

# OPTIMIZATION OF MICRO PIN-FIN HEAT SINK WITH STAGGERED ARRANGEMENT

*Kozhikkatil Sunil Arjun\* and Rakesh Kumar*

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (ISM), Dhanbad-826004, India

**Abstract:** The effect of the pin-fin shapes on the overall performance of the carbon nanotube bundles as porous micro pin-fins with inline and staggered arrangement for the heat transfer and pressure drop is studied using FLUENT 15.0. The results of the study revealed that at  $100 < Re < 2000$ , triangle has the best performance followed by square, rectangle, hexagon and circle in 1mm height, 15mm width and 45mm length silicon rectangular mini-channel. The staggered configuration gave better heat transfer performance than in-line arrangement at all Reynolds numbers for all shapes with up to 19% thermal improvement but with up to 79% pressure drop differential. On a mini-channel surface with nanotube fins, the nanofluid (0.001 to 1%) increases the thermal performance up to 40% in comparison with water. The best thermal performance enhancement of 106% was obtained by using staggered triangular fins with larger fin height of 0.75 mm, smaller fin width of 0.5 mm and spacing double the fin width and 0.01% CuO/H<sub>2</sub>O nanofluid followed by 103% with 0.01% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O in comparison to channels with inline circular fins and water.

**Keywords:** Electronic cooling; computational fluid dynamics; pin-fin; mini-channels; nanofluid; carbon nanotube.

## 1. Introduction

The heat sink industry, traditionally the supplier of cooling products, is always searching for new technologies, which enhance thermal performance with no cost penalties. For this reason, a comparison of different geometries of pin-fin heat sinks is of interest and needs to be carried out to determine applicability as a general cooling product. Operating conditions for microprocessor heat sinks have become considerably simpler than in the past. The dimensions of fully ducted heat sinks of modern servers and workstations now feature narrower fin gaps approaching 1.5mm, tall fin heights approaching 50mm, and long flow lengths approaching 90mm. Among the different heat sink designs proposed in the past few decades, pin-fin heat sinks are the most promising one.

An extensive amount of work has been done on the pin-fin heat sinks and researchers have developed several effective pin-fin structures, and many of these structures has been gone through the optimization procedures [1-4]. Most of the work done in the pin-fin heat sinks was confined to the macro scale until the beginning of this decade [2-4]. However, as the manufacturing techniques used in the micro scale has developed vigorously and as the electronic industry is striving towards the miniaturization of the devices, some researchers started working on the development and optimization of the micro scale heat sinks recently [5,6]. The height and width of the fins are affecting the thermal resistance as well as the pressure drop in the heat sink [2]. The rectangular pin-fins outperformed the circular fins in the performance of the thermal resistance [3]. Circular and elliptical pins were showing the best thermal performance and copper was found to be the best material for the heat sink manufacturing [7]. At high Reynolds number, heat sinks with high density of pin-fins are preferred and less denser pin-fins are preferred at low Reynolds number [6]. The pin efficiency of the pin-fin heat sinks is low at micro level and this issue can be resolved

---

\*Corresponding author

E-mail address: arjun@mece.ism.ac.in

by using shorter pin-fins [5]. At very low, intermediate and high flow rates; circular, ellipse and rectangle pin-fins were the best performers [8]. The thermal resistance is the dominating factor at lower and intermediate flow rates and pumping pressure is the dominating factor at intermediate and high flow rates.

An experiment performed for circular finned micro heat sink found Ag-H<sub>2</sub>O nanofluid perform better than Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-water nanofluid [9]. Diamond-water nanofluid is better than Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-water from heat transfer point of view and circular fins give the higher heat transfer rate and square fins caused higher-pressure drop compared with other fin geometries [10]. Highest top wall temperature, friction factor and thermal resistance with rhombus and smallest hydraulic diameter of the hexagon has the highest-pressure drop and heat transfer coefficient among other shapes [11]. Heat transfer rates for nanofluids as a coolant are higher than water [12]. Nanofluid enhance the heat transfer, but it cause to increase the pressure drop [13]. Square pin-fins are thermally better than circle and the change in the axial pitch between the pin-fin structures show negligible effects on both the thermal and hydraulic behavior [14]. For heat sinks with circular pins, the thermal resistance of the device decreases as the axial pitch is decreased at the cost of increased pressure drop. Triangular geometry is the best shape [15]. Staggered geometries perform better than inline and at lower pressure drop and pumping power, elliptical fins work best [16]. At higher values, round pin-fin offer highest performance.


