

# THE MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AND FRACTAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE COAL UNDER TEMPERATURE-GAS-CONFINING PRESSURE

by

*Yanan Gao*<sup>a,b,c\*</sup>, *Feng Gao*<sup>a,b</sup>, *Guanghui Dong*<sup>a,b</sup>, *Weicheng Yan*<sup>a,b</sup>, *Xiaojun Yang*<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a.</sup> State Key Laboratory for Geomechanics and Deep Underground Engineering, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, 221116, China

<sup>b.</sup> School of Mechanics and Civil Engineering, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, 221116, China

<sup>c.</sup> State Key Laboratory of Hydraulics and Mountain River Engineering, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610065, China

*Deep mining is the most important way to obtain the resource in China now. Thus, rock is the main objective that the deep mining will encounter. Meanwhile, The frequent catastrophes in deep mining such as rock burst, coal and gas bursts, roof caving, water bursts, is the most serious problem. To have a better understanding of The mechanisms of fracture and the laws of damage evolution of rock and coal under deep environment, in this paper, the micro- and meso- scale method is employed to study the behavior of coal under different temperature, confining pressure and gas pressure. Then, the significance of the effect of temperature, confining pressure, and gas pressure on mechanical parameters is analyzed by using the ANOVA method. The fractal study of coal failure pattern by employing the SEM test and fractal dimension was carried out. The fractal dimension of coal fractures was calculated by using the box-covering method. Then, the effects of all factors on the fractal dimension of coal fractures were analyzed.*

*Key words: Temperature, gas pressure, mechanical test, SEM test fractal dimension*

## **Introduction**

Deep mining is the most important way to obtain the resource in China now [1]. Thus, rock is the main objective that the deep mining will encounter. Meanwhile, the frequent catastrophes in deep mining such as rock burst, coal and gas bursts, roof caving, water bursts, are the most serious problem. This indicate that the understanding and knowledge of the physical and mechanical behavior of rocks cannot yet fully meet the needs of engineering design. The mechanisms of fracture and the laws of damage evolution of rock and coal under deep environment in the micro- and meso- scale has theoretical and practical significance.

At present, a large number of studies have shown that temperature, confining

---

\* Corresponding author; e-mail: yngao@cumt.edu.cn

pressure, gas and other factors have important influence on the mechanical properties of coal [2]. Du et al<sup>[2]</sup>. obtained that the elastic modulus and compressive strength of coal increased with increasing confining pressure through triaxial tests. Li et al [3]. obtained that the confining pressure improves the mechanical properties of coal, and the effective stress improves the mechanical properties of coal in the triaxial compression test of gas-bearing coal. Meng [4] conducted triaxial compression tests of sandstones under different temperature and pressure conditions. The results showed that the temperature had a weakening effect on the strength and stiffness of sandstone, while confining pressure had a strengthening effect. Zha [5] carried out triaxial tests of coal under different temperatures and found that there are differences in the effect of temperature on the compression characteristics of coal.

It is well known that fractures after coal failure record its fracture process, and information about the fracture mechanism is stored on the fracture. At present, the analysis of rock fractures mainly uses fractal theory. For example, Babadagli [6] studied fractal characteristics of rock fracture surfaces under tension, and found that there exists a trend between the fractal dimension and loading rate. Ren [7] carried out a sandstone fracture test and analyzed the relationship between fracture fractal dimensions and loading methods. Ai [8] The existing method for calculating the fractal dimension of rock fractures is mainly the box covering method. AI has improved it and verified the effectiveness of the method through experiments.

However, the study on the changes of mechanical properties and fractal characteristics of coal under the different temperature, gas and confining pressure is not enough. Therefore, in this paper, the orthogonal test of coal under different temperatures, different confining pressures and different gas pressures was carried out. The changes of the mechanical parameters and the fractal characteristics of fractures of coal under the effects of temperature, confining pressure and gas are analyzed.

## Mechanical test of the coal

### *Test design and specimen preparation*

To carry out a comprehensive test, a lot of manpower and material resources are required (54 samples required for two repeated tests). It is difficult to meet such conditions in the test. Therefore, efficient test methods are necessary for multi-factor and multi-level tests.

