HEAT TRANSFER IN NATURAL CONVECTION FLOW OF NANOFLOWLID ALONG A VERTICAL WAVY PLATE WITH VARIABLE HEAT FLUX

by

Irfan MUSTAFAa* and Tariq JAVIDb

a Department of Mathematics, Allama Iqbal Open University, Islamabad, Pakistan
b Department of Mathematics and Statistics, FBAS, International Islamic University, Islamabad, Pakistan

Original scientific paper
https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI161012014M

The present analysis is concerned to examine the enhancement of heat transfer in natural convection flow of nanofluid through a vertical wavy plate assumed at variable heat flux. The rate of heat transfer in nanofluid flow as compared to pure water can be increased due to increase the density of nanofluid which depends on the density and concentration of nanoparticles. For this analysis, Tiwari and Das model is used by considering two nanoparticles i.e. Al2O3 and Cu are suspended in a base fluid (water). A very efficient implicit finite difference technique converges quadratically is applied on the concerning PDE for numerical solution. The effects of pertinent parameters namely, volume fraction parameter of nanoparticle, wavy surface amplitude, Prandtl number and exponent of variable heat flux on streamlines, isothermal lines, local skin friction coefficient and local Nusselt number are shown through graphs. In this analysis, a maximum heat transfer rate is noted in Cu-water nanofluid through a vertical wavy surface as compared to Al2O3-water and pure water.
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Introduction

Investigation of natural convection flow through a vertical sinusoidal wavy plate has significant importance in completely analyzing the industrial and engineering problems. Such flows are controlled by the density difference and, therefore, the flow has impact on heat transfer. A flow on the rough surface is disturbed by the surface and then flow and heat transfer rates are changed. These kind of roughened surfaces have many applications in real life which are taken into account such are flat plate condensers, heat transfer collectors, heat exchanger, and refrigerators. A well-known example of the mechanism of heat exchanger is a radiator which is commonly used in vehicle. In this mechanism, the generation of heat in engine can be shifted to air flowing through radiator. Yao [1] was the pioneer who studied heat transfer phenomena through vertical wavy plate in natural convection flow. He obtained numerical solution of the problem and found that heat transfer rate become double along wavy surface. Moulic and Yao [2] extended the work of Yao [1] by including free stream velocity in mixed convection flow through a
wavy sheet. They concluded that heat flux through a flat plate is greater than that of wavy plate. Bhavnani and Bergles [3] performed an experimental study in natural convection flow through wavy plate and noted that heat transfer rate increases by about 15% when ratio between amplitude and wave length is 0.3. In another article Yao [4] examined free convection flow through a complex vertical wavy plate representing sinusoidal nature. He observed that heat transfer rate through a flat sheet was smaller as compared to complex wavy surface. Jang et al. [5] examined free convection flow through a vertical wavy plate and analysis both important phenomena of heat and mass transfer rates by calculating numerical solution. Jang and Yan [6] investigated transient aptitudes in natural convection flow for both heat and mass transfer through a complex vertical wavy plate. They applied a co-ordinate transformation for converting the wavy sheet to flat sheet and numerical solution was calculated. Few representative studies on natural convection flow through a wavy sheet can be seen in refs [7-11].

Flow along roughened surfaces with wall heat flux can be applied for cooling purpose of electronic devices and nuclear components [12]. Moulic and Yao [13] reported free convection flow through a rough vertical surface by considering constant heat flux. Tashtoush and Irshaid [14] considered a variable heat flux on a horizontal wavy surface. In this study, separation points were calculated by considering amplitude of a wavy surface as 0.2 and obtained solutions against $x$ when exponent of variable heat flux is greater than $-0.5$. This work was extended by Tashtoush and Odat [15] by introducing magnetic field to the flow and found that the heat transfer rate is directly proportional to the increasing magnetic field strength. Rees and Pop [16] studied free convection flow through a vertical wavy sheet in porous medium by considering uniform heat flux. The work of Rees and Pop [16] extended by Molla et al. [17] introducing complex wavy surface and they found that additional harmonic substantially changes the temperature distribution and flow field near the surface. Rahman et al. [18] investigated free convection flow through a vertical wavy cone by considering temperature dependent viscosity and constant heat flux. Neagu [19] presented the analysis of the free convection flow through vertical wavy wall saturated in porous medium with heat and mass fluxes and obtained system was solved numerically.

