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This paper aims to examine the effect of hydrogen purity on the combustion, performance, NOx emissions and energy costs in a spark ignition (SI) engine. In accordance with this purpose, two commercial hydrogen gases of different purity (i.e. 99.998 % and 99.995 %), were used as fuel in an SI engine. The engine was operated under a lean mixture (ϕ = 0.6) and wide-open throttle conditions (WOT) at 1400, 1600 and 1800 rpm engine speeds. It was found that high purity hydrogen improves engine performance parameters (i.e. indicated power, torque, thermal efficiency and specific fuel consumption) in the range of 2.4 -1.9 % depending on engine speed. The combustion duration and the cyclic variations were also decrease when the engine is operated with high purity hydrogen. However, NOx emissions increase depending on engine speed in the range of 3.4 - 2.9 % when high purity hydrogen is used as a fuel. In addition, energy costs with high purity H₂ increase in the range of 5.9-6.5 % depending on engine speed.
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1. Introduction

Fossil fuels such as coal, diesel, and gasoline are rapidly being consumed. Furthermore, these fuels are polluting the environment [1]. Moreover, governments have put into effect very strict environmental legislation to counter this, as well as protecting the fast depleting available fuel reserves. They have further supported research and the use of alternative fuels [2-4]. The alternative fuels such as biodiesel, natural gas, ethanol, dimethyl ether and hydrogen in terms of energy security is topical subject for researchers in this field [5]. Hydrogen is the ideal candidate as an energy carrier for systems using energy while reducing adverse effects on our livable environment. Furthermore, hydrogen reduces dependence on imported petrol for countries without natural resources [6]. Hydrogen can be directly used in spark-ignition (SI) engines as a single fuel. Furthermore, hydrogen has a wide flammability range, so hydrogen engines can be operated on a very lean mixture with high thermal efficiency and low NOx emissions [7]. Otherwise, the NOx emissions increase after an equivalence ratio of 0.7 in a hydrogen SI engine [8]. The high-octane value of hydrogen allows the engine to operate at a high compression ratio, and thereby increases thermal efficiency [9]. In addition, the high diffusivity speed of hydrogen increases the mixing quality around cylinders, which increases the homogeneity of the in-cylinder charge [10]. Furthermore, hydrogen provides a complete combustion process under very lean operation conditions,
also permitting the engine to operate even in low load situations along with wide-open throttle conditions [11]. The high adiabatic flame propagation speed of hydrogen can maintain stable combustion at a lean air-fuel mixture, allowing for a higher compression ratio by reducing the probability of knock and decrease cycle-by-cycle variations in the flame propagation period [12]. The lower ignition energy of hydrogen ensures engines are ignited in a lean mixture, resulting in allowing the hydrogen engine to operate at a wider range of excess air ratio [13]. The quenching distance of hydrogen is shorter than gasoline, which can lower hydrocarbon emissions [14]. However, the temperature required for auto ignition is considerably higher than that of conventional hydrocarbon fuels [15]. Oxides of nitrogen (NOₓ) are the only unwanted emissions of hydrogen combustion. NOₓ are the only contaminant component emissions of hydrogen engines. The induction technique of hydrogen plays a crucial role in determining the performance characteristics of the hydrogen engine [16]. Most hydrogen fueled SI engine research has focused on the port injection technique [11]. Port fuel injection offers higher engine efficiency, operation at more a leaner mixture, lower cyclic variation and lower NOₓ emissions [17].

Hydrogen is accepted as a hopeful energy carrier due to its improved natural properties, such as a high energy density of 14.300 (J/kgK), long-term viability, environmentally friendly combustion products, and production from various sources [18]. Hydrogen is not present in a free state throughout the universe, but is in abundance in compound form, otherwise, it could react quickly with other elements. Therefore, hydrogen can be obtained either from higher energy fossil fuels or lower energy water [19]. Hydrogen can be produced directly from fossil fuels using processes such as coal gasification, partial oxidation, thermal-cracking, and methane reforming. Furthermore, hydrogen can be produced by photo-biological processes, water thermolysis photo electrolysis or photolysis and dissociating water with electrolysis [20]. However, electrical energy is required for hydrogen production with water electrolysis. The use of electrical energy produced by burning fossil fuels in the electrolysis process of water for hydrogen production reduces total costs by 35% compared to the use of electricity generated in a hydroelectric plant [21]. The purification cost of hydrogen corresponds to 40-60% of the total cost of hydrogen production, depending on the production technology used. However, the produced hydrogen can be used as internal combustion engine fuel without subjecting it to any purification treatment. Therefore, the sale price of hydrogen produced is fairly competitive with the retail price of gasoline, especially in regions where required gasoline is imported [22].