Crosscut pin-fin, straight and parallel plate fins were compared with elliptical pin-fin heat sink [7]. Cylindrical pin-fins give the best overall fan-sink performance, when only impinging flow drawn through the fin arrays was considered [17]. Round fins including the fin height to diameter ratio ( $h_f/d_f$ ) and the inter-fin pitch to diameter ratio ( $p_f/d_f$ ), gave a universal pressure drop result for all operating conditions and compared the performance of different pin-fin geometries [18,19]. The optimal geometry of an array of fins that minimizes the thermal resistance between the substrate and the flow forced through the fins [20]. Staggered arrays exhibit higher element heat transfer coefficients and friction factors than inline arrays at a given flow rate [21]. Thermal performance of the staggered fin configuration was better than the planar fin configuration, however, was realized at the expense of an additional pressure drop [22]. Heat transfer enhancement mechanisms and the geometrical effects in in-line and staggered parallel plate fin heat exchangers were examined [23]. Tip clearance, span wise spacing across a range of approach flow rates, and fin densities [24]. Effect of flow bypass on the performance of longitudinal fin heat sinks was studied [25]. Cooling performance of longitudinal heat sink was studied by numerical, experimental and nodal network techniques [26].

Microchannels have proven to be effective cooling systems and understanding how to achieve the maximum performance through modified channel devices and coolant is significant to keep electronic systems from malfunctioning. CNT microstructures, because of impressive material and mechanical properties built onto the surface of microchannels as pin-fins need to be further evaluated to maximize cooling with no cost penalties. The shape, material, size, pore density, spacing and layout of the pin-fins; diameter of channel and the choice of nanofluid with its concentration and size of nanoparticles are affecting the thermal resistance and pressure drop differently at different Re and their combined effect is vital. In this study, SWCNTs as a solid emerging out from surface and as porous media are modelled with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CuO-water nanofluids in single-phase regime with constant heat flux, no-slip and no interfacial resistance boundary conditions in a micro channel. Fin height is kept half the length of the channel height with same number of fins in the row as well as spacing same as the fin width is used to maximize the cooling without minimal penalties, varying the aforesaid vital factors identified.

## 2. Problem Description

Ten device geometries (in line and staggered circle, square, rectangle, triangle and hexagon) were developed for this simulation. A 1 mm thick rectangular mini-channel made of silicon with a size of 45 x 15

mm is used. Carbon Multi Walled Nano Tubes (MWNTs) with broad diameter distribution of 10 - 100 nm are used. For the fully covered, nanotubes with a diameter of 1 nm and 0.5 mm in height, were grown at the center of the silicon wafer at the bottom in a rectangular area of 25 x 15 mm<sup>2</sup> as is done with all micro pin-fin arrangements. Figure 1 is the device geometry of the mini-channel with triangular staggered micro pin-fins. Flow regime is considered a continuum flow and solved using the Navier-Stokes equations.



**Figure 1. Mini-channel model with triangular CNT pin-fins in a staggered array.**

The basic governing equations for a steady state, incompressible flow are: Continuity equations,

$$(\nabla \cdot \rho u) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Conservation of momentum,

$$\rho(u \cdot \nabla u) = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 u \quad (2)$$

$$\mu = \mu_0 \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^{3/2} \frac{T_0 + S}{T + S} \quad (3)$$

Conservation of energy,

$$\rho c_p (u \cdot \nabla T) = k \nabla^2 T \quad (4)$$

### 2.1. Simulation parameters

3D meshed geometry is discretized based on the finite volume method. ANSYS FLUENT 15.0 [27] is used to model the flow past the CNT pin-fins in a staggered array in the rectangular mini-channel and solves the governing equations iteratively for each control volume. Convergence criterion for the solution is residuals of less than 10<sup>-6</sup> for both continuity and momentum. Viscous-laminar model is enabled and the nanofluid is treated as single phase. Density, specific heat and thermal conductivity are 1027.9 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, 4.05 kJ/kgK and 0.62 W/mK for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O nanofluid and 1038.1 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, 3.767 kJ/kgK and 0.637 W/mK for CuO/H<sub>2</sub>O nanofluid used in the present study. The fluid properties are kept constant throughout the simulations. Each model contained a fine mesh surrounding the finned section with a less dense mesh as the channel extends to the inlet and outlet. In order to optimize the micro pin-fins using multiple flow rates and heat fluxes: varying height of fins, fin width and spacing, and applying multiple material properties to the pin-finned geometry. A constant heat flux of 100 W/m<sup>2</sup> is applied to a 15 x 25mm<sup>2</sup> area at the bottom of the channel. The flow is ensured hydrodynamically developed before the fluid reaches the heated region. Transverse and longitudinal spacing of the fins for this study is equal to double the width of the fin; fin height is kept half the channel height or 0.5 mm. For all geometries, the fin material property used is that of SWCNTs; however, because the thermal conductivity is unknown when nanotubes are clustered together to form fins, an effective thermal conductivity of 400 W/mK is used [28].