**Table 1 Design of orthogonal table**

Group No.	T/°C	G/MPa	C/MPa
M20-0-0	20	0	0
M20-1-4	20	1	4
M20-3-8	20	3	8
M40-0-4	40	0	4
M40-1-8	40	1	8
M40-3-0	40	3	0
M80-0-8	80	0	8
M80-1-0	80	1	0
M80-3-4	80	3	4


(T, G, C represents Temperature Gas pressure and Confining pressure, respectively)

Orthogonal test [9] is an effective test method for studying multi-factor and multi-level tests. Orthogonal table, is the basic tool for orthogonal test, which is based on the combination theory. The orthogonal table designed for this study is shown as Table 1. Two specimens are tested in each group. The group number denotes the test condition of the group, for example, M20-3-8 represents that this group of specimen will be tested at the condition of 20°C, 3MPa Gas pressure, and 8MPa confining pressure.

The coal samples are collected from Shoushan mine in Xuchang, Henan Province, China as shown in Fig.1. The depth of the working face is 580~705m and the gas pressure is 1.5-2.0MPa and the gas content is 20-22 m<sup>3</sup>/t. The composition of coal samples is analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD). As shown in Fig.2, the main components of coal samples are calcite, kaolinite and quartz. Cutting and polishing work of the cylindrical cores are carried out by a diamond cutter with an acute blade and a grinder, respectively. The specimens are prepared in general accordance with ISRM standard [10]. The height and diameter of the test specimens are 100 mm and 50 mm.



**Fig. 1 Location of the coal samples**



**Fig. 2 X-ray diffraction (XRD) test on the coal**

The test is carried on by using the TAW-2000 servo-control rock triaxial testing facility and pulse gas facility as shown in Fig.3. The experimental process is as follows:

- (1) to install the sensor and fix the specimen in the pressure chamber, (3) to zero the readings of axial and radial sensors, (4) to apply the confining pressure (for triaxial test), (5) to heat up the triaxial cell (for tests at 40 °C and 80 °C), (6) to apply the confining pressure (for triaxial test), (7) To apply gas pressure, (9) to apply the axial load at an axial strain rate of about  $3.3 \times 10^{-6}$ /s until the specimen broken.



(a) Mechanical test system



(b) SEM test equipment

**Fig. 3 Experimental facility**

*Test results and analysis*

*Mechanical parameters and analysis*

**Table 2 Summary of test data**

Group No.	$\sigma_p$ (MPa)	$\varepsilon_1$	$E$ (GPa)	$\mu$
M20-0-0-1	6.55	0.00898	1.48	0.19
M20-0-0-2	6.06	0.00505	1.95	0.17
M20-1-4-1	12.12	0.00623	2.76	0.37
M20-1-4-2	13.53	0.00687	3.30	0.30
M20-3-8-1	24.65	0.00708	3.64	0.27
M20-3-8-2	22.35	0.00683	3.60	0.25
M40-0-4-1	15.85	0.00884	2.11	0.18
M40-0-4-2	9.87	0.01562	1.26	0.31
M40-1-8-1	19.71	0.00848	3.83	0.26
M40-1-8-2	20.57	0.00624	4.06	0.39
M40-3-0-1	9.13	0.00530	1.70	0.36
M40-3-0-2	12.39	0.00640	2.31	0.24
M80-0-8-1	18.76	0.00958	3.48	0.26
M80-0-8-2	14.49	0.00590	3.10	0.28
M80-1-0-1	11.20	0.00515	2.79	0.29
M80-1-0-2	9.35	0.00495	3.19	0.25
M80-3-4-1	27.60	0.00895	4.19	0.41
M80-3-4-2	18.42	0.0054	4.56	0.34

( $\sigma_p$ ,  $\varepsilon_1$ ,  $E$ ,  $\mu$  represents Peak stress, Axial strain, Elastic modulus, Poisson's ratio, respectively)

Table 3 ANOVA results of Peak stress

Factor	Squared sum of deviation	df	Mean square	F	Significance level
<i>G</i>	284.739	1	284.739	24.659	**
<i>T</i>	175.892	1	175.892	15.233	**
<i>C</i>	629.517	1	629.517	54.519	**
Error	173.203	15	11.547	-----	-----
Total	1263.351	18	-----	-----	-----

(\*\*, \* Significance level larger than 95 and 90 %, respectively, the same blew)

Table 4 ANOVA results of Elastic Modulus

Factor	Squared sum of deviation	df	Mean square	F	Significance level
<i>G</i>	11.817	1	11.817	19.774	**
<i>T</i>	16.548	1	16.548	27.691	**
<i>C</i>	9.927	1	9.927	16.612	**
Error	8.964	15	0.598	-----	-----
Total	47.256	18	-----	-----	-----