This study presents the effect of hydrogen purity on combustion, engine performance, NOₓ emissions and energy cost in a spark ignition (SI) engine. Two commercial hydrogen gases of differing purity (i.e. 99.998 % and 99.995 %) were used as fuel in a SI engine. The hydrogen purity rate was compared in terms of its effect on combustion, cyclic variation, engine performance, exhaust emission and energy cost. In this context, the paper reveals the relationship between increased NOₓ emissions and energy costs despite improvements in engine performance parameters using high purity H₂.

2. Experimental Setup

Experimental studies were conducted on a single-cylinder L-head type SI engine with a cylinder volume of 476 cm³. H₂ was injected into the intake manifold of the engine at a pressure of about 5 bar that is decreased using a pressure regulator from a 200 bar H₂ tank. The engine was operated with a wide-open throttle (WOT), under lean mixture (θ=0.6) an 8:1 compression ratio. Experimental studies
were conducted at three different engine speeds (i.e. 1400, 1600 and 1800 rpm). The in-cylinder pressure was measured using a Kistler 6052C piezoelectric pressure transducer and its charge amplifier (Kistler 5018A). The crank angle and TDC positions were encoded by using a shaft encoder (Kistler 2618B) producing 1800 pulses per revolution. \( \text{H}_2 \) and air flow rates were measured via Aalborg GFC67 and GFM77 types thermal mass flow meters, with the equivalence ratio \((\phi)\) being calculated. The ignition timing and injection duration were controlled via an engine control unit (Motec-M4). The intake air temperature was maintained at a constant value of 30 °C using a PIC temperature controller during the experimental studies. Inlet air pressure was measured using a Motec-M4 engine control unit and a manifold absolute pressure sensor (MAP) during the experimental studies. The outlet shaft of the engine was coupled to a hydraulic dynamometer, and the engine speed was changed by varying the engine load. The probe of an emission analyzer (IMR 1400-C) was connected in a perpendicular position to the exhaust flow of the engine, and NO\(_x\) emissions, excess O\(_2\) and exhaust gas temperature were measured. Fig.1 shows a schematic diagram of the experimental set-up.

![Schematic diagram of experimental set-up](image)

A DAQ card (Measurement Computing-USB-1616HS-4) was connected to collect to the crank angle sensor and pressure transducer signals. The stored data on a PC computer was analyzed in order to calculate the engine and combustion parameters. For every working condition, 50 consecutive combustion cycles were operated in order to derive the corresponding 'mean cycles' to the cylinder pressure data. The data obtained was used to calculate real time engine performance parameters [i.e. indicated thermal efficiency \((\eta_i)\), indicated power \((P_i)\), indicated torque \((T_i)\), indicated specific fuel consumption \((sfci)\)] and combustion parameters [i.e. indicated mean effective pressure \((imep)\) and mass fraction burned \((mfb)\)].
The mass fraction burned ($mfb$) was calculated using an equation developed by Matekunas [23]. In the equation, the pressure ratio is defined as the ratio of the cylinder pressure from a firing cycle $P(\theta)$ and the corresponding motored cylinder pressure $P_o(\theta)$:

$$PR(\theta) = \frac{P(\theta)}{P_o(\theta)} - 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

