FENESTRATION PEAK SOLAR HEAT GAIN: A REVIEW OF THE CLOUDLESS DAY CONDITION AS CONSERVATIVE HYPOTHESIS

by

Lucia FONTANA^{a*} and Alessandro QUINTINO^b

^a Dipartimento di Progettazione e studio dell'architettura, Università degli Studi "Roma Tre", Rome, Italy

^b Dipartimento di Fisica Tecnica, Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza", Roma, Italy

Original scientific paper UDC: 551.581.1:697.7 DOI: 10.2298/TSCI090919042F

Peak solar heat gain through fenestration, particularly for clear and cloudy day conditions, was estimated, using an approach based on the ASHRAE methodology and considering the correlation between hourly clearness index k_T and diffuse ratio of the total radiation. Hourly SHG_{CLEAR} and SHG_{CLOUDY} values for surfaces facing the basic cardinal orientations and the horizontal surface, at different latitudes, on the 21st day of each month, have been computed and compared. Results show that in many cases solar heat gain for cloudy day may exceed that for clear day. For wall exposures near the north the clear day condition could not be the most conservative condition for the peak solar heat gain evaluation.

Keywords: peak solar heat gain, cloudy day

Introduction

Usually, the cloudless day is considered as reference to evaluate the peak solar heat gain (SHG) through fenestrations. Examples of this procedure are provided by ASHRAE [1] and Carrier [2] methods.

Li *et al.* [3], basing on extensive global solar radiation data measured at the City University of Hong Kong, found that values of peak SHG for horizontal surfaces occurred in condition of cloudy day and were higher than those indicated by ASHRAE [1] for clear day. On the base of this climatic data, they developed an approach to evaluate peak SHG on subtropical regions.

Also for Mediterranean regions the hypothesis of clear day could not be the most conservative condition, as it has been observed by the authors while testing the performance of an heating, ventilation, and air conditioning plant in Rome for particular orientations of the building surfaces, although not for horizontal ones.

In general, the value of solar irradiance for a surface facing the sun can increase for the simultaneous presence of direct beam and diffuse radiation and it may occur when there are few clouds that leave large areas of clear sky, fig. (1).

^{*} Corresponding author; e-mail: lfontana@uniroma3.it



Figure 1. (a) Partially cloudy sky; (b) Overcast sky



Figure 2. Comparison from experimental data [3] and ASHRAE

But this situation is statistically rare, verifying only for particular locations and for a short time. Figure 2 shows the frequency of experimental SHG, extrapolated from measured data [3], compared to the SHG valued by ASHRAE for a clear day. Only for a 0.25% the former is higher than the latter.

Conversely, the situation that normal occurs is when the sky is overcast and the beam of radiant energy emerging from the clouds is more attenuated than on a clear day and largely spread in all directions, as indicated in fig. 1(b). However, as will be shown below, even in a cloudy day, for particular expositions, the total radiation reaching a surface can be greater than for a clear day.

Indeed, the total radiation I_s reaching a surface can be expressed as [1]:

$$I_{\rm S} = I_{\rm B} \cos \Theta_{\rm S} + I_{\rm D} F_{\rm SS} + \rho_{\rm G} F_{\rm SG} (I_{\rm B} \cos \Theta_{\rm H} + I_{\rm D}) \tag{1}$$

where $I_{\rm S}$ is the total radiation, $I_{\rm B}$ – the beam radiation, $I_{\rm D}$ – the diffuse hourly radiation on a horizontal surface, $\rho_{\rm G}$ – the ground reflecting coefficient, $\Theta_{\rm S}$ – the angle of incidence respect the normal to the surface, $\Theta_{\rm H}$ – the angle of incidence relative to the normal to the ground, $F_{\rm SS}$ – the angle factor between sky and surface, and $F_{\rm SG}$ – the angle factor between ground and surface.

In eq. (1), $\cos\Theta_S$ and $\cos\Theta_H$ are strongly dependent on the incoming solar rays direction; on the other hand the values of F_{SS} and F_{SG} are barely influenced by beam direction. Considering that on a cloudy day the total radiation decreases while the diffuse fraction increases, for small values of $\cos\Theta_S$ and $\cos\Theta_H$ the incremental variation of the diffuse component can prevail on the reduction of the beam component, carrying out an increase of the total radiation I_S .

In the following sections, a general analytical approach to calculate the solar heat gain for several weather conditions and latitudes and to evaluate the impact of SHG peak on the conditioning plants design has been developed by the authors.

Assumptions and preliminary analysis

An approximate analysis can be done using the available equations for the estimation of the diffuse fraction of total radiation.

Liu *et al.* [4] first found a correlation, not dependent from latitude and elevation, between the diffuse fraction H_D/H of daily radiation and a "daily clearness index" K_T , defined as $K_T = H/H_0$, where H is the daily radiation on a horizontal surface, H_0 – the daily extraterrestrial radiation on a horizontal surface, and H_D – the diffuse daily radiation on a horizontal surface.

Other correlations were obtained by Orgill et al. [5] (data from Canadian measuring stations), Erbs et al. [6] (data from USA and Australia), and Reindl et al. [7] (data from USA and Europe) for hourly radiation. They found I_D/I vs. k_T correlations, where k_T is the I/I_0 "hourly clearness index", Φ_D – the $I_{\rm D}/I$ "diffuse ratio", I – the hourly radiation on a horizontal surface, I_0 – the hourly extraterrestrial radiation on a horizontal surface, and $I_{\rm D}$ – the diffuse hourly radiation on a horizontal surface.