A study of enhancement of heat transfer rate in fluids such as engine oil, ethylene glycol and water with low thermal conductivity has become an obstacle in engineering and industrial problems. To overcome these problems, many researchers worked to rise thermal conductivity of these kind of fluids. Experimental study reveals that thermal conductivity of these kind of fluids can be increased when nanoparticles are suspended with size up to 100 nm in these fluids and the resulting mixture is known as nanofluid. The heat transfer enhancement in nanofluids are very useful in many industrial problem such as pharmaceutical, food processing, fuel cells, microelectronics, hybrid-powered engines, and many others. Nanoparticles in nanomaterials are made by using metals Cu, Al, Au, Ag, and Fe, metal carbides SiC, oxides CuO, Al$_2$O$_3$, TiO$_2$, and nitrids SiN, AlN, etc. In the presence of these nanoparticles, thermal conductivity of nanofluid become greater as compared to base fluid. Choi [20] was the first who introduced the term of nanofluid. The analysis of heat transfer in nanofluids were investigated by few researchers [21-27]. A boundary-layer analysis in natural convection flow of a nanofluid through an isothermal vertical wavy surface was presented by Gorla and Kumari [28]. They considered Buongiorno’s model and solved obtained equations numerically using implicit scheme. Mahdy and Ahmed [29] studied free convection laminar flow of nanofluid through a vertical wavy sheet saturated in porous medium. Srinivasacharya and Kumar [30] discussed mixed convection flow of a nanofluid on an inclined wavy sheet with
radiation effect saturated in porous medium and solved numerically using chebyshev spectral collocation technique. They concluded that local heat transfer rate increases in both opposing and adding buoyancy flow cases with the increase of radiation. Habiba et al. [31] investigated a numerical study on free convection flow of Cu-water nanofluid through a vertical wavy sheet with uniform heat flux. Srinivasacharya and Kumar [32] investigated free convection flow of a nanofluid along an inclined wavy surface saturated in a porous medium in presence of wall heat flux and observed that mass and heat transfer rates in nanofluid increase by increasing the inclination of wavy surface.

The aim of current study is to investigate the rise of heat transfer rate in natural convection flow of nanofluids by considering Al$_2$O$_3$ and Cu nanoparticles through a vertical rough sheet with wall heat flux as a function of $x$. The solution of obtained equations is calculated numerically using Keller Box finite difference technique, and effects of emerging parameters on skin friction coefficient, streamlines, isotherm lines and local Nusselt number are presented graphically.

Mathematical formulation

The investigation on 2-D, steady natural convection flow of an incompressible nanofluid containing nanosize particles through a vertical wavy plate is carried out. The surface of wavy is considered as a sinusoidal function in term of sine is defined as $\sigma(x) = \sigma \sin(2\pi x/L)$ where $\sigma$ and $L$ are amplitude of the waviness and characteristic length of wavy plate respectively shown in fig. 1. A wavy surface is taken place along $x$-axis and $y$-axis is taken normal to it. The surface is considered to be heated due to variable flux $q_w = q_0(1 + x^2)^n$ and applied behind the wavy surface, which was considered by Merkin and Mahmood [33], where $q_0$ is constant and $x = \pi/L$ is dimensionless variable.

Two kinds of nanoparticles namely, Al$_2$O$_3$ and Cu uniform size and spherical shape [21] are suspended in a base fluid. The material properties of nanosize particles and base fluid are presented in tab. 1. In these properties, all physical properties except density of nanofluid do not depend on temperature. The governing PDE in nanofluid flow model presented by Tiwari and Das [22] in presence of Boussinesq approximations are defined:

$$\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} + \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{\rho_{nf}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \mu_{nf} \nabla^2 \mu + \frac{\Phi \rho \beta \beta_f}{\rho_{nf}} \left(1 + \phi \right) \rho_f \beta_f \left( T - T_w \right)$$
where the velocity components $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are taken along $x$- and $y$-directions, respectively, $\mu_{nf}$ – the dynamic viscosity of nanofluid described by Brinkman [34], $p$ – the pressure, $\rho_f$, $\beta_f$, and $\rho_s$, $\beta_s$ – are densities and thermal expansion coefficients of water base fluid and nanoparticles, respectively, $k_{nf}$ – the thermal conductivity and $\rho_{nf}$ – the density, $\alpha_{nf}$ – the thermal diffusivity of nanofluid, $g$ – the gravity, $\phi$ – the volume fraction parameter of nanoparticle, $T$ – the temperature of nanofluid, $T_\infty$ – the uniform ambient temperature, $(\rho c_p)_f$, $(\rho c_p)_f$, and $(\rho c_p)_s$ are heat capacities of nanofluid, water base fluid and nanoparticle, respectively. The relations of $k_{nf}$, $\rho_{nf}$, $\mu_{nf}$, $(\rho c_p)_f$, and $\alpha_{nf}$ are described [24]:

