competitive binary mixture to replace HCFC22. Both  $CO_2$  and DME, with an ozone depletion potential (ODP) of zero and a global warmth potential (GWP) of 1.0, present excellent environmentally-friendly properties. However  $CO_2$  has a high heat rejection pressure up to 10.0 MPa while DME has flammable and explosive disadvantages [5, 6].

CO<sub>2</sub> mixed with DME is expected to overcome the shortcomings of high discharge pressure of pure CO<sub>2</sub> and flammability, explosivity of pure DME [7]. DME has a much higher critical temperature than that of  $CO_2$ , which is supposed to reduce the high rejection pressure of  $CO_2$  heat pump system on mixing. On the other hand,  $CO_2$  can suppress the flammability, explosivity of pure DME. Afroz et al. [8] experimentally measured local heat transfer coefficients and pressure drops inside a horizontal smooth tube of CO<sub>2</sub>/DME mixture (39/61, 21/79, mass %) during condensation. They found that with the increase of mass fraction of  $CO_2$ , the heat transfer coefficient decreased and the pressure drop decreased significantly. By changing the two-phase frictional multiplier in existing condensation prediction method of binary refrigerant mixtures, it is improved to predict the present experimental data. They also measured intube evaporation heat transfer coefficient of CO<sub>2</sub>/DME mixtures (10/90, 25/75, mass %) and compared with that of pure DME [9]. They concluded that on mixing  $CO_2$  with DME, the heat transfer coefficient of mixture tends to decrease. And existing correlations can well predict the heat transfer coefficients of CO<sub>2</sub>/DME mixture with 10% CO<sub>2</sub>, but could not well predict that of mixture with 25% CO<sub>2</sub>. Nicola et al. [10] theoretically analyzed the performance of a cascade refrigeration cycle operated with blends of CO2 and natural refrigerants including DME as the low-temperature working fluid. Liu et al. [11] set up the physical models and governing equations of CO<sub>2</sub>/DME mixtures in the horizontal tube to numerically simulate the flow and heat transfer characteristics. Bi et al. [12] theoretically analyzed the performance of transcritical refrigeration cycle with  $CO_2/DME$  mixture, and compared to pure  $CO_2$  system, the discharge pressures are lowered by about 3.0 MPa while the refrigerating COP is increased by about 4.3 percent for CO<sub>2</sub>/DME mixture. Koyama et al. [7] experimentally investigated the performances of  $CO_2/DME$  (90/10, mass %) and pure  $CO_2$  transcritical systems under the conditions of constant heating/cooling capacity and superheat. They found that the  $CO_2/DME$  can reduce the discharge pressure by about 2.0 MPa in the heating mode and about 1.9 MPa in the cooling mode under the maximum COP condition. Hydrocarbon refrigerants have the same high critical temperature as DME, and so are able to lower the high pressure. The previous studies on the CO<sub>2</sub>/DME mixtures aimed to the performances of transcritical system or the cascade refrigeration system. However, the investigations on the heating performance for CO<sub>2</sub>/DME mixtures applied to subcritical heat pump system are scarce in the published literature.

Based on the previous researches on pure  $CO_2$  heat pump by our research team,  $CO_2/DME$  mixture is proposed as a potential alternative refrigerant used in heat pump systems for water heating and space heating [13, 14]. Then it is essential to confirm which composition of mixture is optimum, or at least appropriate, for a certain heat pump application. Based on the Chinese National Standards involving in water heater and space heating system, the heating coefficient of performance, compressor power consumption, condensation pressure, compression ratio, discharge temperature, mass and volumetric heating capacities have been analyzed for different  $CO_2/DME$  mixtures in the subcritical cycle. And the performance comparisons with that of HCFC22 system have been presented. Finally, the influences of superheat on performances of system using  $CO_2/DME$  mixture as the working fluid have been studied for the optimum composition under the specific conditions.

## Methodology

# Mathematical modeling of subcritical heat pump system

Using the newest REFPROP 9.0, the critical pressure and temperature of  $CO_2/DME$  mixtures are shown in fig. 1 [15]. It can be seen that on mixing with DME, the critical pressure of  $CO_2/DME$  increases first and then decreases. In this research, the cycle is subcritical one, and the temperature-entropy diagram of heat pump system is shown in fig. 2.



