TOWARD IMPROVED HEAT DISSIPATION OF THE TURBULENT REGIME OVER BACKWARD-FACING STEP FOR THE ALUMINA-WATER NANOFLOWS — AN EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH
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Experimental study of nanofluid flow and heat transfer to fully developed turbulent forced convection flow in a uniformly heated tubular horizontal backward-facing step has reported in the present study. To study the forced convective heat transfer coefficient in the turbulent regime, an experimental study is performed at a different weight concentration of Al₂O₃ nanoparticles. The experiment had conducted for water and Alumina-water nanofluid for the concentration range of 0 to 0.1 wt.% and Reynolds number of 4000 to 16000. The average heat transfer coefficient ratio increases significantly as Reynolds number increasing, increased from 9.6% at Re of 4000 to 26.3% at Re of 16,000 at the constant weight concentration of 0.1%. Alumina-water nanofluid exhibited excellent thermal performance in the tube with a backward-facing step in comparison to distilled water. However, the pressure losses increased with the increase of the Reynolds number and/or the weight concentrations, but the enhancement rates were insignificant.
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1. Introduction

Separation, recirculation regions, and consequent reattachment due to sudden expansion in flow geometry, such as a backward-facing step (BFS), play an important role in fluid mechanics and many engineering applications, where heat transfer occurs. This sudden expansion present in heating or cooling applications such as high-performance heat exchangers, chemical processes, combustion chambers, cooling of nuclear reactors, cooling turbines blades, cooling electronic equipment and wide-angle diffusers etc. In many circumstances, sudden expansion is undesirable and could be the source of enhanced pressure drop along with energy losses that require additional power supply. However, in many instances sudden expansions are encouraged, these lead to the enhanced heat and mass transfer rates due to higher mixing in separation and reattachment flow regions [1]. Because of this reality, the problem of laminar and turbulent flows over backward-facing and forward-facing steps test loop in natural, mixed and forced convection have been extensively investigated, both numerically and experimentally [2].

Conventional heat transfer fluids such as water, oil, and ethylene glycol have characteristically low thermal conductivity than metal oxides and metals. Consequently, heat transfer characteristics of fluids are expected to be better than traditional heat transfer fluids upon suspending solid particles [3]. But fluids with suspended particles of a millimeter or micrometer size in the practical application used as a coolants source have some problems, such as corrosion, erosion, instability of particles, clogging of flow channels, and additional pressure drop [4-6]. In contrast, many researchers have reported that the convective heat transfer coefficient and the effective thermal conductivity of the base fluid can be enhanced via dispersing solid nanoparticles of a high thermal conductivity in conventional base fluids [7-11]. Solid particles can be metallic such as Al₂O₃, SiO₂, CuO, Cu, ZnO and TiO₂, and nonmetallic e.g. carbon and graphene nanoparticles etc. [12-14]. Many researchers investigated flow separation in the past decades. The pioneer researchers Boelter, Young and Iversen [15], Ede, Hislop and Morris [16], Seban [17], Abbott and Kline [18], Filetti and Kays [19], Goldstein, Eriksen, Olson and Eckert [20] established theoretical and experimental methods of studying separation flow that take place due to changes in the cross-section of the passage. Abu-Nada [21] as a pioneer researcher reported laminar forced convective heat transfer coefficient over a duct with a sudden expansion in the presence of different nanofluids loaded with Al₂O₃, TiO₂, Cu, CuO, and Ag. He numerically investigated the effects of volume fractions between 0.05 and 0.2 and Reynolds numbers in the range of 200-600. He assumed two-dimensional laminar flow situation solving the backward-step flow problem numerically. An investigation of findings indicates that the Nusselt number has increased with the Reynolds number and volume fraction. Al-aswadi, Mohammed, Shuaib and Campo [22] have numerically investigated the laminar forced convective flow over a 2D horizontal backward-facing step in a duct by using finite volume method in the presence of different nanofluids. They have reported that the reattachment length and recirculation size show enhancement with the increase of the Reynolds number. They concluded low dense nanoparticles such as SiO₂ exhibited the highest velocity than those with high dense nanoparticles such as Au. The effects of nanofluid on a mixed convective heat transfer over 2D microscale backward-facing step in the range of laminar flow are investigated numerically by Kherbeet, Mohammed and Salman [23]. They have used four types of nanoparticles; CuO, Al₂O₃, ZnO, and SiO₂, with a volume fraction range of 1-4%. Their results have revealed that
SiO$_2$ nanofluid shows the highest Nusselt number among all the used nanofluids. It has also reported by them that with the increase of volume fraction of nanoparticles in the base fluid, the Nusselt number increases.

