NUMERICAL SIMULATION ON THE EMISSION OF NO\textsubscript{x} FROM THE COMBUSTION OF NATURAL GAS IN THE SIDEWALL BURNER
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The NO\textsubscript{x} produced from industrial facilities is a serious environmental problem in China. In this work, the NO\textsubscript{x} emission from the combustion of natural gas in the sidewall burner was investigated by using computational fluid dynamics method. To achieve the low-NO\textsubscript{x} emission, the sidewall burner structure was optimized involving the width of the primary premixed gas outlet, the secondary fuel gas nozzles number and angular spacing. The mixing rate of fuel gas and air could be improved by increasing the width of primary premixed gas outlet, and the lowest NO\textsubscript{x} emission of 32.8 ppm was achieved at the width of 8 mm. The NO\textsubscript{x} emission was remarkably reduced with the increasing of nozzles number, where 28.33 ppm of NO\textsubscript{x} emission and 357.35 ppm of CO were obtained at 4 nozzles. The combustion performance and NO\textsubscript{x} emission was improved as well as NO\textsubscript{x} emission was reduced at the angular spacing of 55°, compared to that of 30°, 35°, 40°, 45°, 50° and 60°.
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1. Introduction

Nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{x}) are one of the main atmospheric pollutants, which could cause acid rain and photochemical smog [1, 2]. Gaseous fuels have been widely used to meet the stringent emission requirements [3]. Although the combustion of gaseous fuels can greatly reduce the emission of solid particulate matters and sulfur oxides, the NO\textsubscript{x} emission is still a problem that needs to be solved.

Nowadays, many efforts have been done to reduce the emission of NO\textsubscript{x} by optimizing operating parameters. It has been reported that the NO\textsubscript{x} emission could be reduced by optimizing excess air ratios and staged air flow percentage [4]. Li et al. [5] studied the NO\textsubscript{x} emission characteristics at different loads, and investigated the combustion and NO\textsubscript{x} emission characteristics of real combustors. Lee et al. [6] investigated the effect of fuel distribution ratio on NO\textsubscript{x} emission and found that the increased distribution ratio would benefit reducing NO\textsubscript{x} emission. Nevertheless, reducing NO\textsubscript{x}
emission by changing the operating parameters was limited and would lead to a poor combustion performance.

Reducing NOx emission by optimizing the arrangement of burners or the structure of a single burner is another efficient way [7]. Nhan et al. [8] designed a newly flue-gas internal recirculation (FIR) burner, which may greatly diminish NOx emission. Chen et al. [9] designed a burner structure which could form the folded flame, and the results showed that the moderated burner was a benefit in reducing NOx emission and improving combustion performance. Iyogun et al. [10] changed the nozzle geometry and swirl intensity to obtain the optimal combustion condition. Fan et al. [11] investigated the effect of the distance between fire and sidewall by experiment and found that by changing the term pool, the more intense combustion was acquired. However, due to the limitation of measurement technologies and experimental conditions, the details of combustion cannot be observed in the experiment.

Recently, with the development of computing power and methodology, computational fluid dynamics (CFD) calculations became a popular research method for burner structure optimization, which presented more details in the combustion process. It was reported that the combustion performance could be evaluated by the CO contribution condition and the temperature fields by CFD calculation [12-14]. Besides, NOx emission was another important index to evaluate the burner performance [15]

In this study, the single low-NOx sidewall burner model was established by SOLIDWORKS and evaluated by CFD simulations. The effects of structure characteristics (width, number, and angular spacing of nozzles) on NOx reduction and combustion performance were investigated in our research. Besides, the comparative analysis between the burner in operation and the optimized burner was also conducted in this study. The results gave a certain practical value for the combustion characteristics of the whole furnace.

2. Methodology

2.1. Research object

Fig. 1(a) illustrates the schematic of the sidewall burner, which mainly includes the primary premixed gas inlet, secondary fuel gas, and air inlet. The primary premixed gas of the sidewall burner is mixed at the front-end of the ejector, then exits from the primary nozzle. Meanwhile, the secondary fuel gas and air are injected from secondary fuel gas nozzles and the air cylinder, respectively. The 3D geometric model is also exhibited in Fig. 1(b), and the cross-section of the burner built by SOLIDWORKS software is shown in Fig. 1(c).
Fig. 1. Configuration of sidewall burner: (a) schematic of the burner, (b) 3D geometric model of the burner, (c) cross-section of the burner

The main structural parameters of the sidewall burner in operation are listed in Table 1. The width of the primary premixed gas outlet is 16 mm, the number of secondary fuel gas nozzles is 3, and the angular spacing of secondary fuel gas nozzles is 45°.

