

EFFECTIVE UTILIZATION OF B20 BLEND WITH OXYGENATED ADDITIVES

Satya Vara Prasad U^a Madhu Murthy K Amba Prasad Rao GADDALE*

^aResearch Scholar

^bProfessor

^c* Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, NIT Warangal-506 004.(A.P.)-India.

ambaprasadrao@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In the recent times' fatty acid methyl ester popularly called as biodiesel has become more prominent alternate fuel for compression ignition engines based on a single fuel concept. Since, use of neat biodiesel on a large scale is raising certain difficulties and is being adopted in a blended form with petro-diesel fuel and B20 blend has become standardized. However, the HC and NOx emissions of B20 are still on the higher side. Present work aims at experimental evaluation of a single cylinder water-cooled diesel engine by adopting various proportions of ethanol and di ethyl ether blends in order to improve performance and emission characteristics of B20 blend. Besides employing different amounts of ethanol and di ethyl ether, simultaneous influence of injector nozzle hole size and fuel injection pressure are also investigated to arrive at an optimum configuration. Brake specific fuel consumption and hydrocarbon emissions values are lower with B20 and DEE 5 whereas B20 with DEE15 yielded lower NOx emissions. It is observed that addition of oxygenates have improved the combustion process and lower emissions are obtained. The present investigation revealed that blends with oxygenated additives having higher Cetane rating are superior to neat blend.

Keywords: Direct Injection diesel engine, B20 blend, oxygenated additives, di ethyl ether, ethanol, emissions

Nomenclature

B100	Neat Biodiesel
B20	20% biodiesel+80% petro-diesel
btdc	Before top dead center
BSFC	Brake specific fuel consumption (kg/kW-h)
BMEP	Brake mean effective pressure (bar)
CI	Compression Ignition
CO	Carbon monoxide (% v/v)
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide (% v/v)
DI	Direct Injection
DEE	Diethyl ether
DME	Dimethyl ether
HC	Hydrocarbons (ppm)
HSU	Hartridge smoke units
IP	Injection pressure
LHV	Lower heating value(MJ/kg)
NA	Naturally Aspirated
NOx	Oxides of nitrogen.(ppm)

1. Introduction

Diesel engine continues to be reliable power source for light, medium and heavy duty applications and as such there can be no replacement for it in agriculture and transportation sectors. The DI Diesels' high fuel conversion efficiency and cheaper diesel fuel cost are the main driving factors for its wide popularity. However, fluctuating petroleum-fuel prices in the international market and associated environmental degradation have stimulated the researchers to develop various ways of developing clean diesel engines. Nature of Diesel engine combustion process is unsteady, turbulent, diffusion and heterogeneous and due to these effects the understanding makes more complex [1]. The combustion process is highly influenced by the way of fuel-air mixing in the engine cylinder. One approach is to adopt a good fuel injection system as it plays a crucial role to bring fuel and air in intimate contact with each other. The geometry of the nozzle in an injector plays a vital role in controlling diesel spray atomization and combustion. In order to bring fuel droplet size small, the nozzle-hole size is required to be reduced to produce smaller droplets. By decreasing the nozzle-hole size, the spray tip penetration is reduced due to the low spray momentum. High injection pressures with small nozzles are common in the modern diesel engine as they reduce injection duration and improve combustion efficiency [2]. Numerical simulations were performed to study the effect of reduced nozzle-hole size and nozzle tip hole configuration on the combustion characteristics of a high speed direct injection diesel engine [3].

Another approach followed widely by the researchers to tackle the problems of burden on conventional fuels and reduction pollution problems was adopting alternate fuels. By employing renewable alternate fuels either suiting the engine to the fuel or make modifications for fuel to the suit the engine combustion system. The domain of biofuels for inculcates self-sustainability. Among various biofuels, vegetable oil based fuel has occupied prominent position due to its thermo-physical properties being close to petro-diesel. Researchers have tackled difficulties associated with the use of neat vegetable oils either by adopting modifications on engines or processing the fuel to suit an engine. Transesterification, a chemical process, yields biodiesel, is widely accepted technique to reduce high viscosity of vegetable oils as it permanently changes the composition of vegetable oils and brings down viscosity close to diesel fuel. Use of biodiesel in engines will significantly reduce emissions of carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons (HC) and particulate matter (PM) exhaust compared with petro-diesel fuel. Biodiesel is attractive as it is biodegradable, sulfur-free, nontoxic and can significantly reduce exhaust emissions and low overall life cycle emission of carbon oxides (CO₂) from the engine when burned as a fuel [4-10]. With the use neat biodiesel researchers observed higher NO_x levels and were able to overcome the difficulty with retarding of injection timing or employing exhaust gas recirculation. These in turn led to higher particulate matter and lower power at higher concentrations of EGR [11-14]. Effects of fuel injection parameters such as injection pressure and supercharging pressure were also investigated and observed better performance with increasing injection pressure or supercharge pressure [15, 16].

