INVESTIGATION OF HEAT TRANSFER AND VISCOUS DISSIPATION EFFECTS ON THE JEFFERY-HAMEL FLOW OF NANOFLUIDS

by

Amir MORADI*, Ahmed ALSAEDIb, and Tasawar HAYATbc

a Young Researchers Club, Arak Branch, Islamic Azad University, Arak, Iran
b Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan
c Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Original scientific paper
DOI: 10.2298/TSCI120410208M

This article considers the influence of heat transfer on the non-linear Jeffery-Hamel flow problem in a nanofluid. Analysis is performed for three types of nanoparticles namely copper Cu, alumina Al2O3, and titania TiO2 by considering water as a base fluid. The resulting non-linear mathematical problems are solved for both analytic and numerical solutions. Analytic solution is developed by using differential transformation method whereas the numerical solution is presented by Runge-Kutta scheme. A comparative study between the analytical and numerical solutions is made. Dimensionless velocity and temperature, skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number are addressed for the involved pertinent parameters.

It is observed that the influence of solid volume fraction of nanoparticles on the heat transfer and fluid flow parameters is more pronounced when compared with three types of nanoparticles. It is also found that skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number for Al2O3 nanofluid is highest in comparison to the other two nanoparticles.
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Introduction

The well known Jeffery-Hamel problem deals with the flow of an incompressible fluid between non-parallel walls. This fundamental problem in viscous fluid is extensively investigated by the various researchers. A survey of early information on this problem can be found in [1, 2]. Apart from using numerical methods in [3, 4], the Jeffery-Hamel flow problem is solved by other techniques such as perturbation method [5], the variation iteration technique, the homotopy perturbation method [6], the Adomain decomposition method [7, 8], the homotopy analysis method [9, 10], and the spectral-homotopy analysis method [11].

At present, there is an increasing interest of the researchers in the analysis of nanofluids. The word nanofluid was introduced by Choi [12]. In fact a nanofluid is a dilute suspension of solid nanoparticles with the average size below 100 nm in a base fluid, such as: water, oil, and ethylene glycol. Nanofluids exhibit thermal properties superior to those of the base fluids of the conventional particle-fluid suspensions [13]. The nanoparticles can be made
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of metal, metal oxide, carbide, nitride, and even immiscible nanoscale liquid droplets [14]. Some advantages of nanofluids which make them useful are: a tiny size, along with a large specific surface area, high effective thermal conductivity, and high stability and less clogging and abrasion. The materials with sizes of nanometers possess unique physical and chemical properties [13]. They can flow smoothly through microchannels without clogging them because it is small enough to behave similar to liquid molecules [14]. This fact has attracted many researchers such as Abu-Nada [15], Tiwari and Das [16], Maïga et al. [17], Polidari et al. [18], Oztop and Abu-Nada [19]. Other than the quoted studies, the literature regarding flows of nanofluids in different configurations is growing rapidly. For example Nield and Kuznetsov [20] provided numerical solution for the effects of Brownian motion thermophoresis in boundary layer flow of viscous nanofluids over a surface embedded in a saturated porous medium. Darcy model is employed. The problem of natural convection flow of nanofluid past a vertical semi-infinite plate is also explored by Kuznetsov and Nield [21]. Nield and Kuznetsov [23] also carried out the numerical investigation for the double-diffusive natural convection boundary layer flow of nanofluid past a vertical plate. Effects of Brownian motion and thermophoresis are taken into account. Analysis of ref. [20] for double-diffusive treatment is presented in study [22]. Laminar forced convection flow of nanofluid in an annulus has been numerically studied by Izadi et al. [24]. Single phase approach is employed in the mathematical modeling. Cheng and Minkowczyz [25] considered the free convection flow about a vertical plate embedded in a porous space. Khan and Aziz [26] analyzed the natural convection flow of nanofluid over a plate with a constant heat flux. The Brownian motion and thermophoresis effects are considered and numerical results are presented. Mahmoodi [27] numerically analyzed the free convection flow of nanofluid in a square cavity with an inside heater. Hassani et al. [28] carried out the homotopy analysis method for the problem of boundary layer flow of nanofluid over a linear stretching surface. In this attempt, both the Brownian motion and thermophoresis effects are presented.

Undoubtedly the viscous dissipation yields an appreciable rise in fluid temperature. This is because of the conversion of kinetic moment of fluid to thermal energy and characteristics of source term in the fluid flow. Especially such situation is prominent for fluid flow with heat transfer in microchannels where length-to-diameter ration is very large. Judy et al. [29] after conducting experimental study by Tso and Mahulikar [30] concluded that viscous dissipation has a pivotal role in increasing the fluid temperature along the microchannel length for decreasing diameter and increasing fluid velocity. Morini [31] provided a study just to point out the features of viscous dissipation in microchannel flows. It has been declared here that viscous dissipation is important for liquid flows when the hydraulic diameter is less than 100 mm. Das et al. [32] explored that the orders of magnitude of nanoparticles are smaller than those of microchannels and thus nanofluids are important for such approximations. Koo and Kleinstreuer [33] numerically discussed the features of viscous dissipation in conduction-convection heat transfer of nanofluid flow. Hady et al. [34] considered the