This three correlations are shown in fig. 3. They are substantially identical.

The Orgill and Hollands correlation, that has been widely used, is given by the following equations:



$$\Phi_{\rm D}(k_{\rm T}) = \begin{cases}
1 - 0.249k_{\rm T} & \text{for } 0 \le k_{\rm T} 0.35 \\
1.557 - 1.184k_{\rm T} & \text{for } 0.35 \le k_{\rm T} \le 0.75 \\
0.177 & \text{for } k_{\rm T} > 0.75
\end{cases}$$
(2)

Using eq. (2) the total radiation reaching a surface is expressed as follows:

$$I_{\rm S}^{\rm cloudy}(k_{\rm T}) = I_{\rm T0}k_{\rm T} \left\{ [1 - \Phi(k_{\rm T})] \frac{\cos\theta_{\rm S}}{\cos\theta_{\rm H}} + \Phi(k_{\rm T})F_{\rm SS} + \rho_{\rm G}F_{\rm SG} \right\}$$
(3)

For vertical surfaces, with free sky view and flat surrounding ground, it is assumed that the angles factors are:

$$F_{\rm SS} \cong 0.5$$
 and $F_{\rm SG} \cong 0.5$

Figure 4 shows the resulting plot of the ratio $R_{\rm CL} = I_{\rm S}^{\rm cloudy}(k_{\rm T})/I_{\rm S}^{\rm clear}(k_{\rm T})$ vs. $k_{\rm T}$ for several values of $\cos\theta_{\rm S}/\cos\theta_{\rm H}$ and for $\rho_{\rm G} = 0$ and 0.2.



Figure 4. Plot of the ratio $R_{\rm CL} = I_{\rm S}^{\rm cloudy}(k_{\rm T})/I_{\rm S}^{\rm clear}(k_{\rm T})$ vs. $k_{\rm T}$ for several values of $\cos\theta_{\rm S}/\cos\theta_{\rm H}$ and for $\rho_{\rm G} = 0$ and 0.2

As illustrated in fig. 4, values of $R_{\rm CL} > 1$ can occur for $k_{\rm T} < 1$ when weather conditions may not represent a clear sky. This effect is considerable for low values of $\cos\Theta_{\rm S}/\cos\Theta_{\rm H}$ when, generally, the direct component of solar radiation on the given surface is far from the maximum value $I_{\rm B}$. Furthermore, the maximum value of $R_{\rm CL}$ goes up with the decrease of $\rho_{\rm G}$. Note that if $k_{\rm T} = 1$ (clear day) $R_{\rm CL} = 1$ for any value of $\cos\theta_{\rm S}/\cos\theta_{\rm H}$.

To investigate in more detail this situation, in the following section has been calculated the hourly SHG through real fenestration, in relationship with the wall orientation, the day of the year and the hour in the day. The numerical calculation of SHG for clear and cloudy day have been made by using eqs. (1) and (3). All terms in the equations have been calculated strictly following the method and data given in [1] as better specified in the following section.

Numerical calculation of SHG for clear and cloudy day

All calculations have been made hourly (from 6 a. m. to 6 p. m.), on the 21^{st} day of the month with the procedure reported in the appendix.

Clear day

Solar radiation data are given in tab. 1 from [1].

Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
$A_{\rm SI}$ [Wm ⁻²]	1230	1215	1186	1136	1104	1088	1085	1107	1151	1192	1221	1233
<i>B</i> air mass-1	0.142	0.144	0.156	0.180	0.196	0.205	0.207	0.201	0.177	0.160	0.149	0.142
<i>C</i> , [–]	0.058	0.06	0.071	0.097	0.121	0.134	0.136	0.122	0.092	0.073	0.063	0.057

Table 1. Solar radiation data

 $A_{\rm SI}$ is the apparent normal solar irradiation at air mass 0; *B* the atmospheric extinction coefficient, and *C* the diffuse radiance factor for clear day.

It has also, referring to fig. 5:

solar declination δvs . day of the year $n_{\rm D}$:



hour angle H_A vs. apparent solar time A_{ST} :

$$H_{\rm A} = 15(A_{\rm ST} - 12) \tag{5}$$

direct normal irradiance $I_{\rm DN}$ vs. solar altitude β :

$$I_{\rm DN} = \frac{A_{\rm SI}}{e^{B/\sin\beta}} \quad \text{if } \beta \le \text{then } I_{\rm DN} = 0 \tag{6}$$

sun-wall azimuth γ vs. wall azimuth ψ and solar azimuth f (y and f from south, positive toward east):

$$\gamma = \Psi - f \tag{7a}$$



Figure 5. Solar angles

227

The following equations relate the solar altitude β , the latitude *L*, the declination δ , the hour angle H_A , the solar azimuth ϕ , the angle of incidence of the beam radiation on the wall Θ , and the tilt angle on the wall Σ [1]:

$$\sin\beta = \cos L \cos\delta \cos H_{\rm A} + \sin L \sin\delta \tag{7b}$$

$$\sin\phi = \frac{\cos\delta\sin H_A}{\cos\beta} \tag{7c}$$

$$\cos\Theta = \cos\beta\cos\gamma\sin\Sigma + \sin\beta\cos\Sigma \tag{7d}$$

Direct (beam) irradiance and diffuse irradiance

Beam radiation on the wall $I_{\rm B}$:

- If $\cos \Theta > 0$ then $I_{\rm B} = I_{\rm DN} \cos \Theta$; otherwise $I_{\rm B} = 0$
- Diffuse irradiance on the horizontal surface: $I_{DH} = I_{DN}C$
- Sky diffuse irradiance on vertical surface: $I_{\text{DSV}} = I_{\text{DN}}CY$
- Ground reflected diffuse irradiance on vertical surface:

$$I_{\rm DVG} = (I_{\rm DH} + I_{\rm DN} \cos\Theta_{\rm H})\rho_{\rm G}F_{\rm SG} = I_{\rm DN}(C + \cos\Theta_{\rm H})\rho_{\rm G}F_{\rm SG}$$
(8)

It is assumed $F_{SG} = 0.5$ (ground horizontal, reflected radiation isotropic). In the ASHRAE model, the total diffuse irradiance on vertical surface is given by:

$$I_{\rm DV} = I_{\rm DSV} + I_{\rm DGV} = I_{\rm DN}[CY + (C + \cos\Theta_{\rm H})\rho_{\rm G}F_{\rm SG}]$$
⁽⁹⁾

where *Y* is the ratio of sky diffuse irradiance on vertical surface to sky diffuse irradiance on horizontal surface and for clear day may be evaluated as:

If
$$\cos \Theta > -0.2$$
 then $Y = 0.55 + 0.437 \cos \Theta + 0.313 \cos^2 \Theta$ (10)

Otherwise Y = 0.45.

Peak solar heat gain

- Transmitted component:

$$SHG_{\rm T} = I_{\rm B}\tau(\Theta) + I_{\rm D}\overline{\tau} \tag{11}$$

Absorbed component:

$$SHG_{\rm A} = I_{\rm B}a(\Theta) + I_{\rm D}\overline{a} \tag{12}$$

 $\tau(\Theta)$ and $a(\Theta)$ are the transmission and absorption coefficients of the fenestration as a function of Θ ; $\overline{\tau}$ and \overline{a} are the average values: $\tau(\Theta)$ and $a(\Theta)$ may be expressed in polynomial form as [1]:

$$\tau(\Theta) = \sum_{J=0}^{5} t_J \cos^J \Theta \quad \overline{\tau} = 2 \sum_{J=0}^{5} \frac{t_J}{J+2}$$
(13)

and

$$a(\Theta) = \sum_{J=0}^{5} t_J \cos^J \Theta \quad \overline{a} = 2 \sum_{J=0}^{5} \frac{a_J}{J+2}$$
(14)

Table 2. ASHRAE standard double glasscoefficients for eqs. (13) and (14)

J	$t_{ m J}$	a_{J}
0	-0.00885	0.01154
1	2.71235	0.77674
2	-0.62062	-3.94657
3	-7.07329	8.57881
4	9.75995	-8.38135
5	-3.89922	3.01188

For an ASHRAE standard double glass the coefficients t_J an a_J for the polynomial expressions are given in tab. 2.

The SHG factor in clear day is finally given by:

$$SHG_{CLEAR} = SHG_{T} = NSHG_{D}$$
 (15)

where *N* is the inward flowing fraction of the absorbed radiation. Here it has been assumed N = 0.3.

Cloudy day

Equations (4)-(7) and the nomenclature given in section 3.1 remain the same. To calculate the beam and diffuse components the Orgill and Hollands correlation was used. It was slightly modified to obtain that *in clear day condition* $F_D(k_T)$ values in the Orgill and Hollands correlation were the same that in the ASHRAE model in the same month and hour.

For the ASHRAE model the hourly clearness index in clear day $k_T^{\text{clear}}(m,h)$ is given by:

$$k_{\rm T}^{\rm clear}(m,h) = \frac{I_{\rm DN}(C + \cos\Theta_{\rm H})}{A\cos\Theta_{\rm H}}$$
(16)

and the corresponding $\Theta_{\rm D}(k_{\rm T})$ value:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\rm D}^{\rm clear}(m,h) = \frac{I_{\rm DN}C}{I_{\rm DN}(C + \cos\Theta_{\rm H})}$$
(17)

Using (16) and (17), the new correlation $\Phi_{DN}(k_T)$ can be expressed as:

$$\Phi_{D}(k_{T}) = \begin{cases}
1 - 0.249k_{T} & \text{for } 0 \le k_{T} \le 0.35 \text{ and } k_{T}^{\text{clear}} > 0.35 \\
0.913 + \frac{\Phi_{D}^{\text{clear}} - 0.913}{k_{T}^{\text{clear}} - 0.35}(k_{T} - 0.35) & \text{for } 0.35 \le k_{T} 0.75 \text{ and } k_{T}^{\text{clear}} > 0.35 \\
\Phi_{D}^{\text{clear}} & \text{for } k_{T} > 0.75 \text{ or } k_{T} \ge k_{T}^{\text{clear}}
\end{cases}$$
(18)

The solar heat gain was then calculated with the procedure given in appendix A.