Recently, combined convection flows are investigated numerically over a two-dimensional forward-facing step with a blockage using different types of nanofluids (SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, ZnO, and CuO), different diameters (25nm-80nm) of nanoparticles and different volume fractions (1-4%), by Kherbeet, Mohammed, Munisamy and Salman [24]. Additionally, effects of different shapes of blockage (Square, Circular, and triangular) in a test section were investigated. The aforementioned study has shown that the highest Nusselt number has achieved in the circular blockage followed by square blockage and triangular blockage. They have also reported that the nanofluids with SiO$_2$ nanoparticles have the highest Nusselt number. Conversely, the Nusselt number increases with the increase of volume fraction and Reynolds number and decreases as the nanoparticles diameter increases. The mixed convective flow over 3D horizontal micro scale backward-facing step was investigated numerically by Kherbeet, Mohammed, Munisamy and Salman [25]. In this study, Ethylene glycol (EG)-based SiO$_2$ nanofluid with a nanoparticle diameter of 25 nm and volume fraction of 0.04% has been used. They have reported that with the increase of the step height the Nusselt number and skin friction coefficient increases. Their findings also have shown that the amount of pressure drop and Reynolds number decrease with an increase in the step height. More recently, Kherbeet, Mohammed, Salman, Ahmed, Alawi and Rashidi [26] experimentally investigated heat transfer characteristics of laminar nanofluid flow over the micro scale forward-facing step and backward-facing step. In their experimental investigation, the Reynolds number range of 280-480, the weight concentration of 1 % and 0.5 % with the SiO$_2$ diameter of 30 nm as an additive have used.

From the literature review, it is obvious that the experimental study of nanofluid flow and heat transfer over backward-facing step in the turbulent flow regime seems have not taken under consideration. The aim of the present study is to investigate experimentally turbulent forced convective flow and heat transfer of water-based Al$_2$O$_3$ nanofluid on a backward-facing step with tubular geometry at constant heat flux. The deionized water has considered as a base fluid with Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles suspended in the base fluid via sonication. The effects of the concentration of nanoparticles in the range of 0.0 to 0.1 weight percentages and Reynolds number in the range of 4000 to 16000 on heat transfer coefficient have been investigated. In addition, the effects of concentrations of nanoparticles and Reynolds number on pressure drop have also taken into consideration.

2. Experimental setup

2.1. Experimental apparatus

The schematic of test rig applied in this study is shown in Figure 1. The flow loop consists of stainless steel piping, a jacketed tank connected with a chiller for cooling and heating of the stock solution up to the desired bulk temperature, a variable speed pump, a magnetic flow meter, differential pressure transducer, heated test section and recycles piping setup. Working fluids are pumped by a Cole Parmer TM magnetic drive pump from a 20L capacity stainless steel jacketed tank and the fluid flow rate being controlled by a Hoffman MullerTM inverter. An N-FLO-25 Electromagnetic flow meter and a FoxboroTM differential pressure transmitter respectively measured the flow rate and the pressure drop. The test section is a stainless-steel pipe of 800 mm length, 25.4 mm internal diameter with the separation ratio 2.
The outside wall of test section has insulated with a thick glass wool to minimize the amount of heat loss. The test section was heated by using two programmable DC power supply units (Agilent Technologies N8731A) with outputs of 8 V and output current of 400 A. Sixteen K type (Omega) thermocouples were mounted on the test section using high-temperature epoxy glue on the outer surface of upstream of the test section. The positions of thermocouples are schematically presented in Figure 2. Besides, two RTD (PT-100) sensors (Omega) were installed to obtain bulk temperature at the inlet and outlet of the test section. All the thermocouples including RTDs were calibrated by Ametek temperature calibrator (AMETEK Test & Calibration Instruments, Denmark). The thermocouples and RTDs were connected to the SCADA system for the continuous monitoring and recording of the temperature data by a WINCC software in a computer.
2.2. Preparation of Nanofluids

Aluminum oxide powder (Al₂O₃ nanopowder with particle size ~50 nm), acquired from sigma Aldrich, and distilled water were used to prepare the Al₂O₃. Nanopowder of the desired amount was dispersed in distilled water by a probe sonicator for 30 minutes to have a homogenous suspension. Four concentrations (0.025, 0.05, 0.075 and 0.1 wt.%) of Al₂O₃ were considered in this experimental study.