Alcohols and ethers are also explored as other alternatives as oxygenated fuels or diesel fuel additives for CI engines. Alcohols are produced from fossil or renewable resources such as methanol, ethanol etc. are generally added to diesel fuel to reduce emissions especially smoke or particulate emissions [17]. Dimethyl ether (DME) and diethyl ether (DEE) were tried out as alternatives to petro-diesel. DEE is liquid at the ambient conditions, which makes it attractive for fuel storage and handling. DEE can be a renewable fuel as it is produced from ethanol by dehydration process. It has several favorable properties, including exceptional Cetane number, reasonable energy density, high oxygen content, low auto-ignition temperature and high volatility. Therefore, it can assist in improving engine performance and reducing the cold starting problem and emissions when using as a pure or an additive in diesel fuel. Ismet Sezer [18] investigated performance and emission characteristics of a diesel engine with DME and DEE and felt that the two fuels hold promising alternatives. Qi et al. [19] studied experimentally the effect of diethyl ether and ethanol additives and concluded that the additives could be a promising technique for using biodiesel/diesel blend efficiently in diesel engines without any modifications in the engine. Effect of oxygenates on the stability and low temperature storage were also studied [20].

Of late, non-edible oils, tallow based oils and fried-waste oils obtained from restaurants were also being tried out as feed stock for biodiesel production. One of the kinds of non-edible seed oil is

Jatropha Curcas (Euphorbiaceous plant) oil was being tried by many investigators. It is popularly known as *physic nut* in some parts of the world. It is a drought-resistant perennial plant living up to 50 years; Jatropha Curcas seeds contain about 32 to 35% non-edible oil. Composition of Jatropha oil obtained by using Gas-Liquid chromatography as saturated and unsaturated fatty acids contribute 20.1% and 79.9% of oil respectively [21-24].

Total replacement of fossil fuels with biodiesel is raising the issues such as food versus fuel and land use, blends of biodiesel and diesel fuel were extensively studied experimentally [25,26]. Studies incorporating blends concluded that 20% biodiesel and 80% diesel fuel was a better option to circumvent the undesirable emission problems associated with both fuels without affecting engine performance. From the literature review it was observed that, there is lot of research work going on, on the use of biodiesel in engines. Countries which have limited resources can adapt to B20 blend to reduce burden on fossil fuels and obtain the benefits of biodiesel [27]. Fuel injection parameters such as injection pressure and injector nozzle hole size were studied independently by various researchers for petro-diesel as the fuel. The present work aims at employing B20 blend in order to improve its utilization effectively with addition of oxygenates such as ethanol and di-ethyl ether in different proportions. The vegetable oil under consideration was Jatropha curcas oil. Besides studying the effect of these additives, simultaneous influence of fuel injection pressures and fuel injector nozzle size were also investigated on the performance and emission characteristics of a single cylinder diesel engine under NA condition.

2. Experimental procedure

2.1. Fuel preparation

The commercial diesel fuel employed in the tests was obtained locally. Laboratory samples of methyl esters of Jatropha oil (biodiesel) were prepared in accordance with the procedure well documented in literature by a method of alkaline-catalyzed transesterification. The formation of methyl esters by transesterification of vegetable oil required raw oil, 15% of methanol and 5% of sodium hydroxide on mass basis. However, the transesterification process required excess alcohol to drive the reaction very close to completion. Table-1 gives the typical properties of fuels. The biodiesel thus produced through the above process was blended with diesel in, procured from the nearby commercial vendor in different proportions along with and without additives. The ethanol is an analysis-grade anhydrous ethanol (99.7% purity). The diethyl ether is an analysis-grade anhydrous diethyl ether (99.5% purity). Table-2 gives the details of different blends/fuels employed with their key properties.

