RESEARCH ON THE PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT OF A TWO-SHAFT GAS TURBINE WITH A VARIABLE AREA NOZZLE POWER TURBINE
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Both an increase in atmospheric temperature and a decrease in atmospheric pressure can lead to the degradation of the maximum allowable power output (MAPO) or the thermal efficiency of a gas turbine. In order to reduce these adverse effects, this paper provides a simulated study on the improvement of the MAPO and the variations of the thermal efficiency due to the fuel flow and variable area nozzle control under different atmospheric conditions. Simulation results indicate that the MAPO increases with the power turbine nozzle area, while the thermal efficiency shows a parabola trend. With the same increment of the power turbine nozzle area, the improvement of the MAPO goes up as the atmospheric temperature rises and the decrease of thermal efficiency is alleviated. Analyses show that the slow degradation of the compressor isentropic efficiency is a key factor that enables the MAPO to increase significantly and the thermal efficiency to remain almost constant.

Key words: Two-shaft gas turbine; Power output improvement; Variable area nozzle; Performance simulation

1. Introduction

The gas turbine, an engine with high efficiency, high power density, and a long service life, has been widely applied in many fields, such as aviation, shipping, power generation, mechanical drives, etc. In natural gas pipelines, the use of gas turbine-driven compressor sets can help to reduce the load of local power grid significantly, which makes it the first choice for power equipment in underdeveloped areas with a lack of electric supply.

However, the maximum allowable power output (MAPO) and the thermal efficiency of the gas turbine are sensitive to change in atmospheric conditions. Power output decreases by 0.5-1.2% with every 1 K rise in atmospheric temperature at ISO conditions (288.15 K and 101.3 kPa) [1-4]. Meanwhile, the thermal efficiency drops significantly. Taking a SGT94.3 gas turbine as an example, the ratio of the atmospheric temperature change to the thermal efficiency is approximately -0.1%/K [5]. The decline in the gas turbine performance directly affects the transportation capacity of pipelines. Once the power output of single unit is unable to meet the gas transportation demand, carriers have to adopt an operating mode of dual units working in parallel to make up for the power shortage. This may result in both gas turbines operating in part load conditions as well as the further reduction of the thermal efficiency of the two units.

In order to overcome the performance degradation due to high temperature, methods involving
inlet air-cooling [6], intermediate cooling [7], wet compression [8] and other technologies have been successfully applied. However, these technologies entail additional equipment installation, which not only makes the entire cycle system more complex, but also increases operating costs. In addition to above methods, operating optimization based on fuel flow (FF) and variable area nozzle (VAN) control has been carried out for many years [9-14]. Compared with pure FF control, this method can improve the operating flexibility by adjusting the power distribution of each turbine [10]. Many studies have put an emphasis on improving the part load thermal efficiency by closing the VAN of power turbine (PT). Gu et al [11] have investigated on a three-shaft gas turbine, the thermal efficiency is improved by approximately 1.0-1.5% under part load conditions with initial temperature remaining at the designed level. Haglind [12] has conducted simulation studies on single-shaft and two-shaft gas turbines. The results show that closing the VAN of the PT has an adverse effect on the thermal efficiency of that two gas turbines. As gas turbines seldom operating in a full load condition, the research on power improvement by increasing the PT nozzle area has not been attracted enough attention. Bringhenti et al. [13, 14] have studied the variations of main operating parameters of two gas turbines, such as the initial temperature, thermal efficiency, surge margin, etc., under the given power output conditions with a change of the PT inlet stagger angle. The results show that the power output at ISO conditions can increase by 2.5% and 4.3% by increasing the fuel supply and opening the VAN of the PT. However, among the existing research, the power improvement and the variations of thermal efficiency through FF and VAN control under different atmospheric conditions have not been quantitatively analyzed as well as the influence mechanism.