The CNT fins are modeled as a solid emerging out from surface rather than bundles of nanotubes. CNT micro pin-fins are made up of many nanotubes where the fluid penetrates through small gaps, or nano channels, allowing for an increased in convection within the system. As shown in [29], CNTs absorb the fluid at high temperatures creating a porous like material and increases heat transfer due to a hastened nucleate boiling onset initiating phase change. This assumption in the model may underestimate the thermal performance of CNT solid fins. Also, there are ongoing investigations of the effective thermal conductivities


of CNT bundles; therefore, an estimation of an effective thermal conductivity is used. Hence, the best performance case is simulated using porous medium. This study was simulated using multiple flow rate inputs. Initial inlet temperature and outlet static pressure values applied to the model are assumed for all simulations to be 25°C and 0 Pa, respectively. To monitor the heat transfer coefficient and the heat transfer rate, the outer walls of the channel are set to be adiabatic. No-slip boundary conditions and no interfacial resistance are assumed at the wall/fluid interface. Water is used as the working fluid flowing through this heat exchanger with different velocities through the inlet of the channel. Thermal resistance with Re 2000 at grid numbers 353638, 382366 and 456016 are found to have same value of 2.6. Numerical results and experimental data [30] were quite close (2.65 for Re 2000 with less than 2% deviation) and hence fine numbers of grid (456016) are chosen.

Using the different geometry and CNT material properties, channel clearance is varied using fin to channel height ratios between 0.25-0.75. This study is designed to understand the optimum fin height with respect to the channel and is compared to the channel with no fins. The spacing are kept equal to double the fin width. The height of the fins to the channel height is kept at half the channel clearance and the number of fins along each row varies based on the width and the spacing of the fins. Fin width is varied from 0.5-2.5 mm. Once the optimum size of fins is determined, the spacing is varied using the fin's width of 1.25 mm. Unlike the previous spacing that is the same as the fin width, the spacing is reduced to 2 mm and 2.25 mm while maintaining the same number of fins in the row. These were all compared to the smooth, unfinned channel. The same pin-fin geometry is used similar to the first study with the fin width at 1 mm, spacing at 2 mm, and the fin height half of the channel height. Silicon, copper, and aluminum are also used as the fin material.

Water flows past pin-fins carrying heat subjected by bottom surface. With constant heat flux, different geometry of nanotube bundles and forced convection, the temperature across surface of the microchip and bulk temperature of fluid vary. To accurately obtain heat transfer coefficient,  $h$ , across the microchip region for simulations, an average  $h$  was obtained. There were some uncertainties within the model that can increase the error in the approximation. As the scales start moving toward micro or nano regime, conventional continuum calculations can no longer be used. In addition, resistance created at interface of CNTs and working fluid is still a major issue. Influence of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O and CuO/H<sub>2</sub>O nanofluids on the thermal performance in comparison with cases of no nanotubes and with nanotube fins using water are also investigated.

### 3. Validation

To validate, experimental results taken from work completed for a heat sink containing 625 square micro pin-fins of 445 x 445 μm<sup>2</sup> in a staggered array was solved with water used as the working fluid flowing with Re ranging from 60 to 800 [31]. The fins and channel have a height of 3 mm. The longitudinal and transverse spacing of the fin measured 565.7 μm. The ambient fluid temperature is initially at room temperature and heat is applied to the bottom surface. The Nusselt number and pressure drop, across the finned structure were calculated and compared to the experimental data shown in Figure 2 and are very similar to that of the value obtained in the experimental work for Nu values and pressure drop except for Re 100 and Re 500 (for pressure drop alone) within 21%. This proves that present study can accurately predict the heat transfer through the channel with micro pin-fins on the surface.  $\phi$  is the volumetric concentration of the particles in the nanofluid which in this case is  $\phi = 0.01$ . Computational simulation involves mono-sized particles, whereas particles with a range of particle sizes are used in the experiments, thus leading to differences in inter particle frictional forces; which might be the reason for such deviations in experimental and computational pressure drop values.



