

# THE CASE STUDY OF BINARY POWER PLANT BASED ON THERMOECONOMICS IN SICHUAN, CHINA

Chao LUO<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>, Yongzhen WANG<sup>a</sup>, Yulie Gong<sup>b,c,d</sup>, Weibin Ma<sup>b,c,d</sup>, Jun ZHAO<sup>a</sup>, Qingsong An<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Efficient Utilization of Low and Medium Grade Energy (Tianjin University), Ministry of Education, Tianjin 300072, China; <sup>b</sup>Guangzhou Institute of Energy Conversion, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510640, Guangdong, China; <sup>c</sup>CAS Key Laboratory of Renewable Energy, Guangzhou 510640, Guangdong, China; <sup>d</sup>Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of New and Renewable Energy Research and Development, Guangzhou 510640, Guangdong, China;

\* Corresponding author; E-mail: zhaojun\_tju@hotmail.com

*In China, renewable energy power plant will be built from the year 2016 to 2020, because of CO<sub>2</sub> emission assignment and energy company demand. A geothermal power plant will be designed in Sichuan province. The wet temperature 15°C is selected for cooling temperature. Thermoeconomics of geothermal power system are analyzed using the Engineering Equation Solver. The results show that the capacity of binary power system is 3506 kW and optimum vaporizing pressure is 28 bar, the thermal and exergy efficiency is 13% and 45%.; The turbine shaft work of flash power system is 2301 kW and the parasitic load is 71kW, the optimum flash pressures is 0.95 bar, the thermal and exergy efficiency is 7.9% and 34.7%. the total capital investment of binary system is about 9,767,000 US\$, the average annual profit is 1,308,000 \$/year; the average rate of return is 13.39%; the payback period is less than 6 years; Condenser destruction and loss exergy is more than other components in the power system. The geothermal power production cost is 0.04 US\$/kWh in Sichuan province.*

**Key words:** *geothermal power plant; binary cycle; thermoeconomics; capital cost; Engineering Equation Solver*

## 1. Introduction


In China, urban populations are provided with their electricity requirements; however, the people in rural areas have to generate electricity for local socio-economic development. Fortunately, mid-low temperature geothermal resources are located proximal to rural areas without access to grid electricity. Small-scale geothermal power plants can support electricity as well as create employment opportunities for the rural area. Many big corporations begin to invest into the geothermal power projects because of CO<sub>2</sub> emission assignment and governments inspire energy policies in China<sup>[1-2]</sup>.

Dry-steam system, flash system and binary cycle are the main kinds of commercialization technologies. Dry-steam power generation, which is applied for dry-steam geothermal resource and accounts for about 22.7% of all installed geothermal power capacity; flash power generation, which is applied for wet-steam or water-dominated geothermal resource and accounts for about 61.8% of all installed geothermal power capacity; binary cycle power generation, which is applied for mid-low

temperature water-dominated geothermal resource and accounts for about 14.2% of all installed geothermal power capacity at the end of 2014 <sup>[1-2]</sup>.