$$
 k_{nf} = \left( k_s + 2k_f \right) + 2\phi \left( k_s - k_f \right) \frac{k_f}{k_s + 2k_f} - \phi \left( k_s - k_f \right) \frac{k_f}{k_s + 2k_f}, \quad \mu_{nf} = \frac{\mu_f}{\left( 1 - \phi \right)^{2.5}}$
$$

$$
 \rho_{nf} = \phi \rho_f + (1 - \phi) \rho_s, \quad \alpha_{nf} = \frac{k_{nf}}{(\rho c_p)_f}, \quad \left( \rho c_p \right)_f = \phi \left( \rho c_p \right)_s + (1 - \phi) \left( \rho c_p \right)_f
$$

In previously expression, $k_f$ and $\mu_f$ are used to represent thermal conductivity and dynamic viscosity of water base fluid. The boundary conditions related to present flow geometry are given:

$$
 \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \left( \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v} \right) = -\frac{q_u}{k_{nf}} \text{ at } y = \bar{\sigma}(x)
$$

$$
 u \to 0, \quad T \to T_\infty, \quad \bar{p} \to p_\infty \text{ as } y \to \infty
$$

where $p_\infty$ is pressure at ambient temperature and $\bar{p}$ is unit normal to surface. Upon introducing non-dimensional variables as considered by Pop et al. [35]:

$$
 u = Gr^{-2/5} \frac{\rho_f L}{\mu_f}, \quad v = Gr^{-1/5} \frac{\rho_f L}{\mu_f} \left( v - \sigma_s \bar{\sigma} \right), \quad p = Gr^{4/5} \frac{L^2}{\rho f \nu_f^2} \left( \bar{p} - p_\infty \right)
$$

$$
 \theta = \left[ \frac{T - T_\infty}{q_u(x) L} \right] k_f Gr^{1/5}, \quad x = \frac{x}{L}, \quad y = Gr^{1/5} \frac{y - \bar{\sigma}(x)}{L}, \quad \alpha = \frac{\sigma}{L}, \quad \sigma(x) = \frac{\bar{\sigma}(x)}{L}, \quad \frac{d\sigma}{dx} = \sigma_x
$$

into eqs. (2)-(4) and neglecting the term of small order of Grashof number, the eqs. (2)-(4) in dimensionless form are written:

$$
 u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{h_2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{h_2} \sigma_x Gr^{1/5} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{h_1} \left( 1 + \sigma_x^2 \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{h_1}{h_2} \theta
$$

$$
 \sigma_x \left( u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{1}{h_2} Gr^{1/5} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{h_1} \sigma_x \left( 1 + \sigma_x^2 \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \sigma_{xx} u^2
$$
\[
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} + \frac{2mx}{(1+x^2)^2} u \theta = \frac{1}{h_{nf} k_f} \left( \frac{1+\sigma_{x}^2}{\text{Pr}} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2}
\]

(8)

The values of Grashof number, \( h_1, h_2, h_3, \) and \( h_4 \) are given:

\[
h_1 = (1 - \phi)^2 \left[ 1 - \phi + \phi \left( \frac{\mu}{\rho_f} \right) \right], \quad h_2 = \left[ 1 - \phi + \phi \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_f} \right) \right], \quad h_3 = \left[ 1 - \phi + \phi \left( \frac{\rho \beta}{\rho_f \beta_f} \right) \right]
\]

The inviscid flow solution is used for calculating the pressure gradient along \( x \)-axis, which entails \( \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial y^2} = 0 \). In eq. (7), the term on LHS is of \( O(1) \), therefore, order of \( \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial y^2} \) would be \( O(\text{Gr}^{-1/5}) \). From eqs. (6) and (7), the term \( \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial y^2} \) are eliminated, we get:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{h_{nf} \sqrt{1+\sigma_{x}^2}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{h_1}{h_2} \frac{\theta}{1+\sigma_{x}^2}
\]