Figure 1. Critical pressure and temperature of CO<sub>2</sub>/DME mixture

Т

(1)

(2)

The theoretical heating *COP* of the cycle is defined as:

$$COP = \frac{q_{\rm h}}{w_{\rm c}} = \frac{h_2 - h_3}{h_2 - h_1}$$

where  $q_h$  [kJkg<sup>-1</sup>] is the unit mass heating capacity,  $w_c$  [W] – the compressor electric power, and h [kJkg<sup>-1</sup>] – the specific enthalpy. The unit volumetric heat capacity,  $q_{vh}$  [kJm<sup>-3</sup>] is given by:

unit volumente neat capacity, 
$$q_{vh}$$
 [KJIII ] is given

$$q_{\nu h} = \frac{q_h}{v_1} = \frac{h_2 - h_3}{v_1}$$

where  $v_1 \text{ [m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1}$ ] is the specific volume at state point 1.

In order to develop a model close to the practical cases, taking heat transfer temperature pinch point into consideration



In the evaporator, when inlet temperature of heat source is given, then the outlet temperature for fluid at point 1 is equal to inlet temperature of heat source minus designed pinch point temperature difference. If a certain value for pinch point is wanted, the outlet temperature for fluid at point 1 has to be iteratively determined using element method stated above.

In the condenser, when inlet temperature of heat sink is given, the outlet temperature at point 3 is equal to inlet temperature of heat sink plus designed pinch point temperature difference. The pinch point can be controlled by the same method as what used in the evaporator. The element method can expect to develop more instructive results than the estimated method assuming the  $CO_2$  based mixtures has a linear curve of enthalpy with temperature during phase change.

## Simulation conditions

Based on the energy balance of individual components of the system, steady flow energy equations have been employed in each case.

In order to simplify the analysis, the following assumptions have been made.



Figure 2. *T*–*s* diagram showing the CO<sub>2</sub>/DME based subcritical heat pump

1263

Fan, X.-W., *et al.*: Theoretical Study of Heat Pump System Using CO<sub>2</sub>/Dimethylether ... THERMAL SCIENCE, Year 2013, Vol. 17, No. 5, pp. 1261-1268

- The system operates at a steady-state.
- The CO<sub>2</sub> and DME are all taken as pure fluid, and the lubricant effect upon their properties or the mixtures properties is negligible.
- Pressure drops in condenser, evaporator and connecting pipes have been neglected; heat transfer between the system and the ambient are not taken into account.
- Compression process is adiabatic, but non-isentropic and the isentropic efficiency is assumed to be 0.70 for each case; evaporation process and heat rejection process for mixtures are isobaric.
- The pinch point temperature differences for both evaporator and condenser are designed as a constant value of 7 °C. Due to the neglect of heat transfer resistance, the pinch point is set to a value a little higher than the normal value of 5 °C with a consideration that heat transfer will deteriorate in practical case.
- Based on Chinese National Standard GB/T23137-2008, the heat sink and heat source temperatures are determined [17]. The heat sink inlet and outlet temperatures are 15 °C and 55 °C while the heat source temperatures of different kind are shown in tab. 1.
- Based on the national standard, the heat sink inlet and out temperatures are set to 40 °C and 45 °C which are the typical temperatures for space heating, and the heat source inlet and out temperatures are 15 °C and 7 °C [18], respectively.

Table 1	. Temperatures o	f different kinds o	f heat sources
---------	------------------	---------------------	----------------

Heat source	Water-loop <sup>a</sup>	Ground-water <sup>b</sup>	Ground-loop <sup>c</sup>	
Inlet temperature [°C]	20	15	10	
Outlet temperature [°C]	15	10	5	

Notes: <sup>a</sup> water-loop heat source is the circulated water in the public pipeline; <sup>b</sup> ground-water heat source is the water from well, lake or lake; <sup>c</sup> ground-loop heat source is the circulated water in the ground coil Based on the above assumption, a simulation code for subcritical  $CO_2/DME$  heat pump systems using Engineering Equation Solver (EES) was developed [19]. The property subroutines in the code have been linked

with an interface program EES\_REFPROP which calls REFPROP 9.0 to carry out the necessary property calculations [20].

## **Results and discussions**

#### Water heat pump application

The variation of  $CO_2/DME$  performance with mass fraction of DME for heat pump water heater application is shown in fig. 3. Under the given working conditions, the minimum mass fractions of DME should be greater than 5.0%, below which the mixture will have a lower critical temperature than 40.6 °C and therefore fails to obtain the higher heat sink outlet temperature of 55 °C by subcritical cycle. Compressor ratio *r* is increased gradually and gently as mass fraction of DME is increased. The system performance shows the similar characteristics for different kinds of heat sources. Therefore, unless otherwise specified, the heat source in the following discussion is water-loop.