2.3. Experimental data reduction

The power supply and heat flux added to the downstream channel wall could be obtained from Equations (1) and (3):

\[
\Phi = V \cdot I \quad (1)
\]

\[
Q = \dot{m}C_p(T_{\text{out}} - T_{\text{in}}) \quad (2)
\]

\[
\dot{q} = \frac{Q}{A_w} \quad (3)
\]

The local heat transfer coefficient, \( h_x \), temperature of the bulk fluid, \( T_{b,x} \), and temperature of the wall, \( T_{w,x} \), have been obtained by the following (4–6) equations:

\[
h_x = \frac{\dot{q}}{(T_{w,x} - T_{b,x})} \quad (4)
\]

\[
T_{b,x} = T_{\text{in}} + \frac{L_x}{L} \cdot \frac{Q}{\dot{m}C_p} \quad (5)
\]

\[
T_w = T_{TC} - \frac{\dot{q}}{(\lambda/x)} \quad (6)
\]

![Figure 2 Schematic view of the test section](image)

Where \( T_{TC} \) is a surface temperature measured via a thermocouple. \( \eta \) is the percentage of heat transfer enhancement, which can be calculated from equation (7). This parameter helps to well analyze the rate of enhancement in heat transfer after loading Al₂O₃.

\[
\eta = \frac{\bar{h}_{\text{cnf}} - \bar{h}_{\text{bf}}}{\bar{h}_{\text{bf}}} \times 100 \quad (7)
\]

where \( \bar{h}_{\text{cnf}} \) and \( \bar{h}_{\text{bf}} \) are the average heat transfer coefficient of nanofluid and the average heat transfer coefficient of base fluid respectively.

Using nanofluids in different heat exchangers increases both pressure drop and heat transfer coefficient [27, 28]. To evaluate the effectiveness of the nanofluid being used in the present study,
performance index (PI) was selected as a suitable parameter and PI could be calculated from equation (8).

\[
PI = \frac{\overline{h}_{c,nf}/\overline{h}_{c,bf}}{\Delta P_{n,f}/\Delta P_{b,f}} = \frac{R_h}{R_{\Delta P}} \tag{8}
\]

Where \(R_h\) is the ratio of the heat transfer of nanofluids to the base-fluid and \(R_{\Delta P}\) is the ratio of the pressure drop of the nanofluids to the base fluid.

3. Results and Discussion

For the first time, experimental investigation of heat transfer over a backward facing step using Alumina-water nanofluids is presented for the turbulent flow regime. Heat transfer over a backward-facing step is investigated experimentally in the present study for different Reynolds numbers and weight concentrations of Alumina-water nanofluids.

The effect of concentration of Alumina-water nanofluids on the Nusselt number at a range of Reynolds number 4000 - 16000 has been studied and the results present in Figures 3.

![Figure 3 Experimental Nusselt number of distilled water and Alumina-water nanofluids at different weight concentrations of 0.025%, 0.05%, 0.075% and 0.1% for different Reynolds numbers](image)

Distribution of the measured local Nusselt number (\(N_{ul}\)) in the range of Reynolds number 4000—16000 for different weight concentrations (0.025, 0.05, 0.075 and 0.1 wt.%) of nanoparticles have compared with the distilled water data in Figures 3. The local Nusselt number (\(N_{ul}\)) peaked at the X/D range of 4 to 6 before starting to decrease gradually. The results in Figure 3 revealed that the prepared water-based \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) nanofluids have higher \(N_{ul}\) than that of pure water. Furthermore, an increase in the concentration of \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) nanoparticles led to an enhancement in \(N_{ul}\). The region where
the $Nu_x$ values are increasing up to the maximum peak is the recirculation zone and the zone where the $Nu_x$ have peaked is the reattachment zone and consequently, the decrease of $Nu_x$ after the maximum peak up to almost straight line is the zone where fluids become fully-developed.

For an appropriate evaluation of heat transfer, the average heat transfer coefficient of Alumina-water nanofluid was compared with water ($h_c$) data at different weight concentrations (0.025, 0.05, 0.075 and 0.1 wt.%) over a range of Reynolds number, as shown in Figure 4 (a). The average heat transfer coefficient increases with the increase of weight concentrations and Reynolds number. Contrary to the heat transfer to fiber suspensions, where $h_c$ increases with the decrease of fiber concentration [29]. At the weight concentration of 0.1 wt.% and Reynolds number 16,000, the highest average heat transfer coefficient 4855 W/m$^2$K was achieved.

Figure 4 (b) presents heat transfer enhancement percentage of four different weight concentrations (0.025, 0.05, 0.075, and 0.1%) and five Reynolds numbers (4000, 7000, 10000, 13000, 16000) at a constant DC power supply of 600 W. The average heat transfer coefficient increases with the increase of concentrations and Reynolds number. Results revealed that with the increase of Reynolds number from 4000 to 16,000, the average (percent) heat transfer coefficient enhancement
Heat transfer enhancement in the presence of water-based Al$_2$O$_3$ nanofluid enhances linearly with the increase of concentration and Reynolds number. Heat transfer enhancement with the increase of nanoparticles concentration in nanofluid has occurred due to the enhancement of the rate of energy exchange at laminar sub-layer as eddies formation increases with the increase of nanoparticles concentration. Kherbeet, Mohammed and Salman [30] has reported that the enhancement of heat transfer is due to increase in energy exchange rates by random and irregular movements of particles as concentration increases. While, many
researchers have reported that the heat transfer enhancement is due to the increase of thermal conductivity of fluid from the addition of nanoparticles to the fluid [3, 13, 14, 31-34]. In contrast, Kherbeet, Mohammed and Salman [23] reported that the heat transfer enhancement is due to increase in viscosity with an increase of nanoparticles concentration. Viscosity gradient and a non-uniform shear rate source the particle migration which leads to higher heat transfer coefficient as numerically showed by some researchers [35]. On the other hand, Aravind, Baskar, Baby, Sabareesh, Das and Ramaprabhu [36] qualitatively studied and explained the cause of heat transfer enhancement which could be achieved by either decreasing thermal boundary layer thickness or increasing thermal conductivity.

