The fractional power series method is used to solve 2- and 3-D fractional wave-like models with variable coefficients. The fractional derivatives are described in the Caputo sense. Two examples are considered to show the effectiveness and convenience of the method.
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Introduction

Many phenomena in engineering, physics, chemistry, and other sciences can be described extremely successfully by models using fractional calculus [1-6]. In this work, we will consider the fractional wave-like equations which model anomalous diffusive and sub-diffusive systems [7-10]:

\[ D_\alpha^\beta f(x, y, z)D_x^\beta u + g(x, y, z)D_x^\beta u + h(x, y, z)D_x^\beta u \]
\[ 0 < x < a, \quad 0 < y < b, \quad 0 < z < c, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad t > 0 \] (1)

subject to the Neumann boundary conditions:

\[ u(0, y, z, t) = \mu_1(y, z, t), \quad u(a, y, z, t) = \mu_2(y, z, t), \]
\[ u(x, 0, z, t) = \nu_1(y, z, t), \quad u(x, b, z, t) = \nu_2(x, z, t), \]
\[ u(x, y, 0, t) = \gamma_1(x, y, t), \quad u(x, y, c, t) = \gamma_2(x, y, t) \] (2)

and the initial conditions:

\[ u(x, y, z, 0) = \phi(x, y, z), \quad u_r(x, y, z, 0) = \psi(x, y, z) \] (3)

where \( \alpha (1 < \alpha \leq 2) \) is a parameter describing the Caputo fractional derivative, \( \beta_j (1 < \beta_j \leq 2) \) \( (j = 1, 2, 3) \) are three parameter describing the order of the Caputo fractional space derivative, \( u_r \) – the rate of change of temperature at a point over time, \( u = u(x, y, z, t) \) – temperature as a function of time and space, \( f(x, y, z), g(x, y, z), \) and \( h(x, y, z) \) – any functions in \( x, y, \) and \( z \).
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In this paper, we will use fractional power series method (FPSM) [11] to solve 2- and 3-D fractional wave-like models with variable coefficients [7-9, 11, 12].

Basic definitions of fractional calculus

In this section, we review some basic definitions and properties of fractional calculus theory which are useful of remainder of this paper. See [12-14] for details.

Definition 1. The fractional derivative of \( f(x) \) in Riemann-Liouville sense is defined:

\[
D^\alpha f(x) = \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-s)^{m-\alpha-1} f(s)ds
\]

for \( m-1 < \alpha \leq m \), \( m \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), \( x > 0 \), and \( f \in C^m_0 \).

The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative has certain disadvantages when trying to model real world phenomena with fractional differential equations. Therefore, we shall use a modified fractional differential \( D^\alpha \) proposed by Caputo in his work on the theory of viscoelasticity [1, 4-6, 14].

Definition 2. The fractional derivative of \( f(x) \) in Caputo sense is defined:

\[
D^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-s)^{m-\alpha-1} f^{(m)}(as)ds
\]

for \( m-1 < \alpha \leq m \), \( m \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), \( x > 0 \), and \( f \in C^m_0 \).

The following basic properties hold true [11]:

\[
D^\alpha J^\alpha f(x) = f(x)
\]

\[
J^\alpha D^\alpha f(x) = f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f^{(k)}(0^+) \frac{x^k}{k!}, \quad x > 0
\]

Fractional power series representation

In this section, we will recall important definition and theorem related with the classical power series into the fractional case in the sense of the Caputo definition [1, 4-6, 11, 14], the method can be considered as an extension of the Taylor series method [15, 16].

Definition 3. A power series representation of the form:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (t-t_0)^{n\alpha} = c_0 + c_1 (t-t_0)^{\alpha} + c_2 (t-t_0)^{2\alpha} + \cdots
\]

is called a FPS about \( t_0 \), where \( 0 \leq m-1 < \alpha \leq m \), \( m \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), and \( t \geq t_0 \) is a variable and \( c_n \) – the coefficients of the series.

Theorem 1. Suppose that the FPS \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^{n\alpha} \) has radius of convergence \( r > 0 \). If \( f(t) \) is a function defined \( f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^{n\alpha} \) on \( 0 \leq t < r \), then for \( m-1 < \alpha \leq m \) and \( 0 \leq t < r \), we have:

\[
D^\alpha f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \frac{\Gamma(n\alpha+1)}{\Gamma([n-1]\alpha+1)} t^{(n-1)\alpha}
\]
Analysis of the FPSM

In this section, we present the FPSM method for multi-dimensional fractional wave-like models with variable coefficients.