When heat source inlet temperature increases, heating coefficient of performance  $(COP_h)$  tends to rise because of the higher evaporation temperature. It may be seen that the  $COP_h$  slightly decreases when the mass fraction of DME increases in the beginning. The reason is that the ratio of specific heating capacity gain to specific heating capacity,  $\Delta q_h/q_h$  is lower than that of compressor power,  $\Delta w_c/w_c$ . So  $COP_h$  drops first. After the minimum point, they are going in the opposite direction. As shown in fig. 4, the compressor power curve  $w_c$  shows a flat

Fan, X.-W., *et al.*: Theoretical Study of Heat Pump System Using CO<sub>2</sub>/Dimethylether ... THERMAL SCIENCE, Year 2013, Vol. 17, No. 5, pp. 1261-1268



Figure 3. System performances vs. mass fraction of DME for water heater application

increase while the specific heating capacity  $q_h$  rises sharply, thus resulting an increase in  $COP_h$ . At the point of mass fraction CO<sub>2</sub>/DME of 28/72,  $COP_h$  reaches a peak. Because mixture with different composition has different temperature glide characteristics during evaporation and condensation, under the given heat sink conditions, it may exists an optimum composition to achieve the optimum temperature matching between working fluid and the secondary fluids. Figure 4 also shows that near the maximum  $COP_h$ , the line is flat, which is a benefit to practical operation. It can be seen that in a large range of mass fraction of DME, the  $COP_h$  of mixture is higher than HCFC22 system. Compared with the pure DME based system, the mixture system has the higher volumetric heating capacity which is useful for system compactness.



Figure 4. System performances *vs.* mass fraction of DME for water-loop water heater

Figure 5. *P*<sub>c</sub>, *r*, and *t*<sub>2</sub> *vs*. mass fraction of DME for water-loop water heater

As shown in fig. 5, the discharge pressure of  $CO_2/DME$  mixture is reduced when mass fraction of DME is increased. The system can work with the optimum  $COP_h$  for  $CO_2/DME$  of 28/72 under the conventional condensation pressure of 1.872 MPa. It is clear that the compressor discharge temperature increases firstly and then decreases. For optimum fraction of 28/72, the mixture temperature values are slightly higher than that of HCFC22, which can still guarantee a steady operation of compressor.

Table 2 presents the system properties under the identical conditions for HCFC22 based system, and for comparison the corresponding properties of optimum composition of mixture are also listed in the table. For water-loop, ground-water and ground-loop heat sources, the  $COP_h$  of CO<sub>2</sub>/DME mixture system are enhanced by 18.97%, 17.76% and 16.97%, respectively. Furthermore, the volumetric heating capacities are increased by 3.65%, 2.56% and 2.00%, respectively. Each of the three compressor discharge temperatures  $t_2$  for mixture system is nearly equal to the corresponding value of HCFC22 based system under same condition, which makes the system works steady and durably. The condensation pres-

Refrigerant	t Water-loop		Ground-water		Ground-loop	
Parameters	HCFC22	CO <sub>2</sub> /DME	HCFC22	CO <sub>2</sub> /DME	HCFC22	CO <sub>2</sub> /DME
$COP_{h}[-]$	4.176	4.968	3.813	4.490	3.507	4.102
$q_{\rm h}  [{\rm kJkg}^{-1}]$	181.4	430.3	185.9	438.6	190.6	447.1
$w_c [\mathrm{kJkg}^{-1}]$	43.44	86.63	48.75	97.68	54.37	109
$q_{vh}$ [kJm <sup>-3</sup> ]	4910	5089	4333	4444	3798	3874
$P_{\rm c}$ [MPa]	2.161	1.872	2.132	1.848	2.099	1.824
$P_{\rm e}[{\rm MPa}]$	0.6389	0.5615	0.5484	0.4777	0.4664	0.4051
r [-]	3.382	3.334	3.887	3.869	4.500	4.503
<i>t</i> <sub>2</sub> [°C]	87.89	89.05	91.42	92.85	95.17	96.82

Table 2. Performance comparison for HCFC22 and mixtures with optimum composition

sure  $P_c$  and evaporation pressure  $P_e$  for mixture are all lower than those of HCFC22 system, which is good for safe operation and economy of component manufacture. It can be concluded that CO<sub>2</sub>/DME with the optimum composition is a competitive alternative for HCFC22.