Table 5 ANOVA results of Poisson's Ratio

Factor	Squared sum of deviation	df	Mean square	F	Significance level
<i>G</i>	0.041	1	0.041	3.218	*
<i>T</i>	0.239	1	0.239	19.312	**
<i>C</i>	0.075	1	0.075	6.02	**
Error	0.186	15	0.006	-----	-----
Total	0.541	18	-----	-----	-----

According to Table 2 and Fig. 4, it's can be concluded that there are obviously different within the Peak stress, denoted as  $\sigma_p$ , Elastic modulus, denoted as  $E$  and Poisson's ratio, denoted as  $\mu$  of coal. Therefore, analysis the effect of various factors on  $\sigma_p$ ,  $E$  and  $\mu$  of coal. by using the ANOVA.

It can be seen from Table 3-5 that all factors have a significant effect on the Elastic modulus, Poisson's ratio, and Peak stress of coal. And the significant level of Confining pressure and Gas pressure on the  $\sigma_p$  and  $E$  and  $\mu$  is above 95%. The significant level of Temperature on the  $\sigma_p$  and  $E$  is above 95%. But the significant level of Poisson's ratio is 90%.

#### ***The influence of various factors on $\sigma_p$ , $E$ , $\mu$***

The significance of each factor was studied above, but the quantified relationships among them have not yet been analyzed. Table 6 summarizes the relationships of confining pressure (C), temperature (T), and gas pressure (G) with the mechanical parameters obtained in some previous studies. It can be observed that The relationship between peak stress, elastic modulus, Poisson's ratio and temperature, confining pressure and

gas pressure can be approximated by linear model. And the nonlinear part of the nonlinear model is very small compared with the linear part. In this study, linear models will be used to describe the relationship between various factors and mechanical parameters of coal.

As shown in Table 7, the significance of each factor's linear fitting to mechanical parameters can be expressed in the following equations:

$$E(T, C, G) = 0.013T + 0.131C + 0.434G + 1.346 \quad (1)$$

$$\sigma_p(T, C, G) = 0.042T + 1.372C + 2.38G + 4.524 \quad (2)$$

$$\mu(T, C, G) = 0.001T + 0.006C + 0.009G + 0.214 \quad (3)$$

where  $E(T, C, G)$ ,  $\sigma_p(T, C, G)$ , and  $\mu(T, C, G)$  are the functions related to the parameters  $T, C$  and  $G$ , respectively.

From the equations, we can find that all factors are positively correlated with the  $\sigma_p, E, \mu$ . And the influence weight of gas pressure is the highest, the confining pressure is the second, and the temperature is the least.

Table 6 Relationship between  $T, C, G$  and rock mechanics parameters

No.	Property	Factor	Equation form	Parameters	Test range	Ref.
1	$\sigma_p$	$T$	$\sigma_p = kT + b$	$k=-0.12,$ $b=23.54$	$20^\circ\text{C} \leq T \leq 80^\circ\text{C}$	Xu et al[11]
2	$E$	$T$	$E = kT + b$	$k=-2.61,$ $b=557.2$	$20^\circ\text{C} \leq T < 60^\circ\text{C}$	
3	$\mu$	$T$	$\mu = kT + b$	$k=-0.0014,$ $b=0.277$	$60^\circ\text{C} \leq T \leq 80^\circ\text{C}$	
4	$\sigma_p$	$T$	$\sigma_p = k_1T^2 + k_2T + b$	$k_1=-0.002, k_2=0$ $.143, b=149$	$25^\circ\text{C} \leq T \leq 700^\circ\text{C}$	Yin et al[12]
5	$E$	$T$	$E = k_1T^2 + k_2T + b$	$k_1=9 \times 10^{-6}, k_2=0$ $.004, b=31.1$		
6	$\sigma_p$	$C$	$\sigma_p = kC + b$	$k=3.477,$ $b=45.217$	$0\text{MPa} \leq C \leq 24\text{MPa}$	Yang et al[13]
7	$E$	$C$	$E = k_1C^2 + k_2C + b$	$k_1=-4.68,$ $k_2=217.09,$ $b=4793$		
8	$E$	$C$	$E = kC + b$	$k=0.2, b=0.73$		Li [14]
9	$\mu$	$C$	$\mu = kC + b$	$k=-0.0176,$ $b=0.323$	$6\text{MPa} \leq C \leq 7.5\text{MPa}$	
10	$E$	$G$	$E = kG + b$	$k=-0.546,$ $b=3.913$	$2.5\text{MPa} \leq G \leq 4\text{MPa}$	
11	$\mu$	$G$	$\mu = kG + b$	$k=-0.026,$ $b=0.12$		
12	$\sigma_p$	$G$	$\sigma_p = kG + b$	$k=-2.64, b=8.7$ 2	$0.25\text{MPa} \leq G \leq 2\text{MPa}$	Li et al [3]
13	$E$	$G$	$E = a \ln G + b$	$a=-0.41, b=1.0$		