Suppose that the solution of eq. (1) takes the form:

$$u(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_k(x, y, z) t^{\alpha_k}$$

(10)

The components $\omega_k(x, y, z)$ ($k = 1, 2, \ldots$) will be determined recursively. Using eq. (3), we can get:

$$a_k(x, y, z) = \phi(x, y, z)$$

(11)

From Theorem 1, one gets:

$$D^\alpha_x u(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_k(x, y, z) \Gamma(\alpha_k + 1) t^{\alpha_k(k-1)}$$

(12)

From eq. (10), it is easy to see that:

$$D^\beta_x u = D^\beta_x \omega_0(x, y, z) + t^{\alpha_x} D^\beta_x \omega_1(x, y, z) + \cdots$$

(13)

$$D^\beta_y u = D^\beta_y \omega_0(x, y, z) + t^{\alpha_y} D^\beta_y \omega_1(x, y, z) + \cdots$$

(14)

$$D^\beta_z u = D^\beta_z \omega_0(x, y, z) + t^{\alpha_z} D^\beta_z \omega_1(x, y, z) + \cdots$$

(15)

Substituting eqs. (12)-(15) into eq. (1), we obtain:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_k(x, y, z) \frac{\Gamma(k \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(k \alpha - 1)} t^{\alpha_k(k-1)} = f(x, y, z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha_k} D^\beta_x \omega_k(x, y, z) + g(x, y, z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha_k} D^\beta_y \omega_k(x, y, z) + h(x, y, z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha_k} D^\beta_z \omega_k(x, y, z)$$

(16)

Comparing the coefficients of $t^{\alpha_k}$ in eq. (16), we get:

$$\omega_k(x, y, z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha(k-1) + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)} (f D^\beta_x \omega_{k-1} + g D^\beta_y \omega_{k-1} + h D^\beta_z \omega_{k-1})$$

(17)

We, therefore, obtain the solution:

$$u(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha_k} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha(k-1) + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)} (f D^\beta_x \omega_{k-1} + g D^\beta_y \omega_{k-1} + h D^\beta_z \omega_{k-1})$$

(18)

Worked examples

We will use the FPSM method to two different examples about 2- and 3-D wave-like models to illustrate the accuracy and efficiency of the method.
Example 1. Consider the 2-D initial boundary value problems (IBVP):

$$D^2_t u = \frac{1}{2} \left( y^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + x^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right), \quad 0 < x, \quad y < 1, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad t > 0 \quad (19)$$

subject to the Neumann boundary conditions:

$$u_x(0, y, t) = 0, \quad u(1, y, t) = 2 \cosh t,$$
$$u_y(x, 0, t) = 0, \quad u(x, 1, t) = 2 \cosh t \quad (20)$$

and the initial conditions:

$$u(x, y, 0) = x^2 + y^2, \quad u_t(x, y, 0) = 0 \quad (21)$$

To solve the problem, we write the solution of eq. (19) as:

$$u(x, y, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_k (x, y) t^{k\alpha} \quad (22)$$

From eq. (9):

$$D^2_t u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma((k-1)\alpha + 1)} \omega_k (x, y) t^{(k-1)\alpha} =$$
$$= \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \omega_0 (x, y) + \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \omega_1 (x, y) t^\alpha + \frac{\Gamma(3\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)} \omega_2 (x, y) t^{2\alpha} + \cdots \quad (23)$$

From eq. (18), it is easy to see that:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 \omega_k}{\partial x^2} k^{k\alpha} = \frac{\partial^2 \omega_0}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \omega_1}{\partial x^2} t^\alpha + \frac{\partial^2 \omega_2}{\partial x^2} t^{2\alpha} + \cdots \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 \omega_k}{\partial y^2} k^{k\alpha} = \frac{\partial^2 \omega_0}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \omega_1}{\partial y^2} t^\alpha + \frac{\partial^2 \omega_2}{\partial y^2} t^{2\alpha} + \cdots \quad (25)$$

Substituting the expansion of eqs. (23)-(25) into eq. (19) yields that:

$$\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \omega_0 (x, y) + \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \omega_1 (x, y) t^\alpha + \frac{\Gamma(3\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)} \omega_2 (x, y) t^{2\alpha} + \cdots =$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( y^2 \frac{\partial^2 \omega_0}{\partial x^2} + x^2 \frac{\partial^2 \omega_0}{\partial y^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( y^2 \frac{\partial^2 \omega_1}{\partial x^2} + x^2 \frac{\partial^2 \omega_1}{\partial y^2} \right) t^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \left( y^2 \frac{\partial^2 \omega_2}{\partial x^2} + x^2 \frac{\partial^2 \omega_2}{\partial y^2} \right) t^{2\alpha} + \cdots \quad (26)$$