Results

 SHG_{CLOUDY} values were calculated on the 21st day of each month, for latitudes between 35° and 55° north, for surfaces facing the eight cardinal orientations and the horizontal surface. For example, results for latitude of 48° north are given in tabs. 3 and 4. Data carried out from model were formatted in the same manner of that presented in [1] for each month, hour and orientation, for clear and cloudy conditions. Calculations were made for $\rho_{\rm G} = 0.1$ (bituminous parking lot) and $\rho_{\rm G} = 0.2$ (green grass) as suggested by Threlkeld [8].

The tables carry out the SHG_{CLOUDY} values in relationship with different format. Precisely:

- if $1 < SHG_{CLOUDY}/SHG_{CLEAR} \le 1.25$
- if $1.25 < SHG_{CLOUDY}/SHG_{CLEAR} \le 1.5$
- if $1.5 < SHG_{CLOUDY}/SHG_{CLEAR}$

underscored italic bold italic The results reveal that:

- Comparisons between SHG_{CLOUDY} and SHG_{CLEAR} shown that the values of the former often exceed the latter, particularly for the smaller values of the ground albedo. The increment between respective hourly values was often a tenth percent but in some case was twice and more. Nevertheless, for all considered expositions, excluding north exposition, the maximum daily value occurs for clear condition so the clear day remains the conservative condition for computing the peak solar heat gain.
- For north exposure the behavior is different. Indeed SHG_{CLOUDY} is never lower and in nearly every case, notably in peak condition, greater than SHG_{CLEAR}. Figure 6 shows the evolution of SHG_{CLOUDY} and SHG_{CLEAR} for the month of June, at different times of day. As it can be noted, for SHG_{CLOUDY} the peak value occurs at noon while SHG_{CLEAR} has the maximum values at early morning or in last hour of the evening, i. e. when the conditioning plant is off. For this reason the difference between the peak values occurring during the seasonal period of operation



Figure 6. Evolution of SGH^{max.} for clear and cloudy day for the month of June, for a wall facing north

Ground albedo = 0.1

Working time 8-17

of the conditioning plant can reach 70-90 W/m^2 . The time range shown in fig. 6 corresponds with the typical working time of an office building, from 08.00 to 17.00.

Therefore, for north exposition, if the value of fenestration heat gain is a valuable part of the total sensible heat gain, the assumption of clear day cannot be a conservative condition.

A better representation of the situation described above can be made plotting the values of the maximum difference between $SGH_{cloudy}^{max.} - SGH_{clear}^{max.}$ as a function of the latitude and the exposition, as shown in the following figures.

Figure 7 describes SGH_{cloudy} – SGH_{clear} – SGH_{clear} distributions for latitudes range between 0 and 60°, with exposition purely north. It is observed that this difference is small for low latitudes while is more pronounced for latitudes between 20° and 35°, decreasing gradually for high latitude values until to 60°.

In fig. 8 is presented the maximum

[Mm_²] - SCH^{max.}) 50 Max.(SHG^{max.} 00 20 30 50 10 40 60 North latitude [°] Figure 7. Evolution of SGH^{max.} - SGH^{max.} of a

wall facing north

deviation from north exposure so that the difference SGH max. - SGH max. is positive, for different latitudes. At low latitudes the difference SGH_{cloudy}^{max} - SGH_{clear}^{max} is small and only for exposure close to the north. At major latitudes, it is noted that this difference increases with the angular distance from north, until to 32° W or 13° E. The different behavior shown for west and east expositions is due to the choice of an asymmetric working time respect to the noon (fig. 6).

Fontana, L., *et al.*: Fenestration Peak Solar Heat Gain: A Review of ... THERMAL SCIENCE, Year 2011, Vol. 15, No. 1, pp. 223-234