(9)

The boundary conditions in eq. (5) take the new form:

\[
u = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = -\frac{k_f}{h_{nf}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\sigma_{x}^2}} \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0
\]

(10)

\[
u \to 0, \quad \theta \to 0, \quad p \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty
\]

The following transformation are introduced for reducing the governing PDE (8)-(9) into a convenient form:

\[
\eta = y (5x)^{-1/5}, \quad \psi = (5x)^{4/5} f (x,\eta), \quad \theta(x, y) = (5x)^{1/5} \theta(x, \eta)
\]

(11)

in which \( \psi \) represents stream function and expressed as \( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = u \) and \( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = -v \). The previous equations take form:

\[
\frac{1}{h_1} (1 + \sigma_{x}^2) f'' + \left( 5x \sigma_{x} \sigma_{\alpha} + 3 \right) f'' + 4 f' + \frac{h_1}{h_2} \frac{1}{1+\sigma_{x}^2} \theta = 5x \left( f' \frac{\partial f'}{\partial x} - f' \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)
\]

(12)

\[
\frac{1}{h_{nf} k_f} \frac{1 + \sigma_{x}^2}{\text{Pr}} \theta' - \left( \frac{10mx^2}{1+x^2} + 1 \right) f' \theta + 4 f \theta' = 5x \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} f' - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \theta' \right)
\]

(13)

and boundary conditions are:

\[
\theta(x, \eta) = \theta'(x, \eta) = 0, \quad \theta'(x, \eta) = -\frac{k_f}{h_{nf} \sqrt{1+\sigma_{x}^2}} \quad \text{at} \quad \eta = 0
\]

(14)

\[
f'(x, \eta) \to 0, \quad \theta(x, \eta) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to \infty
\]

where prime signs denote partial derivative with respect to \( \eta \). The skin friction coefficient \( C_{fs} \) and Nusselt number \( Nu_x \) are defined:
\[ C_{fx} = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho_f U^2}, \quad \text{Nu}_x = \frac{\overline{\dot{q}}_w(x)}{k_f(T_w - T_\infty)} \]  

(15)

with wall shear stress \( \tau_w \) and heat flux \( q_w(x) \) are defined:

\[ \tau_w = \mu_{nf} \left( \overline{\nabla \nu} \right)_{y=0}, \quad q_w = -k_{nf} \left( \overline{\nabla T} \right)_{y=0} \]  

(16)

The dimensionless form of skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number are obtained by substituting eqs. (11) and (16) into eq. (15), we get:

\[ C_{fx} \text{Gr}^{\alpha/5} = \frac{(5x)^{2/5} \sqrt{1 + \sigma^2 f''(x, 0)}}{(1 - \phi)^{2/5}}, \quad \text{Nu}_x \text{Gr}^{-\alpha/5} = x^{4/5} \theta(x, 0) \]  