**Figure 2. Validation of simulated data of staggered square pin-fins at different Re (a) Nu (b) Pressure drop.**

#### 4. Results and Discussion

Average Re and Nu are collected for the different inputted heat fluxes and flow rates. Average axial velocity was obtained as the fluid flowed across the fin bank.

$$Re = \frac{\rho u D_c}{\mu} \quad (5)$$

Nu is proportional to the average heat transfer coefficient,  $h$ , and the hydraulic diameter of the channel, and inversely proportional to the thermal conductivity,  $k$ .

$$Nu = \frac{h D_c}{k} \quad (6)$$

Average heat transfer coefficient is obtained from the surface area of the fins and the base that the fluid interacts with. The expression is given below.

$$h = \frac{(h_{fin} A_{fin} + h_b A_b)}{(A_{fin} + A_b)} \quad (7)$$

$h_{fin}$  and  $h_b$  are the heat transfer coefficients of the fin and the base of the heated region only;  $A_{fin}$  and  $A_b$  are the surface areas in which the fluid touches the fin and the heated base region, respectively. The heat transfer coefficient of the fin and the base at the fluid interface is obtained by

$$h = \frac{q}{T_w - T_{nw}} \quad (8)$$


Where  $q$  is the wall heat flux,  $T_w$  is the temperature of the wall and  $T_{nw}$  is the near wall temperature. Pressure drop is determined across the pin-fins only to avoid any entrance and exit effects of the fluid flow using a maximum axial velocity. Three different mesh sizes with triangular elements are applied in the grid test for solid pin-fins at  $Re = 100$  using standard wall functions, achieved by refining the mesh. Triangular elements were selected as they were more robust and the solutions required less grid refinement to obtain stable outlet bulk temperatures. The solution is tested for  $2000 \times 60$  (305.49 K) and  $2000 \times 90$  (305.44 K) grid sizes and it was noted that decreasing the  $2000 \times 75$  (305.45 K) does not result in any considerable difference in outlet bulk temperature and hence chosen as the optimal size. Mesh configurations of  $2000 \times 75$  ensured grid independence and is used for further simulations. The results for all of the studies are given below.

##### 4.1. Different shaped micro fins

For the same Re, the devices with the MWNTs perform better than the device with no MWNT. Similar to the results by Shenoy *et al.* 2011 for the finned device, the surface area is much higher than the device with no MWNTs and therefore more heat is removed. The Nusselt number with respect to the rectangular mini-channel surface area of  $375 \text{ mm}^2$  without fins was found to be 20.29 and the Nu with respect to the above area with triangular fins of MWNTs having a total surface area of  $420.5 \text{ mm}^2$  was 21.51; with the use of 0.01 per cent CuO/H<sub>2</sub>O nanofluid. Results of different shaped finned microstructures of inline

configuration on surfaces of mini-channels are compared to the staggered finned channel. With increasing  $Re$ ,  $Nu$  values increase for all mini-channel simulations. The  $Nu$  for the triangle and square shaped fins have similar values with a maximum average  $Nu$  difference of 1.4% for higher  $Re$ . For this data, triangular shaped fins showed better thermal performance. The circle shaped portrayed a thermal performance which is least effective than the triangle shaped fins by about 15% for inline and 8% for staggered at lower  $Re$  with a maximum difference of 19%. Rectangle and hexagon are more efficient than the circle with rectangle still better than hexagon. For lower  $Re$ , the  $Nu$  increase is high compared to that at higher  $Re$  with the gap decreases to have a 15% performance enhancement for the circular shaped fins. Figure 3 reflect the results of this study. The staggered triangular shape registered a 4% higher  $Nu$  in comparison to its inline arrangement. The staggered configuration registered higher  $Nu$  and pressure drop values for all fin shapes.

With the addition of fins, there is an increase of pressure drop. A value too high can create problems for the pumps to flow fluid through the mini-channel. As the velocity increases, the pressure drop increases for all models. The triangle pin-fin curve has the highest-pressure drop with up to about 1.4 kPa followed by the next shape: square. Lowest recorded circle shape yields a maximum pressure drop of 0.8 kPa for the same  $Re$  with a 79% decrease. The aerodynamic shapes reveal a lower pressure drop because there is less separation of the fluid from the solid body. The opening between the fins disrupts the momentum and the trailing edge of the thermal boundary layer of each oblique fin. This causes the leading edge to re-develop allowing the flow to remain in the developing state. The additions of fins show a big impact in the performance of the heat exchanger. The staggered triangular shape registered a 24% higher-pressure drop in comparison to its inline arrangement.