		Direct		Solar heat gain factors W/m^2												- 40					
Date	Solar tim e	normal		N	N	E		E	S	E	ii gain	S	s, vv/11	W		W		NW	н	or	Solar time
	AM	W/m ²	Clear	Cloudy	Clear	Chudy	Clear	Cloudy	Class	Cloudy	Clasr	Cloudy	Clase	Cloudy	Claar	Cloudy	Class	Cloudy	Clear	Clouds	PM
	8	1.08	3	5	10	10	84	84	1 02	102	58	58	3	5	3	5	3	5	6	9	4
	9	582	18	31	18	31	3 65	3 65	548	548	400	4 00	21	31	18	31	18	31	78	78	3
Jan 21	10	7 56	27	49	27	49	3 23	3 23	674	674	608	608	149	149	27	49	27	49	173	173	2
	11	845	33	64	33	64	33	64	5 29	529	761	7.61	529	5 29	33	64	33	64	243	243	12
	8	5 57	18	30	1 04	1 04	4 55	4 55	511	511	252	252	18	30	18	30	18	30	74	74	4
	10	8.69	29	73	36	73	3.97	3.97	7.50	7.50	640	4 / 9	115	115	29	73	28	73	326	3.26	2
	11	9 10	40	85	40	85	1 84	1 84	6 80	680	740	740	3 3 9	3 3 9	40	85	40	85	404	4 04	1
	12	9.21	42	89	42	89	42	89	5 38	538	774	774	538	538	42	89	42	89	431	4 3 1	12
	7	4.71	17	26	2.41	241	4.44	4.44	3.76	3.76	61	61	17	26	17	26	17	26	61	61	6
	- 8	742	31	55	2 24	2 24	6 32	632	641	641	249	249	31	55	31	55	31	55	210	2 10	4
Mar 21	9	854	41	80	76	<u>90</u>	5 92	592	7 39	739	436	4 36	41	80	41	80	41	80	368	3 68	3
	10	9 09	48	99	48	99	4 38	4 38	731	731	579	579	74	1 05	48	99	48	99	491	4 91	2
	11	935	53	111	51	111	206	212 11.5	642	642 487	7.00	7.00	275	275	51	111	51	111	567	5.67	1
	12	545							1.01												12
	6	342	32	32	2 69	2 69	3 35	3 35	201	201	16	22	16	22	16	22	16	22	46	<u>48</u>	6
	7	649	34	51	4 09	4 09	6 21	621	4.61	461	39	53	34	51	34	51	34	51	190	190	5
Apr 21	9	851	40	102	1.68	<u>178</u>	6 01	601	6.60	660	314	3 14	-+0	1 02	-+0	102	-+0	102	510	5 10	4
	10	8 88	61	1 20	61	1 20	4 40	4.40	6 35	635	446	446	61	1 20	61	1 20	61	120	622	6 22	2
	11	9 07	65	1 32	65	1 32	2 14	233	542	542	529	5 2 9	187	217	65	1 32	65	132	693	6 93	1
	12	913	66	1 35	66	135	66	135	3 84	384	558	558	3.84	384	66	135	66	135	7 18	7 18	12
	6	5 16	1.06	1.06	441	4 4 1	5 02	5 0 2	261	261	31	40	31	40	31	40	31	40	128	1.28	6
	7	695	51	69	4 88	4 88	6 58	6 58	4 36	436	46	68	46	68	46	68	46	68	291	291	5
	8	7 87	57	94	4 00	4 00	671	671	543	543	86	103	57	94	57	94	57	94	454	4 54	4
May 21	9	839	55 71	116	234	135	5 8/ 4 28	587	580	580	216	334	71	116	55 71	133	71	133	591 696	591 696	2
	11	8.84	75	143	75	143	2 15	243	4 54	454	4 13	4 13	121	1.56	75	143	75	143	763	7 63	1
	12	8 89	76	147	76	147	76	147	301	<u>306</u>	440	440	301	<u>306</u>	76	147	76	147	785	7 85	12
	-	5.47	4.00	4.20	4.04	4.04	E 00	E M	0.50	250	20	47		47	20			47	4.82	4.02	-
	7	697	100	7.9	5 08	5 08	6 55	655	4 13	413	51	74	51	74	51	74	51	74	326	3 26	5
	8	7 79	62	99	4 20	4 20	6 59	6 59	5 10	510	73	102	62	99	62	99	62	99	482	4 82	4
June 21	1 9	8 26	70	1 20	2 59	2 59	5 75	575	542	542	180	<u>199</u>	70	1 20	70	1 20	70	120	614	6 14	3