After this, the pressure ratio ($PR$) is normalized by its maximum value:

$$PR_N(\theta) = \frac{PR(\theta)}{PR(\theta)_{\text{max}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In one paper in which the number of cycles was determined to calculate the cyclic variation, Gürbüz et al. (2014) found that COVimep increases linearly up to 50 consecutive combustion cycles and that there is an extremely small increase in COVimep (3%) after 50 cycles [24]. Therefore, the 50 consecutive combustion cycles were used to determine the cyclic variation in this paper. The cyclic variation of the combustion cycles was calculated from the in-cylinder pressure data using the coefficient of variation of $imep$ (COVimep) as given in Eq.3 [25]:

$$\text{COV}_{imep} = \left(\frac{\sigma_{imep}}{\text{imep}_{\text{mean}}}\right) \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In this paper, two different commercial H$_2$ gases were used in industrial classification, defined as Hydrogen 4.6 (Purity $>$ 99.996%) and Hydrogen 5.0 (Purity $>$ 99.999%). In order to distinguish between each hydrogen gas, Hydrogen 4.6 is defined as pure hydrogen and Hydrogen 5.0 is defined as high purity hydrogen. An elemental analysis of the commercial H$_2$ gas of two different purities used as engine fuel in this paper is given in Table 1. The cost of the H$_2$ gas for Turkey is given in Table 2.

**Table 1. Commercial H$_2$ purity and other gas components**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>High purity H$_2$</th>
<th>Pure H$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>99.998</td>
<td>99.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>13.097</td>
<td>29.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2$</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Cost of H$_2$ gas for Turkey**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H$_2$ purity</th>
<th>Tank water capacity (liter)</th>
<th>Tank H$_2$ pressure (bar)</th>
<th>Tank H$_2$ capacity (m$^3$)</th>
<th>H$_2$ cost ($) + taxes for Turkey (8.2 m$^3$)</th>
<th>H$_2$ cost ($) + taxes for Turkey (liter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High purity H$_2$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>197.986</td>
<td>0.0241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure H$_2$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>182.147</td>
<td>0.0222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1. Error analysis
An error analysis of the calculated (i.e. indicated power, indicated thermal efficiency, indicated specific fuel consumption, volumetric efficiency, and equivalence ratio,) and measured values (engine speed, in-cylinder pressure, crank angle, fuel flow, air flow NO\textsubscript{x}, O\textsubscript{2} and exhaust gas temperature) was predicted using Eq.4.

\[
\Delta R = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial x_1} \Delta x_1 \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial x_2} \Delta x_2 \right)^2 + ... + \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial x_n} \Delta x_n \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}
\] (4)

The accuracy of the measurement equipment used in this paper is given in Table 3. Error analysis of the measured parameters is given in Table 4.

**Table 3. Accuracy values of the measurement equipment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In-cylinder pressure</td>
<td>Kistler 6052C</td>
<td>± 0.4 % AR*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crank angle</td>
<td>Kistler 2618B</td>
<td>± 0.02°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air flow rate</td>
<td>Aalborg GFM77</td>
<td>± 1.5% FS* ± 0.48**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen flow rate</td>
<td>Aalborg GFC67</td>
<td>± 1.5% FS* ± 0.24**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine speed</td>
<td>Mag. Pick-up</td>
<td>± 6 rpm AR*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust Emission</td>
<td>IMR 1400 Compact</td>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x} : ± 80 ppm FS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O\textsubscript{2} : ± 0.2 % FS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature : ± 1 °C FS*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FS: Full scale, AR: All range ** Accuracy of device in measurement range

**Table 4. An error analysis of the measured and calculated parameters in this paper**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine Parameters</th>
<th>Calculated Values at 1600 rpm</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(P_i)</td>
<td>2.813 kW</td>
<td>0.0159 kW</td>
<td>0.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\eta_i)</td>
<td>21.977 %</td>
<td>0.142 %</td>
<td>0.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(sfc_i)</td>
<td>136.509 g/kWh</td>
<td>0.824 g/kWh</td>
<td>0.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volumetric efficiency</td>
<td>81.12 %</td>
<td>0.608 %</td>
<td>0.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence ratio</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>1099 pp</td>
<td>10 ppm</td>
<td>0.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>7.71 %</td>
<td>0.028 %</td>
<td>0.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T\textsubscript{exhaust gas}</td>
<td>364.8 °C</td>
<td>0.304 °C</td>
<td>0.835</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3. Result and Discussion**