**Figure 3. Fin geometry: Nu and pressure drop vs Re (a) Inline (b) Staggered.**

#### 4.2. Different micro fin height

Figure 3 display the results for different channel clearances. With increasing fin height, the thermal performance increases with increasing  $Re$ . From the lowest fin height at 0.25 mm, compared to the unfinned channel, the  $Nu$  increase is high at low  $Re$  (33%) and the gap decreases to 23% for high  $Re$ . From the largest channel clearance of 0.75 mm to the smallest of 0.25 mm, there is a  $Nu$  increase of 23% (Figure 4). As the fins height is increased, the pressure drop drastically increases. Pressure drop from the largest channel


clearance is 3 kPa and the smallest channel clearance decreases to 0.6 kPa. Because there is a large pressure drop difference for the increasing height and the small Nu relative increase, the suggested fin height is dependent on the available pump parameters used within the cooling apparatus.



**Figure 4. Ratios of fin height to channel height: Nu and pressure drop vs Re.**

#### 4.3. Different micro fin width and spacing

With smaller fin width and spacing, i.e. number of fins was higher; the Nu value was greater than other fins. Fins with width of 0.5 mm projected an 97% higher Nu values than the unfinned channel and 40% higher Nu values than the lowest curve of fins width and spacing at 2.5 mm. Fins with width 2.5 mm still yield a higher Nu value about 41% greater than the unfinned channel with higher Re. This was shown in Figure 5.



**Figure 5. Fin width and fin spacing: Nu and pressure drop vs Re.**

While the smaller fin width and spacing provides higher performance, pressure drop between across the fins is sacrificed. As the fin dimensions are reduced, the pressure drop increases. Fins with width of 0.5 mm and spacing of 1 mm, the pressure drop is about 211% compared to the bigger fin width and spacing of 2.5 mm and 5 mm which has about 309% compared to the unfinned channel. The corresponding graph displaying these results is Figure 4. The bigger fins express a smaller pressure drop across the channel. This is probably due to the fewer disturbances the fluid has within the channel. It is interesting to note that for both the pressure drop and the Nu the fins with width of 0.5 mm and 0.75 mm are very similar. The optimum fin width is capped out between these two widths. Similar to the other conclusion, specification of the pump parameters should be taken into account on the number of fins the system can have before the pressure drop is too high. From the results shown in Figure 4, as the spacing was closer to equal the fin width, the performance was greater. From the smallest spacing to the largest, i.e. 2 mm to 2.5 mm, there was a 3% thermal enhancement for larger fins spacing. With a decrease in fin spacing, the pressure drop increases. There is about 25% increase in pressure drop from the 2.5 mm spacing to the 2 mm. From the results, it can be concluded that with decrease in width/spacing creates higher thermal performance with a sacrifice in pressure drop. By only changing the spacing, the maximum spacing yields a higher thermal performance providing a minimal pressure drop.

The parameter relating the performance of roughened channel (f) with that of smooth channel (s), representing both heat transfer (Nu) and pressure drop (friction factor, f) characteristics is made use of in presenting the results using the equation:


$$\varepsilon = \frac{Nu_f/Nu_s}{(f_f/f_s)^{1/3}} \quad (9)$$

The thermohydraulic performance parameter,  $\varepsilon$  obtained in the present study is 1.45, 2.06, 1.04 and 0.9 for Re values 100, 500, 1500 and 2000 respectively. This shows that the use of fins were feasible in the present study at all Re values except at Re = 2000.

#### 4.4. Different micro fin materials




For single-phase flows, changing material properties show little variation within the Nu values, yet, they are still larger than unfinned channel. The results portraying this study are shown in Figure 6. These findings show that the chosen fin material had little effect on the Nu values (5-8%). In this study, CNTs are modelled as a porous media that contain small gaps where the fluid can penetrate. The nanotubes can also initiate nucleation sites initiating boiling and therefore enhancing heat transfer. Pressure drops display no difference between the fin materials because the geometry remained the same for this section of the study. Pressure drop for these studies have shown critical in optimizing fin geometry and topology. For a single phase, laminar, flow through a mini-channel, Nu values for pin-fins did show improvement compared to the unfinned channel; however, various shapes, heights, widths and spacing only show significant deviation.