Table 7: Linear fitting results of peak stress

Factor	Dependent variable	Significance level
$T$	$\sigma_p$	*
$G$	$E$	**
$C$	$\mu$	**

(\*\*, \* Significance level is larger than 95 and 90 %, O below 90%, respectively)

### Analysis of fractal characteristics of fracture surface of coal

#### Scanning electron microscope test of Coal fracture

The Quanta 250 scanning electron microscope is used in the test. Cutting 1cm<sup>2</sup> size slices at the fracture surface of the specimen. And wipe the surface clean with alcohol. Then fix it on the SEM test bench with a conductive adhesive. Electron beams generate charge accumulation on the surface of rock minerals, affecting the observations. Therefore, the surface of the specimen should be sprayed with gold before observation. The result can be seen from Fig. 4.




Figure 4 SEM results of coal specimens fracture

#### Fractal theory and calculation method of fractal dimension

Mandelbrot proposed a fractal theory based on self-similarity and scale



invariance of the measurement object's body shape. It's can be used to characterize certain irregular geometric shapes. Fractal has become a powerful tool for natural structures modeling such as fracture surfaces, cracks and fault traces [15-20]. Both micro- and macro-structure have fractal property and can be characterized by the fractal dimension. The information of the fracture process is recorded on the rock fracture and has statistical self-similar characteristics. Therefore, fractal geometry theory was used to calculate the fracture fractal dimension, and then quantitatively describe the complexity of fracture morphology.

First, the images of SEM test were binarized. Then, use Box Counting Method to Calculate Fractal Dimensions of Fracture Microscopic Images. Overlay the image with squares of size  $r$ , and then change the size of  $r$  to get the number of grids  $N$  with different  $r$  values covering the fracture defects. Double-logarithm processing for  $r$  and  $N$ . The slope is the fractal dimension  $D$ . The result is shown in table 8. Finally, by ANOVA, the significance of the influence of various factors on the fractal dimension of coal fracture surface.

Table 8 The result of  $D$

20-0-0-2	20-1-4-3	20-3-8-3	40-0-4-2	40-1-8-2	40-3-0-1	80-0-8-2	80-1-0-3	80-3-4-1
1.967648	1.969054	1.9736	1.982327	1.988142	1.979653	1.990819	1.98129	1.985741

Table 9 ANOVA results of  $D$  of coal failure surface

Factor	squared sum of deviation	df	Mean square	F	Significance level
$T$	0	2	0	139.7	**
$G$	9.8E-7	2	4.9E-7	0.3	O
$C$	9.8E-5	2	4.9E-5	31.7	**
Error	4.95	2	1.6E-6	-----	-----
Total	4.95	8	-----	-----	-----

(\*\*, \* Significance level larger than 95 and 90 %, O below 90%, respectively, the same blew)

Table 10 Linear fitting results of  $D$  of coal failure surface

Factor	coefficient	Error	Significance level
constant	1.965	433.4	**
$T$	0.000236	3.5	**
$G$	-0.000159	-0.1	O
$C$	0.001	1.9	*

$$D = 0.000236T + 0.001C - 0.000159G + 1.965$$

According to the statistical analysis results (Table 9), the temperature and confining pressure have a significant effect on the fractal dimension of coal fracture surface, and the significant level is above 95%. From Table 10, it can be seen that the significant level of the fracture surface with a linear relationship between confining pressure and temperature is above 90%, and the temperature and confining pressure are positively correlated with the fractal dimension of the coal fracture surface, and the gas pressure is negatively correlated.

## Conclusions

- (1) The significance of the effects of  $T$ ,  $C$ ,  $G$  on the  $\sigma_p$ ,  $E$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $D$  of coal was



analyzed by using ANOVA. It can be seen from Table 3-5 that all factors have a significant effect on the  $\sigma_p$ ,  $E$ ,  $\mu$ . of coal. And the significant level of  $C$ ,  $G$  on the  $\sigma_p$  and  $E$  and  $\mu$  is above 95%. The significant level of  $T$  on the  $\sigma_p$  and  $E$  is above 95%. But the significant level of  $\mu$  is 90%. Temperature and confining pressure have a significant effect on the  $D$  of coal fracture surface, and the significant level is above 95%.