In this paper, the research on MAPO improvement based on FF and VAN control has been carried out with reference to the degradation caused by an elevated atmospheric temperature in summer or a reduced atmospheric pressure at high altitudes. A gas path thermodynamic performance simulation model is established based on a two-shaft gas turbine. The accuracy of the model is verified by comparing the experiment data. On this basis, the MAPO improvement by FF and VAN control has been quantitatively studied under different atmospheric conditions, as well as the variations of thermal efficiency. In addition, the reasons for these differences are analyzed in detail from the perspective of changes in the components’ performance, especially for the compressor.

2. Performance Simulation Model

In this section, the thermodynamic model of three major components in gas turbine are presented. According to the gas path structure of a two-shaft gas turbine, the matching method for the components’ operating points based on multi-dimensional Newton-Raphson iteration is developed.

2.1. Component Modeling

The gas path structure of GE LM2500+SAC, a typical two-shaft gas turbine is shown in Fig. 1. It features a single shaft gas generator and a PT. The gas generator (GG) consists of a high pressure compressor (HPC), a combustor (CB) and a high pressure turbine (HPT), and the HPC is driven by the HPT. The GG is mechanically uncoupled from the PT. While providing high pressure air for the cycle, the HPC also provides cooling air for the blades of HPT and the PT.

Figure 2 shows the structure of a turbine with a VAN, which is also known as a variable geometry turbine. The VAN can be increased or decreased by adjusting the inlet stagger angle [9] to achieve the purpose of changing its flow capacity. For a gas turbine equipped with a variable geometry
PT, its operating conditions are determined by both the FF and the VAN.

A gas path performance simulation model based on the structure of a GE LM2500+SAC gas turbine is established and a virtual variable geometry PT is equipped in the model engine.

2.1.1 Compressor

The compressor map used in this study is adapted from that of the GE LM2500 gas turbine [15] via scaling method [16] and the scaled map is shown in Fig. 8. The corrected rotor speed and corrected mass flow of compressor are calculated using Eqs. (1) and (2). These equations can also be used to obtain the corrected parameters of turbine.

\[
\bar{N}_{\text{HPC}} = N_{\text{HPC}} \cdot \frac{R_{\text{ISO}} \cdot T_{\text{ISO}}}{R_{\text{HPC,in}} \cdot T_{\text{HPC,in}}} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\bar{m}_{\text{HPC}} = \dot{m}_{\text{HPC}} \cdot \frac{R_{\text{HPC,in}} \cdot T_{\text{HPC,in}}}{R_{\text{ISO}} \cdot T_{\text{ISO}}} \cdot \frac{p_{\text{ISO}}}{p_{\text{HPC,in}}} \tag{2}
\]

where \( N \), \( \bar{N} \) denote the real rotor speed and the corrected rotor speed, respectively. \( \dot{m}, \bar{m} \) represent the real gas mass flow and corrected gas mass flow, respectively. \( R \) is gas constant, \( T \) is the gas temperature and \( p \) is the gas pressure. The terms with subscript “ISO” are the air parameters at ISO conditions, while the terms with subscript “in” are the gas parameters at component inlet.

With the increase of corrected rotor speed, the speed lines in the compressor map gradually become vertical, while the \( \beta \) auxiliary line is introduced to effectively avoid the ambiguity arising [17]. The \( \beta \) value and the corrected rotor speed are selected as independent variables to obtain characteristic parameters such as the corrected mass flow, the pressure ratio and the isentropic efficiency of compressor under any operating points.

The cooling air mass flow under off-design conditions can be determined by Eq. (3) [18]. When estimating the air temperature and air pressure at each bleeding point, it is assumed that the pressure ratio and the isentropic efficiency are equal in each compressor stage.