Fig.2. shows the variation of N\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{2} and moisture values versus the H\textsubscript{2} purity rate for three different engine speeds. The components of the fuel gas (i.e. pure and high purity H\textsubscript{2}) were calculated considering the amount of H\textsubscript{2} taken into the cylinder for each engine speed according to the data in Table 1. The amount of moisture in the fuel is the most important factor affecting the flammability of the fuel. Moisture acts as a heat sink due to the evaporation of water, thus reducing the amount of oxygen in the combustion zone by diluting with flammability volatiles [26]. Under normal atmospheric conditions, nitrogen is an inert gas, and thus nitrogen is not significantly affected by the reaction [25]. Therefore, the nitrogen acts as a heat sink, such as moisture in the combustion reaction,
and reduces the combustion temperature. In other words, the combustion reaction is significantly influenced from by the amount of nitrogen. As a result of this, the increase in the amount of moisture and nitrogen in the fuel reduces the flammability of the fuel and causes a decrease in the engine performance parameters. It can be seen in Fig. 2 that the N₂, O₂ and moisture increased 2.27, 9.46 and 3.19 %, respectively, with pure H₂ according to the high purity H₂. Generally, the increase in the amount of moisture and nitrogen in the pure H₂ significantly influenced the results of this paper (i.e. in-cylinder combustion, engine performance parameters and exhaust NOₓ emissions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>1400 rpm</th>
<th>1600 rpm</th>
<th>1800 rpm</th>
<th>1400 rpm</th>
<th>1600 rpm</th>
<th>1800 rpm</th>
<th>1400 rpm</th>
<th>1600 rpm</th>
<th>1800 rpm</th>
<th>1400 rpm</th>
<th>1600 rpm</th>
<th>1800 rpm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>1400 rpm</td>
<td>77996.10 ml/d</td>
<td>77999.44 ml/d</td>
<td>77996.10 ml/d</td>
<td>77999.44 ml/d</td>
<td>77996.10 ml/d</td>
<td>77999.44 ml/d</td>
<td>77996.10 ml/d</td>
<td>77999.44 ml/d</td>
<td>77996.10 ml/d</td>
<td>77999.44 ml/d</td>
<td>77996.10 ml/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>1400 rpm</td>
<td>79996.00 ml/d</td>
<td>79998.40 ml/d</td>
<td>79996.00 ml/d</td>
<td>79998.40 ml/d</td>
<td>79996.00 ml/d</td>
<td>79998.40 ml/d</td>
<td>79996.00 ml/d</td>
<td>79998.40 ml/d</td>
<td>79996.00 ml/d</td>
<td>79998.40 ml/d</td>
<td>79996.00 ml/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>1400 rpm</td>
<td>81995.90 ml/d</td>
<td>81998.36 ml/d</td>
<td>81995.90 ml/d</td>
<td>81998.36 ml/d</td>
<td>81995.90 ml/d</td>
<td>81998.36 ml/d</td>
<td>81995.90 ml/d</td>
<td>81998.36 ml/d</td>
<td>81995.90 ml/d</td>
<td>81998.36 ml/d</td>
<td>81995.90 ml/d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2.** Variation of N₂, O₂ and moisture values versus H₂ purity rate for the three engine speeds

The variation of *imep* versus different spark ignition timings for the three engine speeds is given in Fig. 3. As shown in Fig. 3, the indicated mean effective pressure (*imep*) increases at the optimum ignition timing (MBT—minimum ignition advance for best torque) when the engine is operated with high purity H₂ for each of the three engine speeds. As shown in Fig. 3, the mean effective pressure (*imep*) value at the MBT increases with the use of high purity hydrogen for each of the three engine speeds. However, when the ignition timing was shifted from MBT timing to the TDC (retard ignition timing), the increase in the *imep* produced using high purity hydrogen is further increased. In contrast, when the ignition timing is shifted from MBT time to an expansion stroke (advance ignition timing), the increase in the *imep* produced using high purity hydrogen decreases, and the pure hydrogen become even more advantageous than the high purity hydrogen. This result is probably due to the fact that sufficient time for combustion, in the case of an over advanced position, compensates for the faster burning ability of high purity H₂. However, these conditions do not eliminate the positive effect of high purity H₂ at the MBT in terms of engine performance. However, the optimum ignition timing, corresponding to MBT, gets closer to TDC with high purity H₂. This effect is greater at low engine speeds (i.e. 1400 rpm), and even almost disappears at the high engine speeds (i.e. 1800 rpm). Following these results, MBT has been used to in the investigation of combustion, engine performance, exhaust emission and energy costs in this paper.
Fig. 3. Variation of $imep$ versus different spark ignition timings for three engine speeds