(2) The linear model is used to describe the variation law of the peak stress, Poisson's ratio, elastic modulus of the coal under the confining pressure, the temperature and the gas pressure. Temperature and confining pressure are positively correlated with the  $D$  of the coal fracture surface, and the gas pressure is negatively correlated.

## Nomenclature

$T$ – temperature, [K]	$\varepsilon_1$ – axial strain of the specimen [-]
$C$ – confining pressure, [N/m <sup>2</sup> ]	$G$ – gas pressure, [N/m <sup>2</sup> ]

## Acknowledgments

The work presented in this paper is financially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2018QNA33), The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support of the above-mentioned agency.

## References

- [1] Xie H, Research and Consideration on Coal Deep Mining and Ultimate Mining Depth, *Journal of Coal Science*, 36(2012), 4
- [2] Du, Y, Experimental Study on Triaxial Compression of Coal Containing Methane Under Different Confining Pressure, *Safety in Coal Mines*, (2014)
- [3] Li X, Experimental Study of Mechanical Properties of Outburst Coal Containing Gas Under Triaxial Compression, *Chinese Journal of Rock Mechanics & Engineering*, 29(2010), pp. 3350-3358
- [4] Meng Z, Temperature and Pressure Under Deep Conditions and their Influences On Mechanical Properties of Sandstone, *Chinese Journal of Rock Mechanics & Engineering*, 25(2006), 6, pp. 1177-1181
- [5] Zha W, Effect of Temperature Changes On the Mechanical Properties of Coal-Serial Sandy Mudstone Under Triaxial Compression, *Journal of Safety & Environment*, (2014)
- [6] Babadagli T, Fractal Characteristics of Rocks Fractured Under Tension, *Theoretical & Applied Fracture Mechanics*, 39(2003), 1, pp. 73-88
- [7] Ren L, Mixed-Mode Fracture Behavior and Related Surface Topography Feature of a Typical Sandstone, *Rock Mechanics & Rock Engineering*, 49(2016), 8, pp. 1-17
- [8] Ai T, Box-Counting Methods to Directly Estimate the Fractal Dimension of a Rock Surface, *Applied Surface Science*, 314(2014), 10, pp. 610-621
- [9] Shi Y, *Applied Mathematical Statistics*, Xi'an Jiao tong University Press, 2005
- [10] ISRM, *Suggested Methods for Determining the Strength of Rock Materials in Triaxial Compression: Revised Version*, 1983

- [11] Xu J, Experimental Research On Influence of Temperature On Mechanical Properties of Coal Containing Methane, *Chinese Journal of Rock Mechanics & Engineering*, 30(2010), pp. 2730-2735
- [12] Yin G, Experimental Investigation On Mechanical Properties of Coarse Sandstone After High Temperature Under Conventional Triaxial Compression, *Chinese Journal of Rock Mechanics & Engineering*, 28(2009), 3, pp. 598-604
- [13] Yang Y, Test Study of Coal's Strength and Deformation Characteristics Under Triaxial Compression, *Journal of China Coal Society*, 31(2006), 2, pp. 150-153
- [14] Li W, *Research On Mechanical Characteristics and Gas Migration Law of Coal and Rock Under Mining Influence*, Chongqing University, 2014
- [15] Xie H, Fractal Geometry and Fracture of Rock, *Acta Mechanica Sinica*, 4(1988), 3, pp. 255-264
- [16] Matsushita M, Fractal View Point of Fracture and Accretion, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 54(1985), pp. 857-865
- [17] Brown SR, A Note On the Description of Surface Roughness Using Fractal Dimension, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 14(1987), 11, pp. 1095-1098
- [18] Turcotte, D.L., Fractal and Fragmentation, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 91, B2, pp. 1921-1926
- [19] Power WL, Euclidean and Fractal Models for the Description of Rock Surface Roughness, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96(1991), B1, pp. 415-424
- [20] Huang S, Application of Fractal Characterization and Modelling to Rock Joint Profiles, *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining and Science and Geomechanics Abstracts*, 29(1992), 2, pp. 89-98

Paper submitted: July 10, 2018

Paper revised: August 29, 2018

Paper accepted: December 28, 2018