\[
\dot{m}_{\text{CA,OD}} = \dot{m}_{\text{CA,DP}} \cdot \frac{p_{\text{CA,OD}}}{p_{\text{CA,DP}}} \cdot \frac{T_{\text{CA,OD}}}{T_{\text{CA,DP}}} \tag{3}
\]

where the subscript “CA” represents cooling air. “DP” and “OD” denote the design point and off-design point, respectively. “ \( i \) ” represents the position of bleeding point. \( \dot{m}_{\text{CA,DP}} \) is obtained by introducing the bleeding coefficient \( \varphi_{i,\text{CA,DP}} \), and is described by \( \dot{m}_{i,\text{CA,DP}} = \varphi_{i,\text{CA,DP}} \cdot \dot{m}_{\text{HPC,in}} \).
The methods for calculating outlet mass flow and power consumption of the HPC with air bleeding are shown in Eqs. (4) and (5) respectively:

\[ n_{\text{HPC, out}} = n_{\text{HPC, in}} - \sum n_{\text{CA}} \]  
\[ PW_{\text{HPC}} = n_{\text{HPC, out}} \cdot h_{\text{HPC, out}} + n_{\text{CA}} \cdot h_{\text{CA}} - n_{\text{HPC, in}} \cdot h_{\text{HPC, in}} \]

where \( h \) is gas specific enthalpy and the terms with subscript “out” are the gas path parameters of HPC outlet.

### 2.1.2 Combustor

Considering the heat dissipation, it is assumed that the combustion efficiency \( \eta_{\text{CB}} \) is a constant. The pressure loss in combustor consists of two parts. The first part is the fundamental loss due to the rise of gas temperature, and the second part is the friction loss arising from the friction between the gas and combustion chamber wall. Among these, the fundamental loss can be neglected [19]. Therefore, the pressure loss coefficient under off-design points can be evaluated using Eq. (6) [20]:

\[ \delta_{\text{CB, OD}} = \delta_{\text{CB, DP}} \left( \frac{\bar{\bar{m}}_{\text{CB, OD, in}}}{\bar{\bar{m}}_{\text{CB, DP, in}}} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{R_{\text{CB, OD, in}}}{R_{\text{CB, DP, in}}} \]

where \( \delta_{\text{CB, DP}} \) is the pressure loss coefficient at the design point, and it is an empirical constant.

### 2.1.3 Turbine

Compared with a compressor, the operating characteristics of a turbine are easier to be expressed. Zhang et al. [21] established an analytic solution for estimating the turbine corrected mass flow and isentropic efficiency at off-design points, as shown in Eqs. (7) and (8).

\[ \bar{\bar{m}}_{\text{TB, OD}} = \bar{\bar{m}}_{\text{TB, DP}} \cdot \sqrt{1.4 - 0.4 \frac{N_{\text{TB, OD}}}{N_{\text{TB, DP}}} \cdot \frac{T_{\text{TB, DP, in}}}{T_{\text{TB, OD, in}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{e_{\text{TB, OD}}^2 - 1}{e_{\text{TB, DP}}^2 - 1}}} \]
\[ \eta_{\text{TB, OD}} = \eta_{\text{TB, DP}} \left[ 1 - t \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{\varphi_{\text{N, TB}}}{\varphi_{\text{m, TB}}} \right)^2 \right] \cdot \frac{\varphi_{\text{N, TB}}}{\varphi_{\text{m, TB}}} \cdot \left( 2 - \frac{\varphi_{\text{N, TB}}}{\varphi_{\text{m, TB}}} \right) \]

where \( e \) is gas expansion ratio and the terms with subscript “TB” represent the parameters of turbine (HPT or PT). \( t \) is an empirical constant, \( \varphi_{\text{N, TB}} = N_{\text{TB, OD}} / N_{\text{TB, DP}} \cdot \varphi_{\text{N, TB}} = \bar{\bar{m}}_{\text{TB, OD}} / \bar{\bar{m}}_{\text{TB, DP}} \).

The method for calculating the turbine power output with a coolant injection is shown in Eq. (9).

\[ PW_{\text{TB}} = n_{\text{TB, in}} \cdot h_{\text{TB, in}} + n_{\text{CA}} \cdot h_{\text{CA}} - n_{\text{TB, out}} \cdot h_{\text{TB, out}} \]

### 2.1.4 Gas Turbine

Taking the PT as research object, the power output of a gas turbine can also be calculated by Eq. (9), while the thermal efficiency is obtained by Eq. (10).
\[ \eta_{\text{GT}} = \frac{PW_{\text{PT}}}{\dot{m}_{\text{PL}} \cdot LHV} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where \( LHV \) represents the lower heating value of fuel. The \( \dot{m}_{\text{PL}} \) denotes the fuel mass flow.