**Figure 6. Nu versus Re for selected fin materials.**


#### 4.5. Effect of use of nanofluids

The finned MWNT device has a thermal enhancement and pressure drop increase of about 103% using 0.01% volume concentration of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  nanofluid with respect to the same device using de-ionized water (Figure 7). The channel with finned MWNTs had increases of 106% Nu for the same base temperature compared to the channel with no MWNTs using CuO nanofluid (Figure 7). The increase was observed in these channels having surface defects in the form of MWNTs, probably due to the presence of the Brownian motion and nanoconvection in which the nanoparticles take part. The pressure drop obtained using the nanofluid for each channel show lower values with the addition of nanoparticles. Similar to the results for water, finned MWNTs device caused higher-pressure drops when compared to the no MWNTs. This is due to the difference in hydraulic diameters created by the protruding MWNTs on the surface. Higher pressure drops were also noticed for CuO nanofluid (40%) in comparison to  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  (39%) nanofluid.




**Figure 7. Effect of nanofluids on use of fins (a)  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  nanofluid (b)  $\text{CuO}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  nanofluid.**


The hydrodynamic and thermal behaviour studied in terms of velocity and temperature contours at  $\text{Re} = 500$  passing through the centreline in the flow direction of the rectangular mini-channel without fin and also with triangular and square shaped pin-fins using 0.01 per cent  $\text{CuO}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  nanofluid are shown as Figures 8 to 13. It is inferred that the temperature is increasing along the flow direction due to heat transfer and velocity increases at side of the wall along the flow. Also, it has seen that the shape of flow differ for every geometry and triangular finned heat sink gives the better heat mixing compared to other geometry and this finding further support the result of better heat transfer enhancement with the triangular finned heat sink.




**Figure 8. Temperature contour with triangular fins.**




**Figure 9. Temperature contour with square fins.**




**Figure 10. Temperature contour with no fins.**



**Figure 11. Velocity contour with triangular fins.**



**Figure 12. Velocity contour with square fins.**



**Figure 13. Velocity contour with no fins.**

This study verifies that the major enhancement, when using nanofluids to cool heated surfaces, is the surface defects that are deposited on the surface. The feasibility of nanofluid in this study is ascertained with better heat transfer rate enhancement compared to the settling issues with nanofluids and consequent deposition as the performance ratio being higher than one in all cases except at  $\text{Re} = 2000$ . As stated [32],

these particles create imperfections on the surface causing an increased wettability. The channels that already contain engineered structures increase the surface area and wettability and the thermal performance is not significantly improved.

## 5. Conclusions

The overall performance of the five different CNT heat sinks with different shaped pin-fin structures arranged in staggered and inline order was studied in this paper for different Reynolds number varying from 100 to 2000 using nanofluids at different concentrations inside rectangular mini-channels. In the single-phase regime, for the porous finned device using nanofluid, 106% increase in thermal performance was obtained at higher Re. The pressure drop increased significantly to a staggered triangular finned channel; however, the addition of nanoparticles had not much significant effect. Following points are worth concluding from the present results:

- Triangular finned-heat sink gives the higher cooling rate compared to other finned heat sink for all values of Reynolds number. After triangular, square, rectangle, hexagon and circular finned heat sinks perform better in heat transfer point of view in descending order.
- Circle finned heat sink gives minimum pressure drop at all values of Reynolds number followed by hexagon, rectangle, square and triangle. Square and triangular finned heat sink approximately has same performance in heat transfer and pressure drop.
- In all cases, staggered geometries perform better than inline to around 4%. At lower values of pressure drop and pumping power, circular fins work best. At higher values, triangular pin-fins offer highest performance.
- The rate of heat transfer is increased by using the nanofluid but the pressure drop also increases.
- Nu values of fin shapes were within 19% of each other at low Re and 15% at high Re with the triangle fins registered the highest and the circle fins lowest; however, the pressure drop values are also greatly affected in the same fashion. The lowest Nu value of triangular inline fin was lower than 4% when compared to the triangular staggered.
- With increasing fin height, the Nu increases; however, the pressure drop also increases drastically. From the lowest tested fin height to channel ratio to the highest, the difference in Nu is 23% and the pressure drop differential at higher Re is almost 2.4 kPa.
- With decreasing fin width and spacing the Nu increases; however, the increase in pressure drop is significant. For fin width at 0.5 mm, there was an increase in performance to 97% higher than that of the unfinned channel and about 40% higher Nu values to the larger fin width and spacing at higher Re. Pressure drop increased significantly with a 400% increase to the unfinned channel even at lower Re.
- When the spacing is made double to the fin width, the thermal performance is better by 17% with minimal pressure drop of about 50% in comparison to spacing is kept less than double the fin width at lower Re. Fin material shows little effect of 5% on fin performance.
- It was observed that on a mini-channel surface with nanotube fins; the nanofluids increases the thermal performance in channels with nanotube fins with the best (106%) by 0.01% CuO/H<sub>2</sub>O nanofluid followed by 0.01% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O (103%) with respect to inline circular finned channels using water. The corresponding pressure drop increased with a 40% and 39% increase with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O and CuO/H<sub>2</sub>O nanofluid respectively.