Fig.4(a) illustrates the profiles of in-cylinder pressure versus crank angle for three different engine speeds. In-cylinder pressure profiles were created by calculating the average of 50 consecutive combustion cycles. Engine speed increased with a reduction of engine output shaft load which caused a decrease of maximum pressure ($P_{max}$) for both H$_2$ purity rates, as shown in Fig.5. Furthermore, the combustion pressure curves split earlier from the motoring curve when the engine was operated with high purity H$_2$ for each of the three engine speeds. Furthermore, the position of $P_{max}$ ($\phi_{Pmax}$) got closer to TDC with high purity hydrogen for each of the three engine speeds. $P_{max}$ increased approximately in the range of 1.43 - 0.85 bar using high purity hydrogen, while engine speed changed between 1400-1600 rpm. The MBT ignition timing approached even closer to TDC with high purity hydrogen for each of the three engine speeds. Fig.4(b) shows the profiles of the in-cylinder pressure increase rate versus crank angle for the three different engine speeds. Fig.4(b) shows the maximum rate of pressure rise (MRPR) raised when the engine was operated with high purity H$_2$. It can be seen that the MRPR decreased for both H$_2$ purity rates due to a reduction of in-cylinder pressure and temperature as a result of increasing engine speed. The increase in the MRPR was approximately 11.2%, 9.8% and 7.4% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, when the engine was operated using high purity H$_2$. The highest MRPR was obtained at 1400 rpm, when the engine was operated with high purity H$_2$. However, this value is less than the 2.6 bar/°CA which is the knock limit of the SI engine.
The variation of $P_{\text{max}}$ and $\theta_{P_{\text{max}}}$ versus the H$_2$ purity for the three engine speeds is given in Fig.6. According to Fig. 5, $P_{\text{max}}$ increased by approximately 5.2%, 3.4% and 3.1% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, when the engine was operated with high purity H$_2$. Moreover, $\theta_{P_{\text{max}}}$ decreased by about 8.1%, 6.3% and 5.7% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, when operated with high purity H$_2$. These results appear as a result of an increased burning speed along with an increasing purity rate. The decrease in the effect on the pressure parameters of H$_2$ purity with engine speed, can be explained by the contribution of the in-cylinder turbulence to the increase in combustion speed.
% mfb are listed in Table 5. In Fig.6, since the ignition timings corresponding to MBT for pure hydrogen and high purity hydrogen have changed, the mfb curves are given according to the normalized crank angle (crank angle after ignition). Therefore, it is possible to read the results given in Table 5 through Fig.6. Fig.6 shows that the 0-10% mfb (flame initiation period), 10-90% mfb (flame propagation period) and 90-100% mfb shorten when high purity hydrogen is used as fuel. This shortening as the crank angle is higher in the flame initiation period than in the other two combustion periods, as shown in comparison to the burn angles given in Table 5. The fast burning property of hydrogen increases with an increase in purity, and this effect becomes more dominant in the flame development angle, which is the slowest phase of combustion. The reduction in the total combustion duration is about 8.44%, 7.48% and 6.20% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, when engine is operated with high purity H2. The increase of engine speed causes an increase flame speed as a result of the increase in cylinder turbulence. The increased heat-release rate, with the effect of high turbulence, causes an increase in mfb. Therefore, the effect of the hydrogen purity to the combustion duration is lower along with increased engine speeds. However, it is obvious that hydrogen purity contributes to the shortening of the combustion periods in addition to the increasing turbulence effect along with the increase in engine speed. Moreover, the effect of hydrogen purity on the flame termination period appears to be almost nonexistent. On the other hand, in-cylinder turbulence, which increases with engine speed, is also effective in the final flame period.