Previously researchers have reported enhancement of heat transfer due to the increase of nanofluid concentration but still, the mechanism of heat transfer enhancement with the nanofluid concentration has not been clearly understood.

Figure 5 presents heat transfer coefficient ($h_c$) versus $x/d$ over a range of Reynolds number (Re) for water and water-based Al$_2$O$_3$ nanofluid at different weight concentration for the Re number of 16000, 10000, and 4000. The results reveal that the maximum $h_c$ increase with the increase of Re number and concentration of nanoparticles in the fluid, which satisfies the findings of other researchers [30]. The highest $h_c$ were obtained at the higher Re of 16000 and weight concentration of 0.1%, similar results were obtained by the previous researcher for a study of heat transfer in backward-facing step [37].

Performance index ($\varepsilon$) that is the ratio of the heat transfer rate to the pressure drop, has been measured for the evaluation of the economic performance of the working fluids. Recently many researchers reported that the heat transfer performance improves with the addition of solid nanoparticles but along with heat transfer improvement it also sources additional undesirable pressure drop. Consequently, the performance index is reported to assay both heat transfer and pressure drop. The deviations of the average performance index for alumina-water nanofluids are presented at different weight concentrations and Reynolds numbers in Figure 6. It is observed that the performance index of alumina-water nanofluid at all weight concentrations are higher than unity, that showing the effectiveness of the alumina-water nanofluids for being used over the backward-facing step.

![Figure 6 Performance index (\(\varepsilon\)) for the backward-facing step in the presence of distilled water and alumina-water nanofluids with different weight concentration.](image-url)
Furthermore, it is observed that with the increase of weight concentration of solid alumina nanoparticles in nanofluids, the performance index also increases as per expectation. The figure also shows that the good performance index curve is achieved at a weight concentration of 0.1% at different Re numbers, followed by a gradual decrease of the performance index with a decrease of Re and weight concentration.

Friction loss data in these experimental investigations are presented in the form of pressure drop as a function of Reynolds number. The data are presented in Figure 7 for water and water-based Al₂O₃ nanofluids at four different concentrations (0.025, 0.05, 0.075 and 0.1 wt.%) at the Reynolds number range from 4000 to 16000.

Figure 7 shows the pressure drop enhances with the increase of Reynolds number and the highest pressure drop data point is observed at a higher weight concentration (0.1 wt.%) of Alumina-water nanofluid and at the higher Reynolds number of 16000. Similarly, the lowest pressure peak is observed at the lower concentration (0.025 wt.%) of Al₂O₃-water nanofluid and at the lower Reynolds number of 4000. Although the difference in pressure drops are not significant in peaks of different concentrations of alumina-water nanofluids. The increase of pressure drop is due to increase of friction owing to increase of turbulence with the enhanced Reynolds number. Further, in Figure 7 the addition of nanoparticles increases the viscosity of base fluid slightly due to which a little difference between the different curves at varying concentrations are observed. Contrary to the pressure drop of fiber suspensions studies, where with the increase of concentration and flow rate the pressure drop decreases [38].

4. Conclusion

The convective heat transfer of alumina-water nanofluid flowing in a horizontal backward-facing step has experimentally investigated. Experiments have carried out for various concentrations and under turbulent flow conditions. The effects of concentrations and Reynolds number on the local
heat transfer coefficient, average heat transfer coefficient, local Nusselt number, Performance index and pressure losses have systematically been investigated. It is concluded that the prepared water-based alumina nanofluids at a low concentration have a higher rate of heat transfer than that of distilled water. Heat transfer rate rises highly, at a loading of only 0.1 wt.% Alumina nanoparticles in distilled water, representing more than 26.3% enhancement. Also, heat transfer coefficient and Nu number of alumina-water nanofluids and distilled water for turbulent flow regime were measured experimentally for the first time over a backward-facing step. Furthermore, an increase of Reynolds number and the concentration of nanoparticles led to an increase of heat transfer rate. In addition, the pressure drop variation increases with the increase of Reynolds number and nanoparticles concentration but the changes are insignificant in the present range of investigation.
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