### 2.2. Matching Method

Combined with the gas path structure of the LM2500+SAC gas turbine studied in this paper, the constraints for determining the operating point of each component are composed as follows: the power equilibrium equation of GG shaft (Eq. (11)); the cycle pressure ratio equilibrium equation of gas turbine (Eq. (12)); the mass flow equilibrium equation of HPT and PT (Eqs. (13) and (14)). Besides, the target parameter, such as rotor speed, initial temperature, and power output, etc., used to describe the operating condition of the gas turbine should also be determined (Eq. 15).

\[ E_{SP} = \left( PW_{\text{HPC}} - \eta_{\text{mech}} \cdot PW_{\text{HPT}} \right) / PW_{\text{HPC}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

\[ E_{\text{CPR}} = \left( \pi_{\text{HPC}} - \left( \varepsilon_{\text{HPT}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{PT}} \right) / \left( 1 - \delta_{\text{CB}} \right) \right) / \pi_{\text{HPC}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

\[ E_{\text{m,HPT}} = \left( \dot{m}_{\text{CB,\text{out}}} - \dot{m}_{\text{HPT,\text{in}}} \right) / \dot{m}_{\text{CB,\text{out}}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

\[ E_{\text{m,PT}} = \left( \dot{m}_{\text{HPT,\text{out}}} - \dot{m}_{\text{PT,\text{in}}} \right) / \dot{m}_{\text{HPT,\text{out}}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

\[ E_{\text{OC}} = \left( x_{\text{OC,pred}} - x_{\text{OC,targ}} \right) / x_{\text{OC,targ}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where \( \eta_{\text{mech}} \) is mechanical efficiency. \( x_{\text{OC,pred}} \), \( x_{\text{OC,targ}} \) denote predicted parameter and target parameter, respectively.

The HPC rotor speed \( N_{\text{HPC}} \), auxiliary parameter \( \beta \), fuel mass flow \( \dot{m}_{\text{PL}} \), HPT expansion ratio \( \varepsilon_{\text{HPT}} \) and PT expansion ratio \( \varepsilon_{\text{PT}} \) are used as independent variables. The flowchart of the gas path performance simulation is shown in Fig. 3.

![Figure 3. Flowchart of performance simulation](image-url)
where $\rho$ represents the atmospheric humidity, $\mathbf{X} = [N_{\text{HPC}}, \beta, \eta_{\text{FL}}, \epsilon_{\text{HPT}}, \epsilon_{\text{PT}}]$ and $J$ is Jacobi Matrix. The simulation is completed when the relative error $|E_k| = (E_{\text{SP}}, E_{\text{CPR}}, E_{\text{HPT}}, E_{\text{PT}}, E_{\text{OC}})^T$ is lower than the maximum allowable relative error $\sigma$ or the maximum iterative number $\tau$ is reached.

3. Experiment and Validation

3.1. Experiment Description

The test rig is shown in Fig. (4). In the experiment, the GG is connected with a nozzle instead of a real PT. The desired operating condition is achieved by controlling the fuel mass flow. Starting from the GG idling speed (6400 r/min), the operating data is collected with every increase of 200 r/min in rotor speed until the exhaust gas temperature of HPT ($T_5$) reaches the limit (1133 K). The collected measureable gas path parameters are shown in Tab. 1. The mean value of each measurement obtained when the rotor speed is stabilized within one minute (30 samplings) is compared with the corresponding simulation result.