Table 1. The main structural parameters of the sidewall burner in operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary premix length</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The maximum radius of the exit of the primary nozzle</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The exit angle of the primary nozzle</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the secondary fuel pipe</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of secondary fuel gas nozzles</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary fuel gas nozzle diameter</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary fuel pipe diameter</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The angular spacing of secondary fuel gas nozzle</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The width of the primary premixed gas outlet</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was worth noting that a sidewall burner in operation furnace structure is used as the combustion field in numerical simulation to evaluate the combustion performance. The furnace length of the sidewall burner is 325 mm, and the furnace diameter is set at 500 mm, which is taken as an example in the following simulation calculation.

2.2. Mesh

As shown in Fig. 2, the grid was modelled by Pointwise software. Besides, the geometric model was divided into five parts, due to the relative complex construction of the sidewall burner, which could greatly improve the quality of the grid and the calculation efficiency. The Fig. 2 (a)-(e) represent primary premixed pipeline fluid domain (a), secondary fuel injection fluid domain (b), air runner fluid domain (c), fuel line fluid domain (d) and furnace fluid domain (e) respectively. The components were assembled to form a complete computational fluid domain.

Fig. 2. Integral grid of the fluid domain in the simplified model of the burner

In addition, in order to ensure the computational performance of the computational fluid domain, a grid independent test was also performed with the original burner. There different meshes were analyzed by NOx emissions. The cell number of four meshes (Mesh-1, Mesh-2, Mesh-3 and Mesh-4) are $1.29\times10^6$, $3.28\times10^6$, $6.34\times10^6$ and $8.24\times10^6$ respectively. As shown in Fig. 3, the solution of this case is independent of the grid size after the cell number is equal or greater than $3.28\times10^6$. Although the Mesh-3 and Mesh-4 need more computing resource than Mesh-2, it can provide more detailed in-flame information, which is important in this article. Moreover, the calculation results of
Mesh-2, Mesh-3 and Mesh-4 are similar. Therefore, the Mesh-2 was a balance between computing resource and grid quality, which was adopted in this study.

Fig. 3. Integral grid of the fluid domain in the simplified model: (a) Temperature, (b) NOx

2.3. Description of the CFD method and boundary conditions

2.3.1 CFD method

The FLUENT was used for this calculation. Using the realizable k-ε model for turbulence, the eddy dissipation concept (EDC) model for combustion, the Discrete Ordinates (DO) model for radiation, the JL-2 four-step reaction mechanism for methane, the five-step reaction mechanism for NOx, and default parameters for model parameters.

1. Combustion mechanism: Based on the formation mechanism of NOx, the emission of prompt NOx was limited, which could be neglected [16]. Besides, the fuel gas was ideally considered as methane (hereinafter referred to as fuel gas), and the fuel NOx emission was limited in the combustion process [17]. That is, the thermal NOx accounted for a large proportion of total NOx emission, and, in this work, the NOx formation was calculated based on the thermal NOx.

In order to simplify the calculation, the JL-2 methane mechanism, the NOx generation combined with the five-step reaction were applied in this work, and the mechanism of JL-2 methane could be calculated as follows [18; 19]:

\[
CH_4 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow CO + 2H_2 \\
CH_4 + H_2O \rightarrow CO + 3H_2 \\
H_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow H_2O \\
CO + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2
\]

(1) (2) (3) (4)

The reaction mechanism of nitrogen oxides was used by the overall package [20]:

\[N_2 + O_2 \rightarrow 2NO\]  

(5)

Compared with the default two-step methane reaction and the NOx reaction mechanism, the combustion mechanism used in this work made the numerical simulation calculation more reasonable.

2. Mathematical model: The mathematical model was vital in the numerical simulation calculation, which included the flow model, combustion model, and radiation model. These mathematical models were described in brief below. Turbulence flow was modelled by the realizable k-ε model, which introduced the items about rotation and curvature [21]. Since turbulence anisotropy was not significant, the realizable k-ε model was allowed in this numerical simulation calculation [22].
The EDC model was used. Using this model, the detailed chemical reaction mechanism in turbulent flow could be evaluated [23]. It has been found the EDC model was appropriate to calculate the process involved in the chemical-turbulence interaction [24; 25]. Besides, the EDC model could effectively reduce computational simulation time [26]. Thus, it was believed that the EDC model could be more suitable for simulating the burner. The radiative heat transfer of the mathematical model was modelled by the DO model, which could meet the specular reflection or translucent situation [27].