Based on the temperature and property of geothermal resources, different energy conversion system can be utilized to maximize the extraction of energy from the geothermal fluid. Optimization of the plant can yield improvements of up to 30–40% in terms of reduction of brine specific consumption compared to conventional design. The best working fluids of binary cycle are R152a and isobutene, while there are no apparent advantages in the use of multicomponent fluids for the range of conditions studied <sup>[3]</sup>. The net power generated from plants varies of depending on the air temperature. For moderate ambient temperatures ( $\geq 1.7$  °C) superheat maximizes net power output of the system. Optimal operation strategies provide the same scale increase in net power (up to 117%) as incorporation of more fans in the air-cooled condenser system<sup>[4-5]</sup>. thermodynamic and technoeconomic assessment and optimization of ORCs were presented based on different cycle configurations (subcritical/supercritical, saturated/superheated, regenerative/non regenerative), operating with a variety of working fluids, for the exploitation of low-medium enthalpy geothermal fields (120-180 °C) in the 2-15 MW power output range <sup>[6-7]</sup>. Exergy analysis is a very useful method to evaluate the performance of renewable energy resources. Based on the exergy analysis, a comparative study was done to clarify the best geothermal power cycle configuration. The performance of each cycle has been discussed in terms of the second-law efficiency, exergy destruction rate, and first-law efficiency <sup>[8-11]</sup>. The ORC technology, acting as “topping” or “bottoming” cycle, has an enormous potential, from the technical and economical point of view, for the production of heat (for heating, domestic hot water, drying processes in industry, absorption cooling, etc.) and/or for mechanical and electrical energy (from a few kWe to some MWe) from renewable energy sources <sup>[12-14]</sup>. The production cost and payback period of different geothermal power system have been discussed from the calculation procedure in Simav, Turkey. An economic analysis of four cycles considered indicates that the cost of producing a unit amount of electricity is 0.0116 \$/kWh for double flash and Kalina cycles, 0.0165 \$/kWh for combined cycle and 0.0202 \$/kWh for binary cycle. Consequently, the payback period is 5.8 years for double flash and Kalina cycles while it is 8.3 years for combined cycle and 9 years for binary cycle <sup>[15-16]</sup>. The performance of the binary cycle SPP (Steam Power Plant) degrades slightly with SPP load, turbine inlet temperature variations, turbine inlet pressure variations and cooling water variations. When the load was reduced from 100% down to a minimum load of 25%, the efficiency was reduced by only 0.97%. When the turbine inlet temperature dropped from 235 °C down to 125 °C, the SPP-2BCP (Steam Power Plant -Two-Stage Binary Cycle Plant) efficiency was reduced by only 6%. When the turbine inlet pressure dropped from 30 bar down to 5 bar, the efficiency was reduced by only 7%. Finally, when the cooling water temperature increased up to a maximum site condition temperature of 37 °C, the efficiency was reduced by only 0.3%. Net power output, thermal economics, energy efficiency and exergy efficiency have an effect on geothermal resource temperature, flow rate and pinch point <sup>[17-18]</sup>.

**2. Geothermal resource assessments and weather condition in Sichuan**




**Figure.1 Geothermal assessment in Sichuan (revised)**

The area of a new binary power plant will be selected in Ganzi-Litang geothermal field, near Tibet, in Sichuan province. Figure 1 shows the geothermal resource distribution in Sichuan province. Batang-Xiangcheng, Ganzi-Litang, Luhuo-Kangding, Shimian-Dechang, Yuexi-Ningnan, Ebian-Jinyang and Dayi-Anxian are the main geothermal areas in Sichuan [19]. In Batang-Xiangcheng, they evaluated reservoir temperature and mass flow rate as 160°C and 35 kg/s; in Ganzi-Litang, they evaluated reservoir temperature and mass flow rate as 170°C and 50 kg/s; in Luhuo-Kangding, they evaluated reservoir temperature and mass flow rate as 140°C and 30 kg/s. Mid-high temperature geothermal resources are distributed in the western of Sichuan; Ganzi-Litang area is in the middle of Batang-Xiangcheng and Luhuo-Kangding areas.



**Figure.2 Dry bulb and wet bulb temperature**

Weather data was taken from 2012. The data was at 1 hour interval. There were 7 hours missing from the dataset and the data was extrapolated to 8760 hours. The data had dry bulb temperature and relative humidity. The wet bulb temperature was then calculated from all valid data points. The dry and wet bulb temperatures from low to high were given in figure 2.



**Figure.3 Cooling water temperature estimate**

Figure 3 gives the cooling water temperature which is estimated by the wet temperature. 15 °C is selected for cooling temperature (the green line). The dry bulb temperature is from the lowest to highest. The conditions of the geothermal reservoir and technical characteristics of power plant system are shown in table 1 <sup>[19]</sup>.