Figure 4. Test rig of gas generator used in this study

Table 1. The measurable gas path parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurable Parameter / Unit</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Measurable Parameter / Unit</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric temperature [K]</td>
<td>$T_0$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HPC discharge pressure [kPa]</td>
<td>$p_2$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric pressure [kPa]</td>
<td>$p_0$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fuel mass flow [kgs$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$\eta_{\text{FL}}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric humidity [gm$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fuel temperature [K]</td>
<td>$T_{\text{FL}}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC inlet temperature [K]</td>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>HPT exhaust temperature [K]</td>
<td>$T_5$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC inlet pressure [kPa]</td>
<td>$p_1$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>HPT exhaust pressure [kPa]</td>
<td>$p_5$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC discharge temperature [K]</td>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>GG rotor speed [rmin$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$N_{\text{GG}}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Model Validation

The performance parameters of each component in the simulation model under the design condition are shown in Tab. 2. Since PT is not installed in the experiment, the compressor bleeding coefficient of the ninth stage is set to zero during the model verification. The GG rotor speed is selected as the target parameter for determining the operating condition of engine in the simulation.

Figure (5) compares the variations of the HPC discharge temperature ($T_2$) and pressure ($p_2$), the HPT exhaust temperature ($T_5$) and pressure ($p_5$) with the rotor speed obtained by the experiment and simulation. As the rotor speed increases, various gas path parameters also gradually increase. The
Simulation results are coincident with the experiment data and maximum relative error is less than 2.0% \((p_z, \text{under the condition of } N_{GG} = 9600 \text{ r/min})\), which verifies the accuracy of the model.

### Table 2. Component performance parameters under the design condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter / Unit</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Parameter / Unit</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GG rotor speed [rmin⁻¹]</td>
<td>(N_{GG})</td>
<td>9586</td>
<td>CB pressure loss coefficient [(\times)]</td>
<td>(\delta_{CB})</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC bleeding coefficient of 9th stage [-]</td>
<td>(\varphi_{9,CA})</td>
<td>0.015 (0)</td>
<td>CB combustion efficiency [-]</td>
<td>(\eta_{CB})</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC bleeding coefficient of 13th stage [-]</td>
<td>(\varphi_{13,CA})</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>HPT corrected mass flow [kgs⁻¹]</td>
<td>(m_{HPT})</td>
<td>8.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC pressure ratio [-]</td>
<td>(\pi_{HPC})</td>
<td>22.81</td>
<td>HPT isentropic efficiency [-]</td>
<td>(\eta_{HPT})</td>
<td>0.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC corrected mass flow [kgs⁻¹]</td>
<td>(m_{HPC})</td>
<td>83.41</td>
<td>Nozzle corrected mass flow [kgs⁻¹]</td>
<td>(m_{NOZ})</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC isentropic efficiency [-]</td>
<td>(\eta_{HPC})</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>Mechanical efficiency [-]</td>
<td>(\eta_{mec})</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 5. Comparison of the experimental data and the simulation results](image)

### 4. Results and Discussion

In this section, the simulation model is used to investigate the MAPO improvement achieved by FF and VAN control under different atmospheres as well as the variations of thermal efficiency. The selected atmospheric temperatures are between 288.15 K and 308.15 K with 5 K intervals, and the atmospheric pressures are between 0.8 atm and 1.0 atm with 0.05 atm intervals. Correspondingly, Tab. 3 shows the main performance parameters of the gas turbine under MAPO conditions when the nozzle area of the PT is in the design state, which serve as a reference for the following performance improvement studies.