Table 1
Typical properties of fuels

Parameter	Diesel	Ethanol-E	Diethyl ether-DEE
Relative density @30 ^o C	0.832	0.789	0.715
Latent heat of evaporation, kJ/kg	250	840	350
Lower Heating Value, MJ/kg	42.9	26.8	36.84
Cetane rating	40-55	5~8	125
Auto ignition temperature, ^o C	315	235	160

Table 2
Composition of blends and key properties

Blend	Biodiesel	Diesel	DEE	Ethanol	Kinematic viscosity, cSt	Relative density	LHV, MJ/kg
B20	20	80	--	--	4.2	0.845	42.16
B20 DEE5	20	75	5	--	3.9	0.835	41.8
B20 DEE10	20	70	10	--	3.82	0.832	41.45
B20 DEE15	20	65	15	--	3.76	0.83	41.15
B20 E6	20	74	--	6	3.9	0.842	41.11
B20 E10	20	70	--	10	3.8	0.84	40.54

2.2 Engine performance tests:

The specifications of the engine, Kirloskar AV1 (DI) diesel engine, used in present investigation are given in Table-3.

Table 3
Specifications of Diesel Engine

Model	AV1, Vertical
Make	Kirloskar oil engines, Pune
Working cycle	4-stroke
Rated power	3.68 kW (5HP)
Rated Speed	1500 rpm
Combustion chamber	Direct Injection
Bore X Stroke	80 mmX110mm
Compression ratio	16.5:1
Cooling system	Water cooling
Fuel nozzle opening injection pressure	190 bar
Number of holes in nozzle (standard)	3
Static fuel injection timing	23°btdc

The details of the nozzles and fuel injection pressures employed are given in Table-4. Constant speed performance tests were conducted maintaining jacket water temperature at 60 °C in order to maintain steady state conditions. An eddy current dynamometer was used for loading the engine. Each trial was repeated three times and on different days, enough care was taken to load the engine accurately at each step of load and also to maintain ambient conditions constant. The results of the three repetitions were averaged to decrease the error/uncertainty. During the experimentation, the air flow was measured through an air flow sensor. A homogenizer was used to prepare blends of biodiesel and petro-diesel fuel blends and fuel additives just an hour before the conduct of experiments.

Table 4
Details of fuel injection parameters

Nozzle type	Fuel Injection Pressure, bar	No. of holes	Diameter of each hole
NH1	190,210 and 230	3	0.28mm
NH2	190,210 and 230	4	0.29mm
NH3	190,210 and 230	4	0.32mm




Fig. 1. Schematic layout of experimental set-up.

The schematic representation of the experimental set-up employed is shown in Fig.1. The sequence of tests is as detailed below:

- i. Performance tests with three fuel injectors and three different fuel injection pressures with diesel fuel for optimizing nozzle hole size and fuel injection pressure.
- ii. Tests with B20 blend with optimized nozzle hole size and fuel injection pressure.
- iii. Tests with B20 blend with fuel additive of di-ethyl ether in 5%, 10% and 15% amounts.
- iv. Tests with B20 blend with fuel additive of ethanol in 6% and 10% amounts.

3. Results and discussion

Experiments are performed on the diesel engine by varying fuel injector nozzle hole size, fuel injection pressures with and without oxygenated additives to arrive at optimum configuration. The results are discussed below.

3.1 Tests with different nozzle hole sizes-Baseline performance tests with diesel fuel

Experiments were performed in combinations with three different nozzle holes and three fuel injection pressures to develop baseline required for further comparison. For the engine under consideration, the recommended nozzle is NH1 with an injection pressure 190 bar. The experiments were aimed to arrive at an optimum nozzle size and fuel injection pressure that would give better fuel economy. It can be noticed from the figure that the values of BSFC are lower for an IP of 210 bar compared to recommended IP of 190 bar. Further increase in the IP beyond 210 bar has resulted in higher values. This could be due to the fact that with increase in injection pressure, not only the fuel droplet size decreases but also increases the momentum of the droplets. Therefore, too high increase in pressures would have developed even small droplets but with increase in momentum the droplets could have got impinging on the cylinder inner wall and to develop same power, the fuel consumption should have increased. Thus, at the prevailing conditions, an IP of 210 bar yielded lower BSFC. Fig.2(a) shows the variation of BSFC with brake power for different fuel injection pressures maintaining standard nozzle,

NH1 same. Fig.2 (b) illustrates the BSFC variation for three different nozzles for an injection pressure of 210bar. It can be observed that BSFC with 3-hole nozzle and IP 210 bar is the lowest, therefore from these set of experiments it is confirmed that the NH1 and IP of 210 yielding lower values and is treated as baseline data. Also, it was observed that for the same number of holes, small size hole nozzle at any given injection pressure yielded better performance. This is indicating the fact that smaller nozzle requires higher injection pressure to ensure complete combustion and to bring down fuel consumption. Further experiments were conducted with NH1 and IP 210bar. With optimized values of nozzle hole size and fuel injection pressure, a comparison is made among three fuels viz; diesel, B20 and B100. Since the viscosity of B20 blend is in between neat biodiesel and petro-diesel, the BSFC values of B20 blend are lying between the remaining two fuels as shown in Fig.2(c).




