

**COMMENTS ON “SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPROVED FOURIER-FICK LAWS IN NON-LINEAR CONVECTIVE MICROPOLAR MATERIAL STRATIFIED FLOW WITH VARIABLE PROPERTIES”**

**M. M. Awad**

**Mechanical Power Engineering Department**

**Faculty of Engineering**

**Mansoura University**

**Mansoura, Egypt 35516**

**E-mail address: m\_m\_awad@mans.edu.eg**

Abstract: The  $\theta(\eta)$  and  $\phi(\eta)$  equations are invalid.

Waqas et al. [1] studied the effectiveness of temperature-dependent thermal conductivity and improved Fourier-Fick fluxes on the 2-D, steady incompressible micropolar material flow past a stretchable surface. The researchers considered non-linear mixed convection, heat generation and double stratification aspects. Waqas et al. [1] presented the energy and concentration equations (Eqs. (4) and (5) in Ref. [1]) as:

$$u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \lambda_1 \left( u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + 2uv \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x \partial y} + u^2 \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + v^2 \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - \frac{Q}{\rho c_p} \left( u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ K(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{Q}{\rho c_p} (T - T_\infty) \quad (1)$$

$$u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + \lambda_2 \left( u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + 2uv \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x \partial y} + u^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + v^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} \right) = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} \quad (2)$$

From the above, concentration (C) and temperature (T) depend on x, y.

Waqas et al. [1] introduced these variables to convert PDEs into ODEs (Eq. (8) in Ref. [1]):

$$\eta = y \sqrt{\frac{c}{v}} \quad (3)$$

$$\theta(\eta) = \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_w - T_0} \quad (4)$$

$$\phi(\eta) = \frac{C - C_\infty}{C_w - C_0} \quad (5)$$

From Eq. (3), the similarity variable ( $\eta$ ) depends on  $y$  only. From Eq. (4), the temperature  $\theta(\eta)$  depends on  $y$  only whilst RHS  $\frac{T(x, y) - T_\infty}{T_w - T_0}$  depends on  $x, y$ . Hence, there is a disagreement between LHS & RHS so that Eq. (4) is invalid. From Eq. (5), the concentration  $\phi(\eta)$  depends on  $y$  only whilst RHS  $\frac{C(x, y) - C_\infty}{C_w - C_0}$  depends on  $x, y$ . Hence, there is a disagreement between LHS & RHS so that Eq. (5) is invalid.

The same errors were revealed by Pantokratoras [2-5]. As indicated by Pantokratoras [5], the similarity variable ( $\eta$ ) was defined by Minkowycz and Sparrow [6] as:

$$\eta = \left[ \frac{g\beta(T_w - T_\infty)}{4\nu^2} \right]^{1/4} \frac{y}{x^{1/4}} \quad (6)$$

to agree with their energy equation.

$$u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \quad (7)$$

Awad [7] revealed recently the same errors.

## References

1. Waqas, M., Khan, M. I., Farooq, S., Hayat, T., Alsaedi, A., Significance of improved Fourier-Fick laws in non-linear convective micropolar material stratified flow with variable properties, *Thermal Science*, 23 (2019), 6B, pp. 3809-3815.  
<https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI171027285W>  
<http://www.doiserbia.nb.rs/Article.aspx?ID=0354-98361800285W#.X9VOL8DXLIV>
2. Pantokratoras, A., Discussion: ‘Homogeneous–Heterogeneous Reactions in Boundary-Layer Flow of a Nanofluid Near the Forward Stagnation Point of a Cylinder,’ (Zhao, Q., Xu, H., and Tao, L., 2017, ASME J. Heat Transfer, 139(3), p. 034502),” ASME Journal of Heat Transfer 140 (10) (2018), 105501.
3. Pantokratoras, A., Discussion: ‘Three-Dimensional Stagnation Flow and Heat Transfer of a Viscous, Compressible Fluid on a Flat Plate,’ (Mozayyeni, H. R., and Rahimi, A. B., 2013, ASME J. Heat Transfer, 135(10), p. 101702), ASME Journal of Heat Transfer 140 (11) (2018), 115501.
4. Pantokratoras, A., Discussion: “Heat and Mass Transfer Analysis in the Stagnation Region of Maxwell Fluid With Chemical Reaction Over a Stretched Surface,” [T. Hayat, M. I. Khan, M. Imtiaz, and A. Alsaedi, 2018, ASME J. Thermal Sci. Eng. Appl., 10(1), p. 011002], ASME Journal of Thermal Science and Engineering Applications 11 (1) (2019), 015502.
5. Pantokratoras, A., Comment on the paper “Joule heating and viscous dissipation in flow of nanomaterial by a rotating disk, Tasawar Hayat, Muhammad Ijaz Khan, Ahmed Alsaedi, Muhammad Imran Khan, International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer, 89(2017) 190–197”, International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer 103 (2019), 62-63.  
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0735193319300405>

6. Minkowycz, W. J., Sparrow, E. M., Numerical solution scheme for local nonsimilarity boundary-layer analysis, Numerical Heat Transfer, Part B: Fundamentals 1 (1) (1978), 69-85.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10407797809412161>
7. Awad, M. M., Comments on “Optimization of entropy generation and dissipative nonlinear radiative Von Karman's swirling flow with Soret and Dufour effects”, Journal of Molecular Liquids 321 (2021), 114749.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.114935>  
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167732220371774>

Accepted: 17.12.2020