### Table 3. Gas turbine reference performance parameters at varying atmospheric conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>(T_0 / \text{K})</th>
<th>(p_0 \times 10^{-3} / \text{atm})</th>
<th>(\pi_{HPC})</th>
<th>(\eta_{HPC})</th>
<th>(\dot{m}_h) / kgs⁻¹</th>
<th>(\varepsilon_{HPT})</th>
<th>(\varepsilon_{PT})</th>
<th>(PW_{GT} \times 10^{-6} / \text{MW})</th>
<th>(\eta_{GT})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>288.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>1.650</td>
<td>4.621</td>
<td>4.759</td>
<td>31.10</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>293.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22.05</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>1.599</td>
<td>4.625</td>
<td>4.632</td>
<td>29.86</td>
<td>0.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.45</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>1.550</td>
<td>4.629</td>
<td>4.503</td>
<td>28.62</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>303.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.86</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>1.502</td>
<td>4.633</td>
<td>4.374</td>
<td>27.40</td>
<td>0.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>308.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.26</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>1.456</td>
<td>4.636</td>
<td>4.246</td>
<td>26.21</td>
<td>0.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>288.15</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>1.322</td>
<td>4.621</td>
<td>4.762</td>
<td>24.92</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>288.15</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>1.404</td>
<td>4.621</td>
<td>4.761</td>
<td>26.46</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>288.15</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>1.486</td>
<td>4.621</td>
<td>4.760</td>
<td>28.01</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>288.15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>1.568</td>
<td>4.621</td>
<td>4.760</td>
<td>29.55</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1. Control Strategy

In the method of power improvement based on FF and VAN control, it is necessary to keep the gas path temperature of the hot end components within a safety limit. As shown in Fig. (6), with the high pressure turbine inlet temperature (HPTIT) limited under the design condition (1540 K), the required FF increases slowly as the PT nozzle area grows. Meanwhile, the gas expansion ratio in the HPT and the enthalpy difference between its inlet and outlet are also increase, resulting in a decrease in the power turbine inlet temperature (PTIT). Instead, when the PTIT is limited under its design condition (1129 K), the required FF increases rapidly as the PT nozzle area grows, and for the same reason, the HPTIT will exceed the limitation.

Therefore, in order to improve the MAPO of the gas turbine by the FF and VAN control, the maximum acceptable HPTIT should be served as the basis of fuel supply. An alternative method to determine FF is to take the PTIT as the limiting condition due to directly immeasurable parameter for the HPTIT. However, the maximum acceptable PTIT is not a constant, and it needs to be determined according to the increment of PT nozzle area. Combined with the simulation results shown in Fig. 6, the maximum acceptable PTIT decreases by 1% with every 5% increase of PT nozzle area.

![Figure 6. Variations of the hot end gas temperature and FF under the MAPO conditions with the PT nozzle area](image)

4.2. Performance Improvement at Varying Atmospheric Temperatures

Figure (7) shows the MAPO improvement of the gas turbine by the FF and VAN control under different atmospheric temperatures. For each curve, the MAPO increases with the PT nozzle area. When the increment exceeds approximately 12% of its design value, the upward trend is weakened significantly. With the same increment in the PT nozzle area, the MAPO improvement goes up gradually as the atmospheric temperature rises. Taking 12% increase as an example, the improvement at ISO conditions is about 3% of the rated power, and at a temperature of 308.15 K, the improvement reaches 5.5% of the rated power.

It is important to note that when the nozzle area increases to a certain level, flow capacity of the PT is attributed to the nozzle area restrictions imposed by the fixed geometry rotor and the continued increase in the nozzle area has no obvious effect on the power improvement. To avoid this situation, with the simulation results shown in Fig. 7, the MAPO has risen to 90% of the improvement potential when the nozzle area increases by 12%. Therefore, performance variations of the gas turbine under this condition are highlighted in this paper.
Figure (8) compares the variations of thermal efficiency with the PT nozzle area when the gas turbine reaches MAPO. At ISO conditions, the thermal efficiency decreases nearly linearly as nozzle area increases. As the atmospheric temperature increases, the thermal efficiency presents a parabolic change gradually, which attenuates the negative impact due to the increased nozzle area. When the nozzle area increases by 12%, the thermal efficiency at ISO conditions is reduced by 0.55% compared with Case 1 in Tab. 3, but when the atmospheric temperature is 308.15 K, the thermal efficiency drops lower than 0.13% compared to Case 5.