Fig.2(a). Comparison of BSFCs of engine for three IPs with NH1-Diesel




Fig.2(b). Comparison of BSFCs for three nozzles at IP of 210bar-B20




Fig.2(c). Comparison of BSFC with NH1 nozzle at IP=210bar for three fuels.

3.2 Performance tests employing B20 with and without additives:

Second set of experiments were performed for effective utilization of B20 with different variants of additives. These experiments were aimed at a best proportion of any of the additives and also observe the efficacy of each additive. Fig. 3(a) illustrates the results of BSFC obtained with and without diethyl ether as additive for B20. Among these combinations, it is observed that B20 with DEE5 yielded lower BSFC values. Fig.3 (b) shows the results for ethanol as additive. Among these combinations, it is observed that B20 with DEE5 yielded lower BSFC values. The best results obtained for B20 blend with DEE and Ethanol additives are compared with that of neat B20 blend in Fig.3(c). The results indicate the importance of additives especially DEE, to obtain best possible economy since highest BSFC were realized with neat B20 during the entire load range of operation. As it is known that the DEE being oxygenated compound with high Cetane rating helped neat B20 fuel to undergo complete combustion. The reason could be that blends with additives were lower dense and higher heating value fuels. This is emphasizing fact that blends with additives could be better substitutes for petrodiesel rather than neat biodiesel.




Fig. 3(a). Comparison of BSFCs for B20 with and without diethyl ether additive.




Fig. 3(b). Comparison of BSFCs for B20 with and without ethanol additive.




Fig. 3(c). Comparison of BSFCs for B20 with both additives

Emission tests employing B20 with and without additives

3.3.1. Hydrocarbons

Fig. 4(a) and 4(b) represents the variation of percentage drop in HC emissions when using B20 blend with diethyl ether and ethanol as additives for 75 % and 100% of full load power respectively. The variation represents for the B20 as a base data and it illustrates what happens when different blends are adopted. Since the diesel engine is generally operated under 75-100% of the full load power and moreover, HC emission variation is significant during this range of load. From Fig.4 (a) it can be noticed that reduction is more in case of DEE additive and as the proportion of DEE is increasing, the drop is getting reduced. Another interesting feature is that for the lower amounts of either DEE or ethanol, the drop is substantial. Similar observation can be made for the 100% full load power values as shown in Fig.4(b).Between the two blends, the drop is more for the case of 100% load full load power. Another feature of interest is that when neat biodiesel (B100) is adopted, the drop is decreasing, indicating the fact that the additives are improving the combustion process and thus reducing the unburned HC emissions in the engine exhaust. Since the cetane rating of DEE is high, addition of DEE has enhanced the combustion process. The unburned hydrocarbons in case of B100 are far higher than blends with additives. Thus, it can be said here that with higher amounts of DEE, engine BSFC not improved when compared to emission reduction. Therefore, to obtain lower emissions more amounts of DEE are required.




Fig.4(a)Percentage drop in HC emission for different B20 and DEE blends at 75% full load power.




Fig.4(b)Percentage drop in HC emission for different B20 DEE blends at 100% full load power.

3.3.2. Oxides of nitrogen:

Among the different cases of diethyl ether and ethanol, lower NO_x levels were observed with DEE15 and E6 additives. The NO_x emissions are plotted for the two cases only and compared with B100 for 75 % and 100% of full load power respectively. Since the diesel engine combustion experiences high in-cylinder temperatures in 75-100% of the full load power. From Fig.5 (a) it can be noticed that drop is more in case of DEE additive than with ethanol addition. Similar observation can be made for the 100% full load power values as shown in Fig.5(b).Between the two blends, the drop is more for the case of 100% load full load power. Another feature of interest is that when neat biodiesel (B100) is adopted, the drop is decreasing, indicating the fact that the effect of properties when added to B20 is