2.3.2 Boundary conditions

The numerical simulation was carried out at the burner load of 0.337 MW, and the excess air coefficient was 1.1. In order to avoid a significant change in the density of flue gas due to change in the temperature and pressure, the mass flow inlet was adopted for both fuel gas and air channel. The mass flows of fuel gas and air were 0.0068 kg/s and 0.1787 kg/s, respectively. The boundary conditions, including the mass flow rate, temperature, and hydraulic radius, were assumed as a uniform density distribution. The specific experimental settings were presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Inlet boundary conditions of burner

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Mass flow / (kg/s)</th>
<th>Material composition</th>
<th>Mass fraction / -</th>
<th>Inlet temperature / °C</th>
<th>Inlet pressure / MPa</th>
<th>Hydraulic diameter / m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary gas</td>
<td>0.0404</td>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.13712</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixture</td>
<td></td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary air</td>
<td>0.1429</td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.101325</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary fuel gas</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.13712</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The outlet boundary condition of the burner was determined as the outflow. Meanwhile, the hydraulic diameter calculation formula was calculated by equation 6, where A represents the flow field, L represents the wetted perimeter.

\[ D = \frac{4A}{L} \]  

(6)

2.4. Model validation

For model validation, the calculated temperature at the outlet of the sidewall burner was compared to the experimental results. The portable thermocouples (SP30R, OMEGA, USA) were used to measure the area-weighted average temperature in experiments. As shown in Fig. 4, the relative error between calculation and experiment is less than 10% at different burner loads (0.1685 MW, 0.337 MW, 0.407 MW). Although the temperature was measured by the uninsulated high-precision thermocouple, the loss of heat through thermal radiation was unavoidable. However, the numerical study was conducted under adiabatic conditions. It was led to larger temperature deviations between the measured and calculated temperature values. That is, the actual temperature was higher than
measured in the experiment and that is the reason why the relative error between calculation and experiment is 10%.

For further model validation, the NOx emission was compared with experimental results. The flue gas analyzer (brain, 3040A, China) was used to measure the NOx emission in experiments (61.11 ppm), and the relative error between calculation and experiment is less than 5%.

After the validation and comparison, it was concluded that the numerical model in this work is feasible and can be used for further investigation. Fig. 5 describes the actual combustion conditions of the sidewall burner in the furnace.

![Image](image_url)

**Fig.4. Numerical simulation and experimental temperature at the outlet of the sidewall burner**

![Image](image_url)

**Fig. 5. Combustion conditions of the sidewall burner in the furnace: (a) front, (b) side**

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Influence of the primary premixed gas nozzle structure on NOx reduction and combustion performance

The width of the primary premixed gas outlet was a vital parameter for combustion, which was directly related to combustion performance. Fig. 6 showed that the NOx distributions at various primary premixed gas outlet widths Δ in the furnace. It could be observed that with the decrease of the primary premixed gas outlet width, the NOx emission decreased from 77.2 to 53.6 ppm rapidly and then kept stable at 32 ppm.

![Image](image_url)

**Fig. 6. NOx emission at different primary premixed gas outlet widths**

This phenomenon could be explained by the following reasons. It was indicated that the fuel-air mixture had a significant effect on the temperature field and NOx emission [28; 29]. Fig. 7 showed
that the temperature distributions at different gas outlet widths. It can be concluded that the decrease of the gas outlet width lead to the increase of velocity of the fluid. However, the high velocity of fluid may lead to backflow in the furnace, which has an adverse impact on combustion performance.

![Fig. 7. Temperature contours at different primary premixed gas outlet width (X=0.2m)](image)

This result could also be explained by the contours of the CO concentration distribution along the horizontal plane of the furnace, as shown in Fig. 8. According to the principle of the hydrocarbon fuel combustion process, the shape of the flame could be reflected by the CO concentration approximately [30]. As shown in Figs. 8(a)-(d), the flame formed on both sides of the wall, and with the decrease of the width, the flame distribution became more uniform. However, when the primary premixed gas outlet width was more narrow, the fuel gas was affected by the backflow effect, resulting in the gathering in the center of the furnace. It should be noted that due to the structure of the sidewall burner, the concentration of the O$_2$ was insufficient in this zone. Therefore, the incomplete combustion situation was becoming more serious.

![Fig. 8. CO concentration distribution at different primary premixed gas outlet width](image)

3.2. Influence of secondary fuel gas nozzle structure on NOx reduction and combustion performance

It was reported that the nozzle structure would change the fuel-air mixture, affecting the combustion performance [31]. The effect of the number of secondary fuel gas nozzles and angular spacing were investigated. The simulation was carried out at the boundary conditions shown in Tab. 2.

3.2.1 Number of secondary fuel gas nozzles

The number of secondary fuel gas nozzles had an impact on the distribution of fuel in the furnace. It was necessary to investigate the influence of the number of secondary fuel gas nozzles. In simulation calculation, the number of nozzles was set at 1, 3, 4 and 5, respectively. The geometric model was shown in Fig. 9.