	10	854	75	136	96	1.42	4 20	4 20	5 10	510	288	<u>290</u> 363	107	1 36	75	136	75	136	716	7 16	2
	12	873	80	1 50	80	1 50	80	150	2.68	283	3 89	3 89	268	283	80	150	80	150	801	801	12
	6	4 91	107	1 07	4 28	4 28	4 85	4 85	252	252	32	40	32	<u>40</u>	32	40	32	40	129	1 29	6
	- 8	7.60	54 60	94	4 /8	4 /8	6.58	658	4 24	4 24	49	102	49	94	49	94	49	94	450	4 50	5
July 21	9	8 13	68	115	2 36	2.37	5 78	578	5 69	569	213	218	68	115	68	115	68	115	584	5 84	3
	10	843	74	1 32	86	1 35	4 24	4 24	540	540	3 28	3 28	74	1 32	74	1 32	74	132	688	6 88	2
	11	8 59	78	142	78	142	2 16	242	4.47	447	4 05	4 05	121	1.55	78	142	78	142	753	7 53	1
	12	0.04	10		10	740	10	740	2.01	202	4.52	402	201	202	10	740	1.0	740	115	175	12
	6	295	31	31	2 39	2 39	2 97	297	179	179	16	22	16	22	16	22	16	22	47	47	6
	7	5.95	37	51	3 88	3 88	5 85	585	4 34	434	42	52	37	51	37	51	37	51	187	187	5
Aug 21	- 8	7.32	51 60	101	3 20	3 20 1 78	5.84	584	581	581	307	3.07	51	101	51	101	51	101	354 499	3 54 4 99	4
	10	841	67	119	67	119	4 32	4 32	6 19	619	436	4 36	67	119	67	119	67	119	608	6.08	2
	11	861	70	1 30	70	1 30	2 16	232	5 30	530	517	517	189	215	70	1 30	70	130	678	678	1
	12	867	72	134	72	134	72	134	3 78	378	545	545	378	378	72	134	72	134	701	701	12
	7	4 10	18	26	2 17	2 17	3 95	3 95	3 35	3 35	56	56	18	26	18	26	18	26	61	61	5
	8	679	35	54	2 15	2 15	5 92	592	5 99	599	235	235	35	54	35	54	35	54	205	2 05	4
Sep 21	9	7.95	45	78	78	89	5 65	5.65	7 03	703	4 16	4 16	45	78	45	78	45	78	358	3 58	3
	10	852	52	109	5∠ 56	97 109	4 23	4 23	6 18	618	5 50 6 4 4	644	269	269	52 56	109	56	109	550	477	2
	12	8.87	57	113	57	113	57	11 3	4 7 1	471	674	674	471	471	57	113	57	113	575	5 75	12
	8	4 83	18	28	92	92	4 00	4 00	4 51 6 74	451 874	226	226	18	28	18	28	18	28	66	66	4
Oct 21	10	8 16	38	71	38	71	4 03	4 03	7 17	717	6 15	6 15	114	114	38	71	38	71	308	3.08	2
	11	8.60	42	82	42	82	1 80	1 80	6 55	655	7 14	7 14	331	331	42	82	42	82	384	3 84	1
	12	872	44	86	44	86	44	86	521	521	748	748	521	521	44	86	44	86	4 10	4 10	12
	8	7.8	2	3	7	7	60	60	73	73	42	40	2	3	2	3	2	3	5	7	4
	9	546	18	30	18	30	3 43	343	5 17	517	379	379	21	30	18	30	18	30	74	74	3
N ov 21	10	7 25	27	48	27	48	3 12	3 12	651	651	588	588	147	147	27	48	27	48	165	165	2
	11	7 98	32	59	32	59	1 50	150	6 29	629	705	7 05	346	346	32	59	32	59	234	234	1
	12	8 18	33	63	33	63	33	63	517	517	/43	743	517	517	33	63	33	63	259	2.59	12
	9	4.44	13	22	13	22	2 70	270	4 20	4 20	3 15	3 15	19	23	13	22	13	22	43	47	3
Dec 21	10	679	22	39	22	39	281	281	6 09	609	5.59	559	149	149	22	39	22	39	120	1 20	2
		7.60	27	51	27	51	1 36	136	6.08	6.08	687	687	342	342	27	51	27	51	183	1.83	1
	12	7.03	20	6.4	20	5.4	20	E.4	5.02	5.02	7.37	7.07	5.00	5.02	20	E 4	20	6.4	200	2.02	4.5