Table 5. Crank angle positions of combustion phase (mfb) versus H2 purity for the three engine speeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine speed (rpm)</th>
<th>H2_p (CA)</th>
<th>H2_hp (CA)</th>
<th>Difference (°CA)</th>
<th>H2_p (CA)</th>
<th>H2_hp (CA)</th>
<th>Difference (°CA)</th>
<th>H2_p (CA)</th>
<th>H2_hp (CA)</th>
<th>Difference (°CA)</th>
<th>Difference in total combustion durations (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1400</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>8.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>7.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1800</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>6.20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cyclic combustion variability is one of the main characteristics which affect the performance of SI engines. A cyclic variability above 10% significantly affects engine output power [24]. The variation of COV imep versus H2 purity for the three engine speeds is given in Fig.7. In this paper, 50 consecutive combustion cycles are used while the COV imep is calculated. It can be seen in Fig.7 that the COV imep increases with increasing engine speed for both H2 purities. However, COV imep decreases with increasing H2 purity for the three engine speeds. The reduction in COV imep is approximately 6.5%,
4.2% and 3.3% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, when the engine is operated with high purity H₂. This result shows that engine operation is more stable when operated with high purity hydrogen. As a result, we can say that stable engine operation contributes to the improvement of the engine performance parameters as given in Fig. 8.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 7.** Variation of $COV_{\text{imep}}$ versus H₂ purity for three engine speeds

Fig. 8 illustrates the variation of indicated power ($P_i$), indicated thermal efficiency ($\eta_i$), indicated torque ($T_i$) and indicated specific fuel consumption ($sfc_i$) versus H₂ purity for the three engine speeds. $P_i$, $\eta_i$, and $T_i$ increased with increasing H₂ purity, while $sfc_i$ decreased. As can be seen in Fig.8, IP, IT and ITE, owing to increasing H₂ purity, increased by approximately 2.4%, 2.1%, and 1.9% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, while ISFC decreased at the same rates. The obtained improvement in the engine performance parameters using the high purity H₂, appeared as a result of the imep, which is increased by the effect of in-cylinder pressure and temperature.
Fig. 8. Variation of $P_i$, $\eta_i$, $T_i$ and $sfc_i$ versus $H_2$ purity for the three engine speeds.

The variation of exhaust NOx emissions versus $H_2$ purity for the three engine speeds is given in Fig 9 (a). As a result of increasing engine speed, exhaust NOx emissions decreased for both $H_2$ purity rates due to a reduction of in-cylinder pressure and temperature. As can be seen in Fig.9 (a), exhaust NOx emissions are increased about 3.4%, 3.1%, and 2.9% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, when the engine is operated with high purity $H_2$. On the other hand, the $P_{\text{max}}$ is closer to TDC with high purity $H_2$ forming more time to recycle NOx emissions in the expansion stroke. However, the cooling effect caused by the faster expansion causes the NOx reactions to freeze and the concentration in the exhaust gases to increase. This effect can be seen from the shapes of the pressure curves in Fig.4. However, the amount of excess O2 in the exhaust gases decreases due to the increase of NOx emissions as shown in Fig.9 (b). Moreover, the exhaust gas temperature changes very little with increasing $H_2$ purity, as shown in Fig. 9 (c). This is because, combustion slides towards the expansion stroke when the engine is operated with pure $H_2$, and the exhaust gas temperature increases slightly, as shown in Fig.9 (c).
Fig. 9. Variation of (a) NO\textsubscript{x}, (b) O\textsubscript{2} and (c) exhaust gas temperature versus H\textsubscript{2} purity for the three engine speeds

Fig. 10 shows the variation of energy costs versus H\textsubscript{2} purity for the three engine speeds. The energy costs are calculated considering the generated indicated power against the amount of hydrogen taken into the cylinder for each engine speed. The energy costs increase by approximately 5.9%, 6.2%, and 6.5% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, when the engine is operated with high purity hydrogen. It can be seen that the increasing energy costs and exhaust NO\textsubscript{x} emissions, despite increasing engine power, lead to a reduction in overall system efficiency when the engine is operated with high purity hydrogen. However, high purity H\textsubscript{2} can be selected to increase the performance of the hydrogen engine, despite high exhaust emissions and energy costs. Furthermore, the price of hydrogen varies from country to country due to the influence of many factors (i.e. production and purification method of H\textsubscript{2}, legal taxes, and shipping fees). Therefore, the results shown in Fig. 10 may vary from country to country.