## Nomenclature

$A_b$	Area of base [m <sup>2</sup> ]	$d_f$	Diameter of fin [m]
$A_{fin}$	Cross-sectional area of fin [m <sup>2</sup> ]	$h$	Heat transfer coefficient [W/m <sup>2</sup> ·K]
CNT	Carbon Nano Tube	$h_c$	Height of channel [m]
$D_c$	Hydraulic diameter of channel [m]	$h_f$	Height of fins [m]

$p_f$	Inter-fin pitch [m]	$T_{nw}$	Near wall temperature [K]
$S$	Sutherland constant [K]	$T_w$	Wall temperature [K]
$S_f$	Spacing of fins [m]	$w_f$	Width of fins [m]
$T$	Static temperature [K]	$\mu$	Viscosity [kg/m·s]
$T_0$	Reference temperature [K]	$\mu_0$	Reference viscosity [kg/m·s]

## References

- [1] Chen, H. T., Chen, P. L., Horng, J. T., Hung, Y. H., Design optimization for pin-fin heat sinks, *J. Electron. Packag.*, 127 (2005) pp. 397-406
- [2] Park, K., Choi, D. H., Lee, K. S., Numerical shape optimization for high performance of a heat sink with pin-fins, *Numer. Heat Transfer Part A*, 46 (2004) pp. 909-927
- [3] Park, K., Rew, K. H., Kwon, J. T., Kim, B. S., Optimal solutions of pin-fin type heat sinks for different fin shapes, *J. Enhanc. Heat Transf.*, 14 (2007) pp. 93-104
- [4] Abdel-Rehim, Z. S., Optimization and thermal performance assessment of pin-fin heat sinks, *Energ. Source Part A*, 31 (2009), pp. 51-65
- [5] Kosar, A., Peles, Y., TCPT-2006-096.R2: Micro scale pin-fin heat sinks - Parametric performance evaluation study, *IEEE Trans. Compon. Packag. Technol.*, 30 (2007) pp. 855-865
- [6] Peles, Y., Kosar, A., Mishra, C., Kuo, C. J., Schneidern, B., Forced convective heat transfer across a pin-fin micro heat sink, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer*, 48 (2005) pp. 3615-3627
- [7] Chapman, C. L., Lee, S., Schmidt, B. L., Thermal Performance of an Elliptical Pin-fin Heat Sink, *Proc. 10<sup>th</sup> IEEE Semi-Therm.*, San José, California, USA, 1994, pp. 24-31
- [8] John, T. J., Mathew, B., Hegab, H., Characteristic Study on the Optimization of Pin-Fin Micro Heat Sink, *ASME Int. Mech. Eng. Cong. & Expos.* Lake Buena Vista, FL, USA, 2009, pp. 1373-1380
- [9] Dwivedi, K., Malviya, R. K., Sinha, R., FVM Analysis for Thermal and Hydraulic Behaviour of Circular Finned MPFHS by Using Ag-H<sub>2</sub>O Nano Fluid, *Int. J. Eng. Res. Appl.*, 4 (2014) pp. 64-68
- [10] Hasan, M. I., Investigation of flow and heat transfer characteristics in micro pin-fin heat sink with nanofluid, *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, 63 (2014) pp. 598-607
- [11] Alfaryjat, A. A., Mohammed, Y. A., Adam, N. M., Ariffin, M. K. A., Najafabadi, M. I., Influence of geometrical parameters of hexagonal, circular, and rhombus microchannel heat sinks on the thermo hydraulic characteristics, *Int. Commun. Heat Mass*, 52 (2014) pp. 121-131
- [12] Naphon, P., Farkade, L. N., Heat transfer of nanofluids in the mini-rectangular fin heat sinks, *Int. Commun. Heat Mass*, 40 (2013) pp. 25-31
- [13] Seyf, H. R., Feizbakhshi, M., Computational analysis of nanofluid effects on convective heat transfer enhancement of micro-pin-fin heat sinks, *Int. J. Therm. Sci.*, 58 (2012) pp. 168-179
- [14] John, T. J., Mathew, B., Hegab, H., Parametric study on the combined thermal and hydraulic performance of single phase micro pin-fin heat sinks part I: square and circle geometries, *Int. J. Therm. Sci.*, 49 pp. (2010) pp. 2177-2190
- [15] Ricci, R., Montelpare, S., An experimental IR thermo graphic method for the evaluation of the heat transfer coefficient of liquid-cooled short pin-fins arranged in line, *Exp. Therm. Fluid Sci.*, 30 (2006) pp. 381-391
- [16] Soodphakdee, D., Behnia, M., Copeland, D. W., A comparison of fin geometries for heat sinks in laminar forced convection: part 1- round, elliptical and plate fins in staggered and inline configuration, *Int. J. Microcircuits Electron. Packag.*, 24 (2001) pp. 68-76
- [17] Wirtz, R. A., Sohal, R., Wang, H., Thermal Performance of Pin-Fin Fan-Sink Assemblies, *J. Electron. Packag.*, 119 (1997) pp. 26-31