**Table.1 Parameters and boundary conditions of the power plant model**

		Parameter	Unit	Value
Geothermal reservoir	Ganzi-Litang	Temperature of reservoir	°C	170
		Mass flow rate	kg/s	50
		Gas mass fraction	%	0
	Well 1#	depth	m	1842
		Cooling water temperature	°C	15
		Temperature difference of cooling water	°C	10
Power plant system	Turbine isentropic efficiency		%	80
	Pump isentropic efficiency		%	75
	Vaporizer heat transfer coefficient		kW/(m <sup>2</sup> .°C)	1.1
	Preheater heat transfer coefficient		kW/(m <sup>2</sup> .°C)	0.7
	Recuperator heat transfer coefficient		kW/(m <sup>2</sup> .°C)	0.7
		Condenser heat transfer coefficient	kW/(m <sup>2</sup> .°C)	1

### 3. Geothermal power system design


Binary power cycle and single flash power system are designed to build a new power plant in Sichuan province. The detail fundamentals and mathematic model are found in DiPippo <sup>[20]</sup>. Quadratic approximation is applied for the optimization. The Quadratic Approximations optimization method is one of two methods used in EES to find a minimum or maximum when there is one degree of freedom. Engineering Equation Solver (EES) requires that you specify the possible range for the independent variable before the search begins. EES evaluates the objective function at the bounds of this range and at one point within the range. The objective function is then assumed to depend on variable in a quadratic manner. The point having the largest value of the objective function is eliminated and the process is repeated until convergence is achieved.

The evaporator pressure and separator pressure are the design variables. The maximum net power output and minimum cost rate are the optimization objective. When the net power output gets

maximum value, the energy efficiency and exergy efficiency is calculated and compared in table 6. The energy efficiency and exergy efficiency of binary system is 13% and 45%, and higher than flash system. Binary power system is selected for Sichuan because higher efficiency and output.


**3.1. Binary power cycle**

The parameters and boundary conditions of binary cycle model are shown in table 1. Vaporizer, preheater, recuperator, working pump, turbine, condenser and cooling tower are the main components in the system.



**Figure.4 The scheme of binary power cycle**

Figure 4 shows the scheme of binary power cycle. The working fluid (isobutane) is vaporized by the geothermal water from production well in the vaporizer. The vapour expands in the turbine, and then condensed in a water-cooled condenser before being pumped back to the vaporizer to complete the cycle (1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-10). The vaporizer pressure and condenser area are the main parameters to analyze the turbine shaft work.



**Figure.5 T-S diagram of binary power cycle**

Figure 5 shows the T-s diagram of binary power cycle. In fact, they are not isotropic process from state 6 to state 7 and state 10 to 1, the isentropic efficiency of turbine and pump is 0.8 and 0.75.

For turbine, the turbine shaft work is calculated as follow:

$$W_t = m_{w,f} (h_6 - h_7) \quad (1)$$

Where,  $W_t$  is the turbine shaft work, kW;  $m_{w,f}$  is the mass flow rate of working fluid, kg/s;  $h_6$ ,  $h_7$  are the enthalpy at state 6 and 7.

The detail fundamentals and mathematic model are found in DiPippo. The turbine shaft power is 3506 kW and the parasitic load is 484 kW from thermodynamic calculation, the value of first law efficiency, second law efficiency and injection temperature are 12.9%, 45.4% and 59.7 °C.

Table 2 shows the thermodynamic properties of each state in binary power cycle. The main results of binary cycle are shown in table 3.

**Table.2 Thermodynamic optimization of binary cycle**

State	Pressure	Temperature	Mass flow	Enthalpy	Entropy	Heat rate	Specific exergy	Exergy rate
	P(bars)	T( °C)	m(kg/s)	h(kJ/kg)	s(kJ/kg. °C)	Q(kW)	e(kJ/kg)	Ex(kW)
1	28.0	35	62.6	284	1.274	17799	6.05	368
2	28.0	45	62.6	311	1.358	19459	8.15	496
3	28.0	117	62.6	525	1.963	32906	48.83	2971
4	28.0	119	62.6	534	1.985	33451	51.12	3111
5	28.0	119	62.6	687	2.375	43039	91.78	5585
6	28.0	121	62.6	696	2.397	43578	94.09	5725
7	4.4	53	62.6	638	2.441	39964	23.61	1437
8	4.4	40	62.6	612	2.359	38311	21.00	1278
9	4.4	33	62.6	599	2.317	37497	20.11	1224
10	4.4	33	62.6	278	1.269	17436	1.60	97
S <sub>1</sub>	12.9	170	50.0	720	2.041	35980	133.10	6655
S <sub>2</sub>	12.9	168	50.0	709	2.018	35455	129.50	6473
S <sub>3</sub>	12.9	124	50.0	523	1.573	26140	71.32	3566
S <sub>4</sub>	12.9	122	50.0	512	1.546	25610	68.44	3422
S <sub>5</sub>	12.9	60	50.0	251	0.826	12545	14.45	723
C <sub>1</sub>	-	15	485.0	63	0.224	30608	0.20	99
C <sub>2</sub>	-	25	485.0	103	0.362	50101	0.67	335
C <sub>3</sub>	-	25	485.0	105	0.368	50877	0.72	361