Space heating application

1266



Figure 6. System performances vs. mass fraction of DME for space heating application

As that in water heater heat pumps, it is essential to provide a minimum DME composition of about 11.0% under the given working conditions. The variation of CO<sub>2</sub>/DME system performance with mass fraction of DME is shown in fig. 6. It can be seen that the  $COP_h$  increases with the mass fraction of DME. At mass fraction of 3/97, there exists a maximum  $COP_h$ , which is increased by 8.44% compared to HCFC22 showed in tab. 3, and 4.67% to pure DME. Then  $COP_h$  tends to decrease with the mass fraction of DME. In the range of 15/85 and 1/99, the system  $COP_h$  is higher than that of HCFC22. The

condensation pressure for mixture of 3/97 is 1.221 MPa, which is lower than HCFC22 system.

One disadvantage of optimum mixture in such application is that its volumetric heating capacity is decreased by 34.76% in comparison with HCFC22. Results clearly show that the zeotropic mixture CO<sub>2</sub>/DME is also suitable for constant space heating application.

Table 3. System performances	for HCFC22 based	l space heating system
------------------------------	------------------	------------------------

Refrigerant	$COP_{h}[-]$	$q_{\rm h}[{\rm kJkg}^{-1}]$	$w_c  [\mathrm{kJkg}^{-1}]$	$q_{vh}  [\mathrm{kJm}^{-3}]$	$P_{\rm c}[{\rm MPa}]$	r [–]	$t_2[^{\circ}C]$
HCFC22	3.805	190.2	49.99	4025	1.9992	4.013	89.68

# Influence of degree of superheat both for water heater and space heating

The influence of degree of superheat on the system performance is highly dependent on the working fluid used and the specified conditions. An appropriate degree of superheat can protect compressor from hammering by liquid refrigerant. It is still good for system performance for some refrigerants, but harmful for some other refrigerants. As analyzed above, the  $CO_2/DME$  mixture fraction of 28/72 is optimum for water heat pump application, 3/97 for space heating application. Under the given conditions in this paper for optimum fraction, the influences of degree of superheat on the system performance are illustrated in figs. 7 and 8, respectively. As the degree of superheat increases, both the  $COP_h$  and the volumetric heating capacity tend to decrease. The reason is that in order to guarantee the designed pinch point between working fluid and secondary fluid, the mean evaporation temperature is decreased as the degree of superheat is increased. For space heating application, as the superheat degree is 5 °C, both the unit mass heating capacity tends to be larger than that of compressor power, then resulting in a slightly higher  $COP_h$ . With an overall consideration, it is suggested that the  $CO_2/DME$  mixture system would work with a basic degree of superheat only to defend compressor from hammering.



#### Conclusions

For the subcritical cycles,  $CO_2/DME$  mixtures are more efficient in heat pumps with a large heat-sink temperature rise, *e. g.* high-temperature water heater system, and superior system performances can be obtained compared with HCFC22. The heat pump using  $CO_2/DME$  mixture of 28/72 has the maximum  $COP_h$  which is enhanced by 17.90% comparison with HCFC22 system. Meanwhile, the unit volumetric heating capacity is enhanced by 2.74%. Other advantages of this application are that approximately nearly equal discharge temperature compared with that HCFC22, and lower heat rejection pressure compared to pure  $CO_2$ . For heat sink with a small temperature glide such as space heating, heat pump using  $CO_2/DME$  mixtures has the optimum mass fraction of 3/97, at which the  $COP_h$  is increased by about 8.44% while volumetric heating capacity is decreased by 34.76% compared with those of HCFC22 system. In order to obtain a high outlet temperature of 55 °C for heat sink, the mass fraction of DME should not be less than 5.0% in subcritical cycles for water heater application, the degree of superheat has a negative influence upon system performances under the given conditions.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51176207), Henan Province Project for the Excellent Youth Scholars of Higher Education of China (No. 2012GGJS-185), Key Project Foundations of the Science and Technology Com-

mittee of Henan Province (No. 122102210557), Henan Province Education Department Natural Science Project (No. 2010B560003) and Doctoral Scientific Fund Project of Henan Institute of Engineering (No. D2012006).