- [18] Sparrow, E. M., Larson, E. D., Heat Transfer from Pin-Fins Situated in an Oncoming Longitudinal Flow which Turns to Crossflow, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer*, 25 (1982) pp. 603-614
- [19] Larson, E. D., Sparrow, E. M., Shorter Communications in Heat Transfer from Pin-fins Situated in an Oncoming Longitudinal Flow which Turns to Crossflow, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer*, 25 (1982) pp. 723-725
- [20] Bejan, A. Morega, A. M., Optimal Arrays of Pin-fins and Plate Fins in Laminar Forced Convection, *J. Heat Transf.*, 115 (1993) pp. 75-81
- [21] Wirtz, R. A., Colban, D. M., Comparison of the Cooling Performance of Staggered and In-Line Arrays of Electronic Packages, *J. Electron. Packag.*, 118 (1996) pp. 27-30
- [22] Sathyamurthy, P., Runstadler, P. W., Lee, S., Numerical and Experimental Evaluation of Planar and Staggered Heat Sinks, *Proc. 5<sup>th</sup> Itherm.*, Orlando, Florida, USA, 1996, pp. 132-139
- [23] Zhang, L. W., Balachandar, S., Tafti, D. K., Najjar, F., Heat Transfer Enhancement Mechanisms in Inline and Staggered Parallel-Plate Fin Heat Exchanger, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer*, 40 (1997) pp. 2307-2325
- [24] Barrett, A. V., Obinelo, I. F., Characterization of Longitudinal Fin Heat Sink Thermal Performance and Flow Bypass Effects through CFD Methods, *Proc. 13<sup>th</sup> IEEE Semi-Therm.*, Austin, Texas, USA, 1997, pp. 158-164
- [25] Wirtz, R. A., Chen, W., Zhou, R., Effect of Flow Bypass on the Performance of Longitudinal Fin Heatsinks, *J. Electron. Packag.*, 116 (1994) pp. 206-211
- [26] Iwasaki, H., Sasaki, T., Ishizuka, M., Cooling Performance of Plate Fins for Multichip Modules, *IEEE Trans. Compon. Packag., Manuf. Technol. A*, 18 (1995) pp. 592-595
- [27] Ansys, *ANSYS Fluent 15.0 Theory Guide*, ANSYS Inc., Canonsburg, PA, USA, 2013, pp. 1-780
- [28] Zhong, X., Fan, Y., Liu, J., Zhang, Y., Wang, T., Cheng, Z., A Study of CFD Simulation for On-chip Cooling with 2D CNT Micro-Fin Array, *Proc. Int. Symp. High Dens. packag. & Microsys. Integ.*, China, 2007, Vol. 7, pp. 442-447
- [29] Shenoy, S., Tullius, J. F., Bayazitoglu, Y., Mini-channels with Carbon Nanotube Structured Surfaces for Cooling Applications, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer*, 54 (2011) pp. 5379–5385
- [30] Jonsson, H., Moshfegf, B., Modeling of the Thermal and Hydraulic Performance of Plate fin, Strip Fin, and Pin Fin Heat Sinks – Influence of Flow bypass, *IEEE Trans. Compon. Packag. Technol.*, 24 (2001) pp. 142-149
- [31] Liu, M., Liu, D., Xu, S., Chen, Y., Experimental Study on Liquid Flow and Heat Transfer in Micro Square Pin-fin Heat Sink, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer*, 54 (2011) pp. 5602–5611
- [32] Ahn, H. S., Kim, M. H., A Review on Critical Heat Flux Enhancement with Nanofluids and Surface Modification, *J. Heat Transfer*, 134 (2012), pp. 1-13

Paper submitted: 21. December 2016.

Paper revised: 20. September 2017.

Paper accepted: 22. September 2017.