**Table.3 Energy summary of binary system**

No.	Item	Units	optimum value
1	Vaporizer pressure	bar	28

2	Condenser pressure	bar	4
3	Turbine shaft work	kW	3506
4	Cooling pump	kW	129
5	Working pump	kW	355
6	Turbine net output power	kW	3022
7	Condenser temperature	°C	33
8	Condenser capacity	kW	20284
9	Vaporizer area	m <sup>2</sup>	634
10	Preheater area	m <sup>2</sup>	2157
11	Recuperator area	m <sup>2</sup>	350
12	Condenser area	m <sup>2</sup>	1742
13	The first law efficiency	%	13
14	The second law efficiency	%	45

### 3.2. Single flash system

The parameters and boundary conditions of single flash system model are also shown in table 1. Throttle valve, separator, turbine, condenser and cooling tower are the main components in the system. The separation pressure  $P_2$  is the main variable to optimize the turbine shaft work. Figure 6 shows the scheme of single flash system. Figure 7 shows the T-s diagram of single flash system.





Figure.6 The scheme of single flash system



**Figure.7 T-S diagram of single flash system**

The turbine shaft work is 2301 kW and the parasitic load is 71kW from thermodynamic calculation. Table 4 shows the optimum thermodynamic properties of each state in single flash system. The main results of single flash system are shown in table 5. The injection temperature is about 98 °C, the optimum pressures: P2=0.95 bar, the first and second law efficiency is 7.9% and 34.7%.

**Table.4 Thermodynamic optimization of single flash**

State	Pressure	Temperature	Mass flow	Steam quality	Volume	Enthalpy	Entropy	Heat rate	Specific exergy	Exergy rate
	P(bars)	T(°C)	m(kg/s)	x(-)	v(m <sup>3</sup> /kg)	h(kJ/kg)	s(kJ/kg. °C)	Q(kW)	e(kJ/kg)	Ex(kW)
1	7.92	170	50.0	0.000	0.001	719	2.04	35965	132.60	6632
2	0.95	98	50.0	0.136	0.243	719	2.12	35965	112.00	5576
3	0.95	98	6.8	1.000	1.777	2673	7.38	18176	550.00	3739
4	0.05	33	6.8	0.907	25.400	2335	7.65	15878	132.00	897
5	0.05	33	6.8	0.000	0.001	138	0.48	938	2.00	15
6	0.95	98	43.2	0.000	0.001	412	1.29	17798	42.00	1837
C <sub>1</sub>	-	15	357.3		0.001	63	0.22	22510	0.20	71
C <sub>2</sub>	-	25	357.3		0.001	105	0.37	37481	0.72	259

**Table.5 Energy summary of single flash system**

No.	Item	Units	optimum value
1	Separator pressure	bar	0.95
2	Turbine shaft work	kW	2301
3	Cooling pump	kW	71.51
4	Turbine net output power	kW	2230
5	Condenser temperature	°C	33
6	Condenser capacity	kW	14946
7	Condenser area	m <sup>2</sup>	1212



The calculations and optimization work of two kinds of power system have been carried out. The main results of power system are summarized as in Table 6. Binary cycle is of larger net power output and second law efficiency than single flash system. Therefore, the binary power cycle is selected to build in Ganzi-Litang geothermal field.