Figure 7. Variations of MAPO improvement with the PT nozzle area at varying atmospheric temperatures

Figure 8. Variations of the thermal efficiency under MAPO conditions with the PT nozzle area at varying atmospheric temperatures

Changes in the atmospheric temperature and the PT nozzle area have led to the re-matching of each component, which is a fundamental cause of the differences in MAPO improvement and the variations of thermal efficiency. However, with the same increment in nozzle area, variations of the CB combustion efficiency, CB pressure loss coefficient, expansion ratio and isentropic efficiency of HPT as well as PT due to changes in the atmospheric temperature are negligible (or set to be constant). Therefore, the immediate cause for these differences should be analyzed from the perspective of the HPC performance changes.

Figure (9) presents variations of the HPC operating point when the gas turbine reaches MAPO at different atmospheric temperatures as the nozzle area increases. At ISO conditions, the HPC operating point moves from point A to point B along the A-B line. In this case, the corrected rotor speed, pressure ratio, corrected mass flow and surge margin of the HPC will increase, while the isentropic efficiency will decrease. When the atmospheric temperature rises to 308.15 K, the HPC operating point moves from point E to point F along the E-F line. The changes in the performance parameters are similar. However, with the same nozzle area increment, the HPC operating point gradually approaches the region that has a low efficiency gradient but a high efficiency.

It is well known that the attenuation of the HPC isentropic efficiency leads to an increase in the discharge temperature at the same pressure ratio, which further increases the HPTIT indirectly. In other words, attenuation of the HPC isentropic efficiency suppresses the increase of the cycle pressure ratio. As the atmospheric temperature rises, attenuation extent of the HPC isentropic efficiency decreases significantly, contributing to a relative increase of the cycle pressure ratio when the gas turbine reaches MAPO, the details of which are shown in Fig. (10). Taking a 12% increase in the PT nozzle area as an example, the HPC isentropic efficiency at an atmospheric temperature of 288.15 K is attenuated by 2.2% compared with Case 1 in Tab. 3, but when the atmospheric temperature rises to
308.15 K, the isentropic efficiency is reduced by only 1.1\% compared with Case 5. Correspondingly, the increase in the cycle pressure ratio is elevated from 9.2\% to 11.9\%.

As the PT nozzle area increases, the gas expansion ratio in the HPT increases almost in the same proportion. Combined with the principle of cycle pressure ratio conservation and the trend of the cycle pressure ratio shown in Fig. (10), the expansion ratio allocated to the PT gradually decreases. The decrease of the expansion ratio and the increase of the gas mass flow together lead to a trend of the power output that appears to first rise before becoming stabilized. In the high temperature environment, the relative increase in the cycle pressure ratio slows a downward trend of gas expansion ratio in the PT, and thus realizes a more substantial power improvement.

The trend of thermal efficiency illustrated in Fig. (8) is also affected by the variations of the HPC isentropic efficiency. As the atmospheric temperature rises, attenuation of the HPC isentropic efficiency is weakened, which slows down the degradation in thermal efficiency arising from that the temperature increase. At the same time, a relative increase in the cycle pressure ratio also contributes to an increase in the thermal efficiency. The combined effects of these two factors have led to a parabolic change in thermal efficiency in the high temperature environment.

In summary, a reduction of the HPC corrected rotor speed is caused by an increase in the atmospheric temperature, which makes its operating point move to a low-efficiency gradient region in the compressor map. It is the main reason that the MAPO can be greatly improved and the thermal efficiency is barely attenuated.

4.3. Performance Improvement at Varying Atmospheric Pressures

As shown in Fig. (11), under different atmospheric pressures, the improvement curve of the MAPO shows a gradual upward trend similar to the curve at different atmospheric temperatures. However, as the atmospheric pressure drops, MAPO improvement also decreases. When the PT nozzle area increases by 12\%, the power output increases by approximately 3\% at ISO conditions, while under 0.8 atmospheres, the improvement falls to around 2.4\%.