overplaying in reduction of NOx emissions. This is due to the fact that DEE has higher Cetane rating than ethanol. Prevalence of lower peak cycle temperatures is the reasons for not favoring the thermal-prompt reactions responsible for formation of higher levels of NOx [1]. Many investigators have established that higher NOx emissions will be realized when B100 is adopted and present study revealed that employing blends along with oxygenated additives will be superior in performance and emissions too. The smoke opacity exhibited by different fuels were sampled and for the optimized cases are plotted in Fig.6. It can be seen that smoke opacity values are on the lower side for the B20 blend with additives. The values for any fuel are varying marginally under part load operation where as highest during 75-100% power range. Lowest values are observed for the case of B20 with DEE 5 and highest being B20. Since the unburned HC emissions are lower DEE 5 case, smoke opacity values are also lower for the same combination. Improved and complete combustion could be the reasons for obtaining lower smoke opacity values with oxygenated additives. Therefore, it can be concluded here that DEE has proven to be eco-friendly additive to improve the performance and emissions of B20 blend. It can be observed from Fig.7 that the CO₂ levels are high in case of neat samples of B20, and B100 respectively. Also, the emissions are slightly higher in case of B20 when DEE additive is increased. This may be attributed to the fact that these samples and B100 are heavier with carbon content. The lower values could be due to lower content in ethanol added samples of either of the biodiesel blends. Also, more CO₂ levels indicate more complete conversion of carbon in the fuel to carbon dioxide (which is the stable compound). Thus, it can be concluded at this stage that the BSFC, HC, smoke opacity and NOx values are lower with the addition of DEE compared to ethanol addition. This may be due to higher cetane rating for DEE in comparison with ethanol.




Fig.5(a). Drop in NOx emission using different B20 and oxygenates at 75% full load BMEP




Fig.5(b). Drop in NOx emission using different B20 and oxygenates at 100 % full load BMEP




Fig.6. Variation of smoke opacity with BMEP




Fig.7. CO₂ emission levels with different fuels.

Conclusions

Based on the experimental investigations carried out with 5%,10% and 15% di ethyl ether and 6%,10% of ethanol to B20 blend with simultaneous influence of fuel injector nozzle hole size and fuel injection pressures, the following conclusions are drawn.

1. Both performance and emissions gets improved with small nozzle hole injector and high fuel injection pressure due to intimate contact of air and fuel droplets.
2. In the event of deriving larger benefits using biodiesel-diesel blends, addition of oxygenate with higher cetane rating are preferable.
3. Smaller nozzle hole and high pressure injection pressure fuel system with oxygenates are beneficial than neat biodiesels.
4. For the chosen engine configuration, NH1 nozzle size and fuel injection pressure of 210 bar have yielded lower BSFCs and HC emission with DEE addition.
5. The higher cetane rating of DEE is an advantageous for obtaining lower smoke opacity and also lower NO_x emission.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful for the cooperation rendered by the authorities, especially to Dr.S.Srinivas, of CRIDA, Hyderabad and the final year students of Mahavir Institute of Technology and Science, Hyderabad.