**Table.6 The main results of two kinds of power system**

Item	Unit	Single flash	Binary cycle
Net power output ( $W_{net}$ )	kW	2230	3022
Injection temperature ( $T_{injection}$ )	°C	98	60
The first law efficiency ( $\eta_{first}$ )	%	7.9	13
The second law efficiency ( $\eta_{second}$ )	%	34.7	45

#### 4. Thermoeconomic analysis of binary cycle

Thermoeconomic analysis is based on exergy flows, and breaks the power system into individual components, where each component can be analyzed separately. The cost flow of products must be equal to the sum of all incoming cost flow for power system and component. It is usually consider the heat flow as input and work (power) as output. That is the reason for entering the heat cost flow as input and the work (power) cost flow as output<sup>[21-22]</sup>. This balance is written as:

$$\sum_e C_{e,k} + C_{w,k} = C_{q,k} + \sum_i C_{i,k} + Z_k \quad (2)$$

Where, C is cost rate, (\$/s); Z is investment cost rate, (\$/s); e is product or output; i is feed or input; k is number of component; q is heat; w is work or power.

##### 4.1. Cost estimation of power plant

The cost estimation of the binary cycle is shown in table 7<sup>[21]</sup>. The cooling tower cost is evaluated from the Cooling Tower Depots<sup>[23]</sup>. Total initial investment cost 9,767,000 US\$ is the sum of total capital cost and fuel cost.

Fuel cost includes subsurface cost and working fluid cost, such as production drilling and testing, exploration drilling well testing pads and appraisal drilling and testing.

**Table.7 Binary power cycle cost**

Item	Capacity	(unit)	US\$/unit	Cost(\$)
Vaporizer	634	m <sup>2</sup>	300	52,310
Preheater	2157	m <sup>2</sup>	300	139,360
Recuperator	350	m <sup>2</sup>	300	32,555
Condenser	1742	m <sup>2</sup>	280	109,654
Organic fluid turbine	3506	kW	1000	302,977
Working fluid Pump	355	kW	500	54,892
Cooling water pump	129	kW	400	19,571
Cooling tower				210,000
<b>Purchased equipment costs (PEC):</b>				<b>921,319</b>

Purchased equipment installation	33%	%PEC	304,035
Piping	35%	%PEC	322,462
Instrumentation and controls	12%	%PEC	110,558
Electrical equipment and materials	13%	%PEC	119,772
Land, Civil, structural	21%	%PEC	193,477
<b>Direct Cost</b>			<b>1,972,000</b>
<b>Indirect cost (Engineering, Construction )</b>	15%	%DC	<b>295,744</b>
<b>Total Capital Cost(TCC)</b>			<b>2,267,000</b>
Fuel Cost	50	kg/s	150000
O &M Cost	3%	%TCC(Per year)	68,021

Where, PEC is purchased equipment cost; DC is direct costs; TCC is total capital cost; O&M cost is operation and maintenance cost.

#### 4.2. Exergy cost

Exergy analysis model of binary cycle is built, which can be referenced to Bejan <sup>[21]</sup>. Table 8 shows the investment cost rate and destruction exergy cost rate of main components in binary system.

**Table.8 Exergy cost rate of the main components in binary system**

Component	Capital cost rate (US\$/s)	O&M cost rate (US\$/s)	Destruction cost rate (US\$/s)
Vaporizer	0.0002164	0.00002403	0.005389
Preheater	0.0005766	0.00006402	0.002515
Recuperator	0.0001347	0.00001495	0.0003483
Working Pump	0.0002271	0.00002522	0.0009479
Condenser	0.0004537	0.00005037	0.0104
Turbine	0.001254	0.0001392	0.008794

A detailed thermoeconomic evaluation of the binary cycle should be based on the following variables, sum cost rate of destruction and capital investment, exergetic efficiency and exergetic destruction <sup>[21]</sup>. The main results of thermoeconomic evaluation of binary cycle are shown in table 9.

**Table.9 Thermoeconomic evaluation of main components of binary cycle**

Component	Sum cost rate of destruction and capital investment (US\$/s)	Exergetic efficiency %	Exergetic destruction %
Condenser	0.1133	21.6	13.91
Turbine	0.01019	81.76	11.76
Working pump	0.00546	76.27	1.267
Vaporizer	0.004491	85.17	7.2
Preheater	0.003395	91.71	3.362
Recuperator	0.001668	80.47	0.47

The condenser cost rate of destruction is of maximum value in all of components in binary power cycle. The variable parameter of vaporizer pressure has to be optimized to decrease the condenser cost rate of destruction.