Fontana, L., *et al*.: Fenestration Peak Solar Heat Gain: A Review of ... THERMAL SCIENCE, Year 2011, Vol. 15, No. 1, pp. 223-234

Ν

NW

W

SW

SE

E

ΝE

Hor

<P.M.

Table 4 $r_{g} = 0.2; L = 48^{\circ}$ Solar heat gain factors, W/m Direct normal irradiation Solar tim e Solar time Ν ΝE SE S SW NW Hor Date
 dy
 clear
 cloudy
 clear
 clear

 11
 84
 84
 102

 34
 371
 371
 554

 55
 334
 334
 554
 24 37 45 48 ι.M W/m² cloudy c 5 34 55
 cloudy
 clear
 cloudy
 c

 102
 58
 58

 554
 406
 406
 cloudy clear P.M clear loudy cloudy 34 55 34 55 24 37 45 48 1.08 24 **5** 24 78 78 3 34 1 67 7 3 3 34 1 67 4 8 660 544 618 618 737 737 776 776 Jan 21 7 56 73 73 6 60 5 44 48 73 2 43 2 68 268 8 25 8 45 73 12 5 44 491 658 761 796 5 16 7 27 7 67 41 132 359 560 61 132 359 560 4 61 2 57 4 91 6 58 7 61 7 96 5 57 41 53 61 9 10 11 12 5 28 4 15 2 05 767 700 560 83 97 53 61 2 05 3 26 4 04 83 5 28 4 15 53 61 63 97 8 69 63 9 10 1 0 2 1 02 3 81 6 53 7 58 653 758 755 66 66 261 261 454 454 236 95 236 4.48 44 60 62 91 44 4.48 44 6 44 6 11 6 44 368 91 8 54 <u>104</u> 113 6 11 Mar 21 <u>1 21</u> 3 02 5 15 9 09 4 62 4.62 696 6 03 943 1 27 1 32 2 33 8 1 6.96 3 02 5 15 1 27 2.36 1 32 1 32 1 32 3 39 3 39 4.6 6 49 7 83 8 51 8 88 353 <u>199</u> 138 6 32 6 94 6 26 4 69 175 338 476 64 80 91 90 117 **138** 361 510 622 90 117 **138** <u>60</u> 175 338 476 1.90 6 94 6 26 4 69 6 24 6 84 6 65 684 665 80 91 117 **138** 117 **138** 3 61 5 10 6 22 80 91 80 91 192 Apr 21 156 591 5 62 <u>242</u> 4 18 1 51 2<u>59</u> 156 2 19 4 18 1.00 4 18 5 91 1 00 1 56 1 56 7 18 503 422 291 454 5 16 5 10 6 73 5 10 6 73 2 69 4 51 451 565 608 582 490 61 108 61 79 93 104 156 337 1 28 2 91 4 54 <u>45</u> 78 61 <u>45</u> 78 <u>45</u> 78 <u>45</u> 78 6 95 79 <u>80</u> 108 422 108 <u>120</u> 244 <u>246</u> 367 367 448 448 7 87 153 165 156 165 6 08 5 82 4 90 104 110 1 33 1 53 1 65 696 763 May 21 8 39 9 3 8 69 1 04 6 16 4 61 6 16 4 61 1 33 1 53 1 33 1 53 11 5 8 84 <u>2 70</u> 1 69 1 69 8 89 476 476 3 39 1 69 7 85 525 443 525 443 2 68 4 30 5 33 5 71 5 44 5 38 6 72 6 83 430 533 571 326 482 5 38 6 72 <u>53</u> 85 114 <u>53</u> 85 114 <u>53</u> 85 114 <u>53</u> 85 85 3 26 4 82 779 <u>91</u> 114 97 6 83 <u>118</u> 164 169 173 8 26 8 54 8 69 8 73 157 169 2 09 3 22 3 99 4 26 2 22 3 22 3 99 4 26 1 38 1 57 1 69 1 73 6 14 7 16 7 80 June 2 6.04 6.04 157 1 38 1 57 115 1 09 1 43 3 05 1 09 11 5 11 7 780 801 4 53 4 53 115 4 52 3 05 2 50 117 <u>272</u> 173 3 14 8 0 1 64 108 241 4 93 <u>46</u> <u>78</u> <u>119</u> <u>242</u> <u>46</u> <u>78</u> 108 133 <u>46</u> <u>78</u> 108 133 4 91 4 93 1 29 418 264 418 264 6 56 6 80 6 06 6 56 6 80 6 06 4 40 5 53 5 96 553 596 82 96 82 96 108 133 2 90 4 50 5 84 450 584 <u>80</u> 108 133 82 96 6 68 7 60 82 July 21 1.56 4 56 4.56 3.60 1 52 1 64 1 68 859 113 164 864 115 168 115 4 82 1 56 3 33 <u>2 69</u> 1 68 <u>1 80</u> <u>3 35</u> <u>335</u> 4 68 4 68 90 117 137 5 95 7 32 8 03 8 41 667 608 461 <u>58</u> 90 117 137 90 117 137 344 5.96 <u>58</u> 344 195 95 69 84 95 4 0 6 9 8 4 9 5 499 608 663 647 6 67 84 95 84 95 3 54 117 137 3 31 4 65 Aug 2 647 <u>199</u> 1*3*7 1*5*0 6 08 4 61 465 6 08 2.48 2.58 2.39 1.50 8 67 4 11 5 78 4 11 4 11 1 04 4 00 6 04 4 00 6 04 247 247 4 10 611 721 724 644 46 205 62 62 46 62 62 46 6 79 7 95 8 52 8 79 2.05 75 82 5 83 447 724 99 295 111 3 58 4 77 <u>103</u> 111 Sep 21 670 701 <u>1 20</u> 2 95 4 98 4 47 5 79 129 85 1 25 1 29 85 1 25 1 29 1 29 7 01 4 98 1 29 8 87 5.75 43 54 231 231 464 464 631 631 4 83 7 18 59 81 59 81 4.05 4.56 42 131 *31* 59 59 81 191 308 4.05 4 94 3 96 4 94 3 96 733 81 Oct 21 8 16 8 60 2 00 2 00 3 84 7 69 4 10 384 599 157 , 74 165 725 798 37 3 4 9 662 3 84 157 37 54 37 3 22 1 63 7 2 5 99 Nov 21 1.65 48 72 48 3.59 3 59 72 72 2.34 12 8 18 7 57 5 31 567 3 19 5 67 2 74 2 74 4 23 157 <u>26</u> 157 4 4 4 11 6 79 7 69 2.89 57 57 1 20 183 Dec21 6 19 6 98 6 2 5 18 5 18 7 94



Figure 8. Wall exposures for which $SGH_{cloudy}^{max} - SGH_{clear}^{max} > 0 e. g.$ for Latitude of 40° north, it is $SGH_{cloudy}^{max} - SGH_{clear}^{max} > 0$ if the wall exposure differs from north by less then 5 degrees toward east or less then 18 degrees toward west

In fig. 9 is plotted the evolution of SGH_{cloudy}^{max} – SGH_{clear}^{max} varying the wall exposure from north to west, for different values of latitudes. The curves show a similar behavior in which there is a peak value that, increasing deviation from north exposure, decreases until to zero. This trend is more pronounced for high latitudes. In the latitudes between 15° and 35° the peak is in correspondence of an exposure deviation of about 4° from north. For major latitudes the peak disappears but, in a significant range of angles of exposure, the difference SGH_{cloudy}^{max} – SGH_{clear}^{max} seems to stabilize on a constant positive value before to decrease to



zero. So, also for wall exposure not strictly facing north, care must be taken for evaluating *SHG* in clear day condition because, as described, could not be the most conservative condition for a correct calculation.

Note that the procedure search for the maximum value of SHG_{CLOODY} in the range $0 \le k_T \le 1$. For the extreme case $k_T = 1$, it is $SHG_{CLOUDY} = SHG_{CLEAR}$ (see fig. 4). This is why in tabs. 3 and 4 SHG_{CLOODY} appears never smaller then SHG_{CLEAR} .