References

- [1]. Heywood John B., Internal Combustion Engine Fundamentals, McGraw Hill Publications, 1988.
 - [2] Song Charng Kong and Prashanth, K. K., Experimental Study on Effects of Nozzle Hole Geometry on Achieving Low Diesel Engine Emissions *ASME Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power*, 132 (2010).
 - [3] Reitz Rolf D., Sung Wook Park, Modeling the Effect of Injector Nozzle-Hole Layout on Diesel Engine Fuel Consumption and Emissions *ASME Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power*, 130 (2008).
 - [4] Barabás.,Todorut, Key fuel properties of the biodiesel-diesel-ethanol *Society of Automotive Engineers Transactions* (2009), Paper No:2009-01-1810.
 - [5] Anjana Srivastava, Ram Prasad, Triglycerides -based diesel fuels *Intl.Jrl.of Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews –Elsevier* 4 (2000), pp 111-133.
 - [6] Barnwal, B.K.,Sharma, M.P.,Prospects of biodiesel production from vegetable oils in India *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews –Elsevier*, 9(2005), pp: 363-378.
 - [7] Hossain, A.K., Davies, P.A.,Plant oils as fuels for compression ignition engines and life-cycle analysis: A technical review, *Renewable Energy –Elsevier* 35(2010), pp:1–13.
 - [8] Doddayaraganalu Amasegoda Dhananjaya., Chitrapady Visweswara Sudhir., Padmanbha Mohanan Combustion Characteristics Of Diesel Engine Operating On Jatropa Oil Methyl Ester *Thermal Science*, 14(2010), 4, pp. 965-977.
 - [9] Venkanna Krishnamurthy Belagur and Venkataramana Reddy Chitimini., Effect of injector opening pressures on the performance, emission and combustion characteristics of DI diesel engine running on honge oil and diesel fuel blend *Thermal Science*, 14(2010), 4, pp:1051-1061.
 - [10] Mustafa Canakci *Combustion characteristics of a turbocharged DI compression ignition engine fueled with petroleum diesel fuels and biodiesel Bio Resource Technology*,98(2007). pp:1167-1175.
 - [11] Mariusz Ziejewski ,Goettler,H.J., Comparative analysis of the exhaust emissions for vegetable oil based alternative fuels *Society of Automotive Engineers* (1992) Paper No:920195.
 - [12] Deepak Agarwal., Shailendra Sinha., Agarwal, A.K., Experimental Investigations of control of NO_x emissions in biodiesel fueled CI engines *Renewable Energy* 31(2006), pp:2356-2369.
 - [13] Yoshimoto and Hiroya Tamaki Reduction of NO_x and smoke emissions in a diesel engine fueled by biodiesel emulsion combined with EGR, *Society of Automotive Engineers* (2001) Paper No. 2001-01-0647.
 - [14] Sukumar, P.G., Nagarajana NO_x reduction in a DI diesel engine using biodiesel as a renewable fuel *International Journal of Sustainable Energy (Taylor and Francis)*,27(2008),3.
 - [15] Amba Prasad Rao,G., Rama Mohan, P., Effect of supercharging on the performance of a DI Diesel Engine with Vegeable oils *Energy Conversion and Management-Elsevier*, 44(2003),6, pp:937-944.
 - [16] Pandian,M., Sivapirakasam, S.P.,Udaya Kumar, M Investigation on the effect of injection system parameters on performance and emission characteristics of a twin cylinder compression ignition direct injection engine fuelled with pongamia biodiesel–diesel blend using response surface methodology,*Applied Energy-Elsevier*, 88(2010) ,8, pp:2663-2676.
 - [17] Agarwal.A.K., Biofuels(alcohols and biodiesel) applications as fuels for internal combustion engines *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science-Elsevier*,33(2007), pp:233-271.
 - [18] Ismet Sezer Thermodynamic, performance and emission investigation of a diesel engine running on dimethyl ether and diethyl ether *International Journal of Thermal Sciences-Elsevier*, 50 (2011),pp: 1594-1603.
-

- [19] Qi,E.D.H., Chen, H., Geng, L.M., Bian, Y.Z. Effect of diethyl ether and ethanol additives on the combustion and emission characteristics of biodiesel-diesel blended fuel engine, *Renewable Energy-Elsevier*, 36 (2011),pp: 1252-1258.
- [20] Takashi Hoshino, Yusaku Iwata, and Hiroshi Koseki *Oxidation Stability And Risk Evaluation of Biodiesel Thermal Science*,11 (2007), 2, pp: 87-100.
- [21] Heller. J., *Jatropha Curcas: Potential, Limitations and future research needs* pp 242-254 *Biofuels and Industrial products from Jatropha Curcas-ISBN3-7041-0242-3,1997.*
- [22] Kandpal,J.B., Mira Madan *Jatropha curcas: A renewable source of energy for meeting future energy needs (Technical Note)*, *Intl.Jrl.of Renewable Energy-Elsevier*,6(1995),2,pp: 159-160.
- [23] Pramanik.K, , *Properties and use Jatropha oil and diesel fuel blends in compression ignition engine* *Renewable Energy*,(28),pp:239-248,2003.
- [24] Senthil Kumar, Ramesh ,A., B.Nagalingam,B, An experimental comparison of methods to use methanol and Jatropha oil in compression ignition engine *Biomass and Bioenergy-Elsevier*, 25(2003), pp: 209-318.
- [25] Lin Lin , Zhou Cunshan , Saritporn Vittayapadung , Shen Xiangqian , Dong Mingdong.,*Opportunities and challenges for biodiesel fuel* *Applied Energy-Elsevier*,88 (2011),pp:1020–1031.
- [26] Nogueira, L. A.H.,H, Does biodiesel make sense? *Energy-Elsevier*, 36 (2011),pp: 3659-3666.
- [27] Mário, L., Randazzo, Sodré, José R., Exhaust emissions from a diesel powered vehicle fuelled by soybean biodiesel blends(B3-B20) with ethanol as an additive (B20E2–B20E5) *Fuel-Elsevier*, 90 (2011), 1, pp: 98-103.
-