The main purpose of thermoeconomic results is to achieve a balance between the expenditure on capital costs and the exergy costs which will lead to a minimum cost of the plant product.

The different components in the power system can be categorized as:

(1) “Ready-made” components selected from a manufacturer’s catalogue, such as pumps, turbines, etc.

(2) Components specially designed, or “tailor-made” for the plant, e.g. heat exchangers, etc.

The first type of component is decided by manufacturer. The second type of component is convenient for thermoeconomic analysis. The advantage of using the exergy method of thermoeconomic analysis is that the various elements of the plant can be selected on their own, the effect of the given element on the whole plant could be taken into account by local unit costs of exergy fluxes or those of exergy losses.



**Figure.8 Vaporizer pressure and  $ZC_{\text{condenser}}$  relationship**

Figure 8 shows the relationship between vaporizer pressure and sum cost rate of destruction and capital investment for condenser ( $ZC_{\text{condenser}}$ ). The minimum  $ZC_{\text{condenser}}$  is the optimum value in the power system. The minimum value of sum cost rate  $ZC_{\text{condenser}}$  is 0.1132 US\$/s when vaporizer pressure is 28 bar.

### 4.3. Economic results

The economic evaluation and analysis of binary power plant is implemented based on engineering economic methodology. There are three methods for economic evaluation <sup>[21]</sup>.


The first is average rate of return and payback period method. The payback period is defined as the length of time required for cash inflows received from project to recover the original cash outlays required by the initial investment.

The second is Net Present Value (NPV) method. When the net present value method is used for project selection, the following rules apply: accept any project for which the present value is positive; reject any project with negative present value; the project with highest present value are given the highest preference among various alternatives; if two projects are mutually exclusive, accept the one having the greater present value.

The third is internal rate of return method. The net present value method uses the interest rate is usually based on the company’s cost of money. The internal rate of return method seeks to avoid the arbitrary choice of an interest rate; instead, it calculates an interest rate, initially unknown, that is internal to the project. The economics conditions of Ganzi-Litang geothermal binary power cycle is shown in table 10.


**Table.10 Economic conditions**

No.	Item	Unit	Value
1	Economic life span	year	25
2	minimum attractive rate of return	%	10
3	Operation time for one year	h	8000
4	Income tax rate	%	17
5	Price of electricity for user	\$/kWh	0.04
6	Effective rate of return	%	10



**Figure.9 Cash flow of binary power cycle**

Figure 9 shows the cash flow of binary power system. The average annual profit is 1,308,000 \$/year; the average rate of return is 13.39%; the payback period is less than 6 years <sup>[21]</sup>.



**Figure.10 Reservoir temperature effect on power production cost and net power output**

The geothermal water reservoir temperature and flow rate can affect the power production cost and specific net power output. Figure 10 shows that the reservoir temperature affects the power production cost and specific net power output at a constant mass flow rate of 50 kg/s. For binary power plant, the power production cost ( $C_p$ ) is about 0.04 US\$/kWh in Sichuan province. The net power output ( $W$ , blue line in figure 10) increases with the reservoir temperature, the specific net power output is about  $59.76\text{kW}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ .

## 5. Conclusions

A case of binary power plant is analysed based on thermoeconomics in Sichuan, China. The conclusions are as follow:

Binary cycle with recuperator is designed for Ganzi-Litang field in Sichuan, the capacity of turbine shaft work is 3506 kW and net output power is 3022kW.

Vaporizer pressure is the key variable parameter for binary power system. Thermoeconomic evaluation shows that the condenser destruction and loss exergy are bigger than other components; the optimum evaporator pressure of binary cycle is 28 bar.

Economic feasibility of binary cycle with a recuperator is analysed based on payback period method. The sum of total capital cost and fuel cost is 9,767,000 US\$, the payback period is less than 6 years.

The reservoir temperature and mass flow rate effect on the power production cost. The higher temperature and larger flow rate are, the lower power production cost will be made. The power production cost of binary power system is 0.04 US\$/kWh in Sichuan, China.

## Acknowledgment

This paper is financially supported by “The National Natural Science Funds (51406212)” and “Key Laboratory of Efficient Utilization of Low and Medium Grade Energy (Tianjin University), Ministry of Education of China (201604-503)”.