![Fig. 9. Geometric model of nozzles on the secondary fuel pump](image)
The CH$_4$ concentration distribution was studied to evaluate the combustion characteristics. It could be observed from Fig. 10 that the CH$_4$ injection distance decreased with the increase of the number of nozzles, which was ascribed to the reduction of partial pressure.

![Fig. 10. Mole fraction contours of CH$_4$ in the XZ plane](image)

Fig. 10. Mole fraction contours of CH$_4$ in the XZ plane

Fig. 10 showed the concentration of CH$_4$ at different cross-sections. It can be found that the mass fraction of CH$_4$ dropped rapidly. Due to the consumption of CH$_4$, indicating that the combustion process was intensive in this field. However, the relatively low concentration of CH$_4$ was observed from $x = 0.25$ to 0.4 m. It could be ascribed to the structure of the sidewall and the continuous combustion process.

![Fig. 11. Average mass fraction of CH$_4$ at the different number of nozzles](image)

Fig. 11. Average mass fraction of CH$_4$ at the different number of nozzles

The contours of temperature in the XZ plane were shown in Fig. 12. It could be observed that the high-temperature fields were mainly distributed in the upper part of the furnace. However, in the case of 4 nozzles, the smaller high-temperature field was generated, indicating that a uniform distribution of fuel and air was provided, which might further decrease the NOx emission [32, 33].

![Fig. 12. Temperature contours at the different numbers of nozzle](image)

Fig. 12. Temperature contours at the different numbers of nozzle

The NOx and CO emission were shown in Fig. 13. The results showed that the emissions of NOx and CO were 28.8 ppm and 678 ppm in the case of 3 nozzles, respectively. The single nozzle showed a poor combustion condition, which generated 37.83 ppm of NOx and 1189 ppm of CO. This result was mainly due to the incomplete combustion, causing by the lack of O$_2$ at the center of the furnace. More significantly, in the case of 5 nozzles, the lowest CO concentration could be achieved, indicating that the CH$_4$ and O$_2$ mixed well. The 5 nozzles case showed a relatively low velocity in the nozzles, leading to a longer residence time in the furnace and an increment of NOx emission [34].
3.2.2 Angular spacing of secondary fuel gas nozzles

The angular spacing of the secondary fuel gas nozzles was an important parameter that directly related to the fuel distribution. The effect of the angular spacing was investigated, and with the angular spacing changed from 30° to 60°, as shown in Fig. 14.

![Fig. 14. Geometric diagram of different nozzle angular spacing’s](image)

In order to evaluate the distribution of fuel gas, the contours of the mole fraction of CH₄ were shown in Fig. 15. The angular spacing of the sidewall burner in operation was 45°, and the concentration of NOx was 57.8 ppm in this condition. With the angular spacing increased from 30° to 60°, the distance between the directions of the fuel jets was increased, and the interference of jet fuels was weakened.

![Fig. 15. Mole fraction contour of CH₄ at different angular spacing’s of nozzle](image)

Fig. 16 showed that the distribution of O₂ mass fraction with different nozzle angular spacings. It could be seen that the lowest O₂ mass fraction was obtained at the nozzle angular spacing of 55°, because of the distribution of O₂ on both sides of the primary premixed gas nozzle. The combustion process was sequentially improved together with the decline of NOx emission.

As shown in Fig. 17, the NOx characteristics could be described by the contours of the NOx distribution. It could be noted that with the angular spacing increased from 30° to 55°, the NOx emission decreased, while a slight increase was observed at an angular spacing of 60°. It could be explained by the following reasons. On the one hand, with the angular spacing increased, the negative
pressure between nozzles reduced, leading to a decrease of O$_2$ concentration in this field. On the other hand, the O$_2$ concentration might have an impact on the combustion characteristics, and the lower NOx emission would be found with the longer angular spacing [35]. However, with the angular spacing further increased, it might cause the interference with an adjacent burner, which was not favourable for reducing emissions of NOx.

![Fig. 16. The distribution of O$_2$ mass fraction](image)

Fig. 16. The distribution of O$_2$ mass fraction

![Fig. 17. Mole fraction contour of NO$_X$ at different angular spacings $\theta$ ($X=0.2 \ m$)](image)

Fig. 17. Mole fraction contour of NO$_X$ at different angular spacings $\theta$ ($X=0.2 \ m$)

4. Conclusion

The structure of single low- NOx burner involving width, number and the angular spacing of nozzle were optimized regarding the NOx reduction and combustion performance. The lowest NOx emission of 32.8 ppm was obtained at the width of 8 mm, while 28.33 ppm emission for NOx was achieved at the nozzle number of 4. The effect of the angular spacing of nozzles was firstly studied in the sidewall burner, showing that NOx emission was decreased with the increase of the angular spacing. The optimum angular spacing was 55° among the cases of 30°, 35°, 40°, 45°, 50° and 60°.
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