Fig. 10. Variation of energy cost versus H\textsubscript{2} purity for the three engine speeds
4. Results

This paper seeks, at different engine speeds, to ascertain the effect of hydrogen purity on combustion, engine performance, NO\textsubscript{x} emissions and energy costs in a SI engine. The conclusions of the study are as follows:

- **\text{imep}**: increased at the MBT with high purity H\textsubscript{2} for each the three engine speeds. Moreover, MBT came closer to TDC (towards retard position) with high purity H\textsubscript{2}.
- **P\text{\textsubscript{\text{max}}}**: increased approximately 5.2\%, 3.4\% and 3.1\% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, when the engine is operated with high purity H\textsubscript{2}. Moreover, \(\theta_{P\text{\textsubscript{\text{max}}}}\) decreased about 8.1\%, 6.3\% and 5.7\% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, with high purity H\textsubscript{2}.
- The total combustion duration decreased by approximately 8.44\%, 7.48\% and 6.20\% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, with high purity H\textsubscript{2}. Moreover, the COV\textsubscript{\text{imep}} decreased by approximately 6.5\%, 4.2\% and 3.3\% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, with high purity H\textsubscript{2}.
- Engine performance parameters (i.e. indicated power, torque, thermal efficiency and specific fuel consumption) improved by approximately 2.4\%, 2.1\%, and 1.9\% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, with high purity H\textsubscript{2}.
- NO\textsubscript{x} emissions increased by approximately 3.4\%, 3.1\%, and 2.9\% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, with high purity H\textsubscript{2}. Moreover, the amount of excess O\textsubscript{2} in the exhaust gases decreased depending on increasing NO\textsubscript{x} emissions.
- As a general result, the increase in the amount of moisture and nitrogen in the pure H\textsubscript{2} according to the high purity H\textsubscript{2}, negatively influenced the results of this paper (i.e. in-cylinder combustion, engine performance parameters and exhaust NO\textsubscript{x} emissions).
- Furthermore, the energy costs increased by approximately 5.9\%, 6.2\%, and 6.5\% at engine speeds of 1400, 1600, and 1800 rpm, respectively, with high purity H\textsubscript{2}. However, it can be said that high purity H\textsubscript{2} as a fuel can be preferred to increase the performance of the hydrogen engine, despite high exhaust emissions and energy costs.
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Notation

\( a_{TDC} \) = after top dead center (°CA)
\( b_{TDC} \) = before top dead center (°CA)
\( ^\circ \text{CA} \) = crank angle (degrees)
\( \text{COV}_{\text{imep}} \) = coefficient of variations of imep
\( \text{H}_{2p} \) = pure hydrogen
\( \text{H}_{2hp} \) = high purity hydrogen
\( P_i \) = indicated power (kW)
\( \text{Sfc}_i \) = indicated specific fuel consumption (g/kWh)
\( T_i \) = indicated torque (Nm)
\( \eta_i \) = indicated thermal efficiency (%)
\( \text{imep} \) = indicated mean effective pressure (bar)
\( \text{imep}_{\text{mean}} \) = mean value of imep (bar)
\( \text{MBT} \) = maximum brake torque (Nm)
\( \text{mfb} \) = mass fraction burned (%)
\( \text{N}_2 \) = nitrogen
\( \text{O}_2 \) = oxygen
\( P \) = in-cylinder pressure (bar)
\( P_{\text{max}} \) = maximum pressure (bar)
\( P_o \) = motored cylinder pressure (bar)
\( \text{ppm} \) = particle per million
\( \text{PR} \) = pressure ratio (bar)
\( \text{SI} \) = spark ignition
\( \text{TDC} \) = top dead center
\( T_{\text{exhaust gas}} \) = exhaust gas temperature (°C)
\( \text{WOT} \) = wide open throttle
\( 0-10\% \text{ mfb} \) = flame initiation period (°CA)
\( 10-90\% \text{ mfb} \) = flame propagation period (°CA)
\( 90-100\% \text{ mfb} \) = flame termination period (°CA)
\( \theta \) = instant crank angle (degrees)
\( \sigma_{\text{imep}} \) = standard deviation of imep
\( \phi \) = equivalence ratio
\( \phi_{P_{\text{max}}} \) = position of \( P_{\text{max}} \) (°CA)