## References

- [1] Bertani R. Geothermal Power Generation in the World 2010–2014 Update Report, Proceedings World Geothermal Congress 2015, Melbourne, Australia, 2015, pp. 1-19
- [2] Zheng K., Dong Y., Chen Z. Speeding up Industrialized Development of Geothermal Resources in China -- Country Update Report 2010-2014, Proceedings World Geothermal Congress 2015, Melbourne, Australia, 2015, pp. 1-9
- [3] Franco A., *et al.*, Optimal design of binary cycle power plants for water-dominated, medium-temperature geothermal fields. *Geothermics*, 38(2009), pp. 379-391
- [4] Yildirim D., *et al.*, Thermodynamics and exergoeconomic analysis of geothermal power plants, *Renew Sustain Energy Rev*, 16(2012), pp: 6438–6454
- [5] Ghasemi H., *et al.*, Modeling and optimization of a binary geothermal power plant, *Energy*, 50(2013), pp: 412-428

- [6] Astolfi M., *et al.*, Binary ORC (organic Rankine cycles) power plants for the exploitation of medium-low temperature geothermal sources -Part A: Thermodynamic optimization, *Energy*, 66(2014), pp: 423-434
- [7] Astolfi M., *et al.*, Binary ORC (organic Rankine cycles) power plants for the exploitation of medium-low temperature geothermal sources -Part B: Techno-economic optimization, *Energy*, 66(2014), pp: 435-446
- [8] Hepbasli A. A key review on exergetic analysis and assessment of renewable energy resources for a sustainable future, *Renew Sustain Energy Rev*, 12(2008), pp:593–661
- [9] Yari M. Exergetic analysis of various types of geothermal power plants, *Renewable Energy*, 35(2010), pp: 112-121
- [10] Kanoglu M., *et al.*, Performance and parametric investigation of a binary geothermal power plant by exergy, *Renewable Energy*, 33(2008), pp: 2366-2374
- [11] Ganjehsarabi H., *et al.*, Exergetic performance analysis of Dora II geothermal power plant in Turkey, *Energy*, 46(2012), pp:101-108
- [12] Velez F., *et al.*, A technical, economical and market review of organic Rankine cycles for the conversion of low-grade heat for power generation, *Renew Sustain Energy Rev*, 16(2012), pp: 4175–4189
- [13] Walraven D., *et al.*, Minimizing the levelized cost of electricity production from low temperature geothermal heat sources with ORCs: Water or air cooled?, *Applied Energy*, 142(2015), pp: 144–153
- [14] Quoilin S., *et al.*, Techno-economic survey of Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) systems, *Renew Sustain Energy Rev*, 22(2013), pp: 168–186
- [15] Arslan O., *et al.*, exergoeconomic optimization of integrated geothermal system in Simav, Kutahya, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 51(2010), pp: 663–676
- [16] Coskun A., *et al.*, Thermodynamic and economic analysis and optimization of power cycles for a medium temperature geothermal resource, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 78(2014), pp: 39–49
- [17] Rosyid H., *et al.*, Sensitivity annlysis of steam power plant-binary cycle, *Energy*, 35(2010), pp: 3578-3586
- [18] Liu X., *et al.*, Sensitivity annlysis of system parameters on the performance of the Organic Rankine Cycle system for binary-cycle geothermal power plant, *Applied Thermal Energy*, 71(2014), pp:175-183
- [19] Fu G. A Study on the Types, Causes and Tourism Development Pattern about Hot Springs of Ganzi Prefecture in Sichuan Province (In Chinese), Doctor thesis, Chengdu University of Technology, 2009
- [20] DiPippo, R. Geothermal power plants. Principles, applications, case studies and environmental impact, Elsevier Ltd., Kidlington, UK. 2008

- [21] Bejan A., *et al.*, Thermal design and optimization, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, USA, 1996
- [22] Valdimarsson P. Basic concepts of thermoeconomics, Presented at “Short Course on Geothermal Drilling, Resource Development and Power Plant”, El Salvador, 2011
- [23] <http://www.coolingtowerdepot.com/content/depot/cooling-tower-optimization>