#### References

- [1] Baccino, G., *et al.*, Energy and Environmental Analysis of an Open-Loop Ground-Water Heat Pump System in an Urban Area, *Thermal Science*, *14* (2010), 3, pp. 693-706
- [2] Blarke, M. B., Lund, H., Large-Scale Heat Pumps in Sustainable Energy Systems: System and Project Perspectives, *Thermal Science*, 11 (2007), 3, pp. 143-152
- [3] Li, T. X., et al., High Temperature Hot Water Heat Pump with Non-Azeotropic Refrigerant Mixture HCFC22/HCFC141b, Energy Conversion and Management, 43 (2002), 15, pp. 2033-2040
- [4] Laue, H. J., Regional Report Europe: Heat Pumps Status and Trends, International Journal Refrigeration, 25 (2002), 4, pp. 414-420
- [5] Antonijević, D. L., Carbon Dioxide as the Replacement for Synthetic Refrigerants in Mobile Air Conditioning, *Thermal Science*, 12 (2008), 3, pp. 55-64
- [6] Semelsberger, et al., Dimethyl Ether (DME) as an Alternative Fuel, Journal of Power Sources, 156 (2006), 2, pp. 497-511
- [7] Koyama, S., et al., Experimental Study on the Performance of a CO<sub>2</sub>/DME System, Proceedings, 22<sup>nd</sup> International Congress of Refrigeration, Beijing, China, Vol. 3, pp. 1678-1684, 2007
- [8] Afroz, H. M. M., et al., Heat Transfer Coefficients and Pressure Drops During in-Tube Condensation of CO<sub>2</sub>/DME Mixture Refrigerant, International Journal Refrigeration, 31 (2008), 8, pp. 1458-1466
- [9] Onaka, Y., *et al.*, Experimental Study on Evaporation Heat Transfer of CO<sub>2</sub>/DME Mixture Refrigerant in a Horizontal Smooth Tube, *International Journal Refrigeration*, 33 (2010), 7, pp. 1277-1291
- [10] Nicola, G. D., et al., Performance of Cascade Cycles Working with Blends of CO<sub>2</sub> + Natural Refrigerants, International Journal Refrigeration, 34 (2011), 6, pp. 1436-1445
- [11] Liu, J., et al., Numerical Study on Convective Heat Transfer of CO<sub>2</sub>/DME Mixture in a Horizontal Tube, Natural Science Journal of Xiangtan University, 33 (2011), 3, pp. 100-114
- [12] Bi, S. S., et al., Performance Analysis of a Transcritical CO<sub>2</sub>/DME Refrigeration Cycle, Journal of Engineering Thermophysics, 30 (2009), 1, pp. 1807-1810
- [13] Zhang, X. P., et al., Theoretical and Experimental Studies on Optimum Heat Rejection Pressure for a CO<sub>2</sub> Heat Pump System, Applied Thermal Engineering, 30 (2010), 16, pp. 2537-2544
- [14] Fan, X. W., et al., Research on Performance of Heat Pump Systems Using R744/R600 and R744/R600a Mixtures as Refrigerants, Journal of Refrigeration, 32 (2011), 6, pp. 35-39
- [15] Lemmon, E. W., et al., Reference Fluid Thermodynamic and Transport Properties (REFPROP), NIST Standard Reference Database 23, Version 9.0, 2012
- [16] Zhao, P. G., et al., Temperature Matching Method of Selecting Working Fluids for Geothermal Heat Pumps, Applied Thermal Engineering, 23 (2003), 2, pp. 179-195
- [17] \*\*\*, General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine of the People's Republic of China, Standardization Administration of the People's Republic of China, Heat Pump Water Heater for Household and Similar Application, GB/T23137-2008
- [18] \*\*\*, General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine of the People's Republic of China, Standardization Administration of the People's Republic of China, Water Chilling (Heat Pump) Packages Using the Vapor Compression Cycle, Part 1: Water Chilling (Heat Pump) Packages for Industrial & Commercial and Similar Application, GB/T18430.1-2007
- [19] Klein, S. A., Engineering Equation Solver, Academic Commercial Version 9.170, #2313, Madison, Wis., USA, 2012
- [20] \*\*\*, F-Chart Software, EES\_REFPROP Inerface, NIST, 2010

Paper submitted: February 12, 2013 Paper revised: April 15, 2013 Paper accepted: May 14, 2013