By comparing the coefficients of $t^{k\alpha}$ in both sides of the equation, one gets:

$$\omega_0 (x, y) = x^2 + y^2,$$
$$\omega_k (x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha (k-1) + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)} \left( \frac{1}{2} y^2 \frac{\partial^2 \omega_{k-1}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \frac{\partial^2 \omega_{k-1}}{\partial y^2} \right), \quad (k = 1, 2, \cdots) \quad (27)$$
Thus
\[ \omega_1(x, y) = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \]
(28)
\[ \omega_2(x, y) = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)} \]
(29)
\[ \omega_3(x, y) = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{\Gamma(3\alpha + 1)} \]
(30)
\[ \omega_4(x, y) = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{\Gamma(4\alpha + 1)} \]
(31)
\[ \vdots \]

Therefore, we can obtain the following series expansion solution:
\[ u(x, y, t) = (x^2 + y^2) \left[ 1 + \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} + \frac{t^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)} + \frac{t^{3\alpha}}{\Gamma(3\alpha + 1)} + \frac{t^{4\alpha}}{\Gamma(4\alpha + 1)} + \cdots \right] \]
(32)

So, the solution for the standard wave-like model (\( \alpha = 2 \)) is given by:
\[ u(x, y, t) = (x^2 + y^2) \left[ 1 + \frac{t^2}{2!} + \frac{t^4}{4!} + \frac{t^6}{6!} + \frac{t^8}{8!} + \cdots + \frac{t^{2n}}{(2n)!} + \cdots \right] \]
(33)

This series has the closed form:
\[ u(x, y, t) = (x^2 + y^2) \cosh t \]
(34)

which is the exact solution of the problem (19)-(21) when \( \alpha = 2 \).

**Example 2.** In this example, we consider the 3-D inhomogeneous IBVP:
\[ D_t^\alpha u = x^2 y^2 z^2 - 1 \left( \frac{u_{xx}}{3y^2 z^2} + \frac{u_{xy}}{x^2 z^2} + \frac{u_{zz}}{x^2 y^2} \right), \quad 0 < x, y, z < 1, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad t > 0 \]
(35)

subject to the Neumann boundary conditions
\[ u(0, y, z, t) = \cosh t, \quad u(l, y, z, t) = \cosh t \cos y z, \]
\[ u(x, 0, z, t) = \cosh t, \quad u(x, l, z, t) = \cosh t \cos x z, \]
\[ u(x, y, 0, t) = \cosh t, \quad u(x, y, l, t) = \cosh t \cos x y \]
(36)

and the initial conditions
\[ u(x, y, z, 0) = \cos x y z, \quad u_t(x, y, z, 0) = 0 \]
(37)

To solve the problem, proceeding as in the previous example, the solution of eq. (35) can be written:
\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_0(x, y, z) &= \cos(xyz). \\
\text{In order to complete the formulation of the FPS method, we may compute the functions } D_{t\alpha} u, u_{xx}, u_{xy}, \text{ and } u_{zz}. \\
\omega_k(x, y, z) &= \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{3x^2 y^2 z^2} \right), (k =1, 2, \ldots)
\end{align*}
\]

Thus:

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_1(x, y, z) &= \frac{\cos(xyz)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \\
\omega_2(x, y, z) &= \frac{\cos(xyz)}{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)} \\
\omega_3(x, y, z) &= \frac{\cos(xyz)}{\Gamma(3\alpha + 1)} \\
&\vdots
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, we can obtain the following series expansion solution:

\[
u(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_k(x, y, z) t^{k\alpha}
\]

Thus, the solution for the standard wave-like model \((\alpha = 2)\) is given by:

\[
u(x, y, t) = \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{2!} + \frac{t^4}{4!} + \frac{t^6}{6!} + \frac{t^8}{8!} + \cdots \right] \cos(xyz)
\]
This series has the closed form:

$$u(x, y, z, t) = \cosh t \cos xyz$$ (49)

which is the exact solution of the problem (35)-(37).

**Discussion and conclusion**

This paper applies the FPSM to solve 2- and 3-D fractional wave-like models with variable coefficients with great success. This method is a natural extension of the Taylor series method [15, 16] to fractional calculus.

Yang et al. [17] suggested the fractional series expansion method for local fractional calculus, and it was extended to general fractional calculus in 2015 by Li and Zhu [18]. The both methods can be extended to fractional differential equations and fractal differential equations [19-32].

To be concluded, this paper shows that fractional power series method (FPSM) is a simple but effective method for fractional calculus, the results given in Examples 1 and 2 are in excellent agreement with the exact ones, and this paper concludes that FPSM method is a straightforward and convenient method for fractional calculus.
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