Results and discussion

The solution of obtained system of non-linear PDE (12) and (13) subject to the boundary conditions (14) are calculated numerically using Keller Box implicit finite difference technique. For detailed study of the method, reader is referred to Cebeci and Bradshaw [36]. The computed results by present scheme for current flow problem are shown in tab. 2 and compared with that of previous results of Pop et al. [35] and Siddiqa et al. [10] for various values of \( x \) and found in good agreement, which shows the effectivity and accuracy of the method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x )</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>[35]</th>
<th>[10]</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>[35]</th>
<th>[10]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3585</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.5258</td>
<td>1.5217</td>
<td>1.5309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.5334</td>
<td>1.5307</td>
<td>1.5365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3585</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.5086</td>
<td>1.5137</td>
<td>1.5133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.875</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3585</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.5032</td>
<td>1.5009</td>
<td>1.5103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3585</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.5242</td>
<td>1.5201</td>
<td>1.5305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.125</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3585</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.5307</td>
<td>1.5279</td>
<td>1.5351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.250</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3585</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.5100</td>
<td>1.5147</td>
<td>1.5158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.375</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3585</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.5053</td>
<td>1.5029</td>
<td>1.5135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3585</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.5232</td>
<td>1.5191</td>
<td>1.5306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.625</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3585</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.5288</td>
<td>1.5261</td>
<td>1.5346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.750</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3585</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.5109</td>
<td>1.5154</td>
<td>1.5178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.875</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3585</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.5068</td>
<td>1.5043</td>
<td>1.5160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.3585</td>
<td>1.3574</td>
<td>1.5224</td>
<td>1.5184</td>
<td>1.5310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \)-water and Cu-water nanofluids are considered. Effects of pertinent parameters namely volume fraction parameter of nanoparticle, \( \phi \), Prandtl number, amplitude of wavy surface, \( \alpha \), and exponent of variable heat flux, \( m \), on \( C_{fx} \text{Gr}^{\alpha/5} \) (local skin friction coefficient) and \( \text{Nu}_x \text{Gr}^{-\alpha/5} \) (local Nusselt number) are presented through graphs. In figures, the solid and dashed lines are used to represent the behavior of Cu-water and \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \)-water nanofluids, respectively. Also, the streamlines and isothermal lines are drawn which describes the complete mechanism of the present flow problem. Figures 2 and 3 illustrate the variations in \( C_{fx} \text{Gr}^{\alpha/5} \) and \( \text{Nu}_x \text{Gr}^{-\alpha/5} \) against volume fraction parameter \( \phi \) for both Cu-water and \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \)-water nanofluids along vertical flat surface, \( \alpha = 0 \), and wavy surface, \( \alpha = 0.1 \). It is observed that both \( C_{fx} \text{Gr}^{\alpha/5} \) and

\[ \text{Nu}_{x} \text{Gr}^{-1/5} \] increase in both nanofluids with increasing the value of \( \phi \), which is due to the reason that the viscosity of nanofluid increases due to the enhancement of nanoparticle volume fraction \( \phi \). It is clear that the values of both \( C_{fx} \text{Gr}^{1/5} \) and \( \text{Nu}_{x} \text{Gr}^{-1/5} \) are higher in Cu-water nanofluid than the values of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-water nanofluid due to the highest viscosity and thermal conductivity of Cu-water nanofluid. It is also observed that flow and heat transfer rates in both nanofluids are larger for vertical flat surface (\( \alpha = 0 \)) as compared to that of rough wavy surface (\( \alpha = 0.1 \)).

Figures 4 and 5 show the variations of \( C_{fx} \text{Gr}^{1/5} \) and \( \text{Nu}_{x} \text{Gr}^{-1/5} \) for both nanofluids against \( x \) along a wavy surface for some values of amplitude parameter, \( \alpha \). It is seen that \( C_{fx} \text{Gr}^{1/5} \) decreases and \( \text{Nu}_{x} \text{Gr}^{-1/5} \) increases against \( x \) with the increasing values of \( \alpha \) along a wavy surface. This is because decrease of fluid temperature results in increase of heat transfer rate at wavy surface. It is also observed that the amplitude of the waves decreases the magnitude of \( C_{fx} \text{Gr}^{1/5} \) and increases the magnitude of \( \text{Nu}_{x} \text{Gr}^{-1/5} \). The values of Cu-water nanofluid in both \( C_{fx} \text{Gr}^{1/5} \) and \( \text{Nu}_{x} \text{Gr}^{-1/5} \) is greater than the

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Figure 2.} & \quad \text{The variation of } C_{fx} \text{Gr}^{1/5} \text{ (local skin friction coefficient) against volume fraction parameter, } \phi, \text{ when } m = x = 1.0 \text{ and Pr = 6.2 are fixed} \\
\text{Figure 3.} & \quad \text{The variation of } \text{Nu}_{x} \text{Gr}^{-1/5} \text{ (local Nusselt number) against volume fraction parameter, } \phi, \text{ when } m = x = 1.0 \text{ and Pr = 6.2 are fixed} \\
\end{align*} \]
Al₂O₃-water nanofluid because density and thermal conductivity of Cu-water nanofluid is larger than Al₂O₃-water nanofluid.

Figures 8 and 9 show the variation in $C_{fx}^{1/5}$ and $Nu_{Gr}^{-1/5}$ against amplitude of wavy surface, $\alpha$, for pure water and both nanofluids. It is seen that as the amplitude of wavy plate increases $C_{fx}^{1/5}$ and $Nu_{Gr}^{-1/5}$ decrease in pure water and for both nanofluids. The reason for this decrease is that, with increase in amplitude the roughness of wavy surface enhances the viscous effects and hence causes a decrease in flow and heat transfer rates.