Correspondingly, Fig. (12) shows the variations of thermal efficiency with the PT nozzle area when the gas turbine reaches the MAPO and the thermal efficiency curves under different atmospheric pressures are completely overlapped. When the nozzle area increases by 12\%, the thermal efficiency drops by about 0.55\% compared to Cases (6)-(9) in Tab. 3.
Figure 11. Variations of MAPO improvement with the PT nozzle area at varying atmospheric pressures

Figure 12. Variations of the thermal efficiency under MAPO conditions with the PT nozzle area at varying atmospheric pressures

Variations of the atmospheric pressure exert no influence on the HPC corrected rotor speed. Therefore, under different atmospheric pressures (assume that the atmospheric temperature is 288.15 K and keep constant), when the gas turbine reaches the MAPO, the HPC operating points all shift from point A to point B along the A-B line in Fig. (9), as the PT nozzle area increases. The changes in the isentropic efficiency, the pressure ratio, and the corrected mass flow of the HPC are the same, which leads to consistency of the thermal efficiency curves, as shown in Fig. (12). However, under low atmospheric pressure conditions, the increment of the HPC real mass flow is lower than that of the corrected mass flow (Eq. 2), causing a descending improvement of the real gas mass flow in the PT. As a result, the MAPO improvement decreases with the atmospheric pressure as shown in Fig. (11).

5. Conclusions

In this paper, a thermodynamic performance simulation model for a two-shaft gas turbine has been established. In addition, the model’s accuracy has been verified by comparing the experiment data and simulation results. Assuming that the PT isentropic efficiency is not affected by its operating point or nozzle area, a quantitative study has been conducted on MAPO improvement and variations of the thermal efficiency under different atmospheric conditions through FF and VAN control. The conclusions are drawn as follows:

(1) In order to ensure the gas path temperature of all hot end components does not exceed the safety limits as the PT nozzle area increases, FF can be adjusted according to the maximum acceptable PTIT under the corresponding increment of PT nozzle area.

(2) The MAPO increases firstly and becomes stabilized gradually as the PT nozzle area increases. In the high temperature environment, the MAPO improvement is more noticeable and the attenuation of the thermal efficiency is quite small. For the two-shaft gas turbine studied in this paper, under the conditions of 308.15 K and 1 atm, the MAPO improvement can be 5.5% of the rated power and the thermal efficiency drops only 0.13% with the PT nozzle area increases by 12%.

(3) At high altitude area, the MAPO improvement is decreased but the variation of the thermal efficiency is not affected by atmospheric pressure.

(4) The improvement of MAPO based on FF and VAN control is essentially a result of the re-matching of each component. However, the variation of the HPC isentropic efficiency is the main factor affecting this improvement. In the regulation process, the slower decrease of the HPC isentropic
efficiency is, the greater increase in the power output is and the smaller attenuation of the thermal efficiency is.
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Nomenclature

- $E$: relative error, [-]
- $h$: specific enthalpy, [Jkg$^{-1}$]
- $LHV$: fuel lower heating value, [Jkg$^{-1}$]
- $\dot{m}$: actual mass flow, [kgs$^{-1}$]
- $\bar{m}$: corrected mass flow, [kgs$^{-1}$]
- $N$: actual rotor speed, [rmin$^{-1}$]
- $\bar{N}$: corrected rotor speed, [rmin$^{-1}$]
- $p$: pressure, [kPa]
- $R$: mole gas constant, 8.31451 [JmolK$^{-1}$]
- $T$: temperature, [K]
- $PW$: power, [W]

Greek Letters

- $\beta$: auxiliary parameter, [-]
- $\delta$: pressure loss coefficient, [-]
- $\varepsilon$: expansion ratio, [-]
- $\eta$: isentropic efficiency, [-]
- $\pi$: pressure ratio, [-]
- $\rho$: atmospheric humidity, [gm$^{-3}$]
- $\sigma$: maximum allowable relative error, [-]
- $\tau$: maximum iterative number, [-]
- $\phi$: bleeding coefficient, [-]

Subscripts

- CA: cooling air
- CPR: cycle pressure ratio
- DP: design point
- OC: operating condition
- OD: off-design point
- FL: fuel
- GG: gas generator
- GT: gas turbine
- ISO: standard condition
in  component inlet
mec  mechanical
out  component outlet
pred  predicted operating parameter
targ  target operating parameter
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