Nomenclature

$\begin{array}{cccc} mass = 0, [wm] & surface, [-] \\ A_{ST} & - \text{ apparent solar time [hours]} & F_{SS} & - \text{ angle factor between sky and} \\ a(\Theta) & - \text{ absorption coefficient for beam component} & H & - \text{ daily radiation on a horizont} \end{array}$	uno
A_{ST} – apparent solar time [hours] F_{SS} – angle factor between sky and $a(\Theta)$ – absorption coefficient for beam component H – daily radiation on a horizont	
$a(\Theta)$ – absorption coefficient for beam component H – daily radiation on a horizont	surface, [-
	al surface
a – absorption coefficient for diffuse component [Wm ⁻²]	
B – atmospheric extinction coefficient, H_A – hour angle, [dec. degrees]	
[air mass-1] $H_{\rm D}$ – diffuse daily radiation on a	orizontal
C – diffuse radiance factor (clear day), [–] surface, [Wm ⁻²]	

Fontana, L., et al.: Fe	nestration Peak	Solar Heat G	ain: A Review c	of
THERMAL SCIENCE	, Year 2011, Vo	l. 15, No. 1, p	p. 223-234	

H_0	 daily extraterrestrial radiation on a horizontal surface [Wm⁻²] 	SHG _T	 solar heat gain transmitted component [Wm⁻²]
Ι	 hourly radiation on a horizontal surface, 	SHG _C	LEAR – solar heat gain in clear day, [Wm ⁻²]
In	$[\text{wm}^{-1}]$ – beam radiation $[\text{Wm}^{-2}]$	SHG _C	LOUDY - solar heat gain in cloudy day, [Wm-2]
$I_{\rm BH}$	 beam irradiance on the horizontal plane, 	Y	 ratio of sky diffuse irradiance on
Ţ	[Wm ²] diffuse hourly rediction on a horizontal		vertical surface to sky diffuse
$I_{\rm D}$	- diffuse flouring radiation on a nonzontal surface $[Wm^{-2}]$		infadiance on nonzontal surface
IDGV	- ground diffuse irradiance on the vertical	Greek	e letters
-DGV	surface, [Wm ⁻²]	β	 solar altitude, [dec. degrees]
$I_{\rm DH}$	- diffuse irradiance on the horizontal plane,	γ	 sun-wall azimuth, [dec. degrees]
	[Wm ⁻²]	δ	 solar declination, [dec. degrees]
$I_{\rm DN}$	– direct normal irradiance, [Wm ⁻²]	$\Theta_{ m H}$	 angle of incidence relative to the
$I_{\rm DSV}$	- sky diffuse irradiance on the vertical surface,	0	- normal to the ground, [dec. degrees]
,	[Wm ²]	$\Theta_{\rm S}$	- angle of incidence respect the normal
$I_{\rm DV}$	- total diffuse irradiance on the vertical surface $[Wm^{-2}]$	0	to the surface, [dec. degrees]
7	surface, [wiff] total radiation $[Wm^{-2}]$	$\rho_{\rm G}$	- ground reflecting coefficient, diff.less
	- total irradiance on the horizontal plane [Wm ⁻²]	2	[dec_degrees]
	 hourly extraterrestrial radiation on a 	τ	 transmission coefficient for diffuse
-0	horizontal surface. [Wm ⁻²]	•	component. [–]
K_{T}	 daily clearness index, [-] 	$\tau(\Theta)$	 transmission coefficient for beam
k_{T}	 hourly clearness index, [-] 		– component, [–]
L	 latitude, north positive, [dec. degree] 	$arPsi_{ m D}$	– diffuse ratio, [–]
Ν	 Inward flowing fraction of the absorbed 	φ	- solar azimuth [dec.degrees, from south,
	radiation, [–]		east positive]
$n_{\rm D}$	- day of the year, [-]	Ψ	- wall azimuth, [dec.degrees, from south,
SHG_A	- Solar heat gain absorbed component, [Wm ⁻²]		east positive]

References

- ***, ASHRAE Handbook of Fundamentals, ASHRAE, New York, USA, 2002, Chapter 30 [1]
- ***, CARRIER Handbook of Air Conditioning Design, Mc Graw-Hill, New York, USA, 1965 [2]
- [3] Li, D. H. W., Lam, J. C., Solar Heat Gain Factors and the Implication to Building Designs in Subtropical Regions, Energy and Building, 32 (2000), 1, pp. 47-55
- [4] Liu, B. Y. H., Jordan, R. C., The Interrelationships and Characteristic Distribution of Direct, Diffuse and Total Solar Radiation, Solar Energy, 4 (1960), 3, pp. 1-19
- [5] Orgill, J. F., Hollands, K. G. T., Correlation Equation for Hourly Diffuse Radiation on a Horizontal Surface, Solar Energy, 19 (1977), 4, pp. 357-359
- Erbs, D. G., Klein, S. A., Duffie, J. A., Estimation of the Diffuse Radiation Fraction for Hourly, Daily [6] and Monthly - Average Global Radiation, Solar Energy, 28 (1982), 4, pp. 293-302
- [7] Reindl, D. T., Beckman, W. A., Duffie, J. A., Diffuse Fraction Correlations, Solar Energy, 45 (1990), 1, pp. 1-7 Threlkeld, J. L., Thermal Environmental Engineering. Prentice-Hall, New York, USA, 1962
- [8]

233

APPENDIX

Calculation procedure for SHG_{max.}

- $I_{\rm TH}$ = total irradiance on the horizontal plane;
- $I_{\rm BH}$ = beam irradiance on the horizontal plane;
- $-I_{\rm DH}$ = diffuse irradiance on the horizontal plane.
- It is assumed $F_{SG} = 0.5$ (ground horizontal, reflected radiation isotropic).



Paper submitted: September 29, 2009 Paper revised: May 31, 2010 Paper accepted: June 1, 2010

234