Figures 10(a)-10(f) and figs. 11(a)-11(f) demonstrate the effect of nanoparticle volume fraction parameter, $\phi$, on the streamlines and isothermal lines for fixed values of $Pr = 6.2$ and $\alpha = 0.1$. Figures 10(a)-10(c) and figs. 11(a)-11(c) are plotted for uniform heat flux i.e. $m = 0$ and figs. 10(d)-10(f) and figs. 11(d)-11(f) are plotted for variable heat flux i.e. $m = 0.5$ for both pure water and nanofluids. In streamlines, the maximum values of $\psi$ in uniform heat flux and variable heat flux are shown as $\psi_{max}^{4.0364}$ ($\phi = 0$, pure water), 3.9899 ($\phi = 0.2$, Al₂O₃), 3.359 ($\phi = 0.2$, Cu), and 3.4865 ($\phi = 0$, pure water), 3.4116 ($\phi = 0.2$, Al₂O₃), 2.8959 ($\phi = 0.2$, Cu). It is observed that velocity decreases in nanofluids as compared to pure water, this is because due to the enrichment of $\phi$ viscosity of nanofluid increases. In addition to this in nanofluids, it is also observed that velocity in Cu-water is smaller than Al₂O₃-water due to large density of Cu nanoparticle. In case of variable heat flux, the values of $\psi$ become smaller as compare to the values of uniform
heat flux. Which is because velocity reduces in variable heat flux. In fig. 11(a)-11(f), it is seen that isothermal lines expands for both Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-water and Cu-water nanofluids as compared to water base fluid. It reveals that temperature in nanofluids become higher due to larger thermal conductivity of nanofluids as pure water. It is also seen that isothermal lines contracts in case of variable heat flux i. e. \(m = 0.5\) as compared to uniform heat flux i. e. \(m = 0\). It reveals that temperature decreases within the boundary-layer in presence of variable heat flux.

Conclusions

In this article, we analyzed the way how one can enhance the rate of heat transfer in natural convection flow through a sinusoidal wavy plate. For this action, we incorporated two nanosize particles i. e. Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and Cu in a water base fluid. The solution of obtained equations is calculated numerically using Keller Box finite difference technique and effects of involving parameters namely, exponent of variable heat flux, \(m\), amplitude of wavy surface, \(\alpha\), volume fraction of nanofluid, \(\phi\), and Prandtl number, Pr. These results indicate that using nanofluids in natural convection flow can significantly enhance the rate of heat transfer.
fraction parameter of nanoparticle, $\phi$, and Prandtl number on $C_{fx} Gr^{1/5}$ and $Nu_x Gr^{-1/5}$ are exam-
ined through graphs. The core finding of the present analysis are mentioned as follows.
- The values of $C_{fx} Gr^{1/5}$ and $Nu_x Gr^{-1/5}$ or heat transfer rate for both Cu-water and Al$_2$O$_3$-water
nanofluids along wavy plate are higher than that of water base fluid.
- For increasing concentration of nanoparticle the values of $C_{fx} Gr^{1/5}$ and $Nu_x Gr^{-1/5}$ for Cu-
water nanofluid is larger than Al$_2$O$_3$-water nanofluid for both flat and wavy plates but these
values are smaller in case of wavy plate as compared to flat plate.
- The values of $C_{fx} Gr^{1/5}$ and $Nu_x Gr^{-1/5}$ reduce with increasing a amplitude of wavy sheet.
- With increasing the exponent of variable heat flux the values of $C_{fx} Gr^{1/5}$ decreases and $Nu_x Gr^{-1/5}$ increases.
- Velocity and temperature of nanofluid decreases and increases within the boundary-layer as
compared to water base fluid.

Figure 11(a)-11(f). Isothermal lines plot for $m = 0.0$ (a) $\phi = 0.0$ (b) $\phi = 0.2$ (Al$_2$O$_3$) (c) $\phi = 0.2$ (Cu)
and $m = 0.5$ (d) $\phi = 0.0$ (e) $\phi = 0.2$ (Al$_2$O$_3$) (f) $\phi = 0.2$ (Cu) with Pr = 6.2 and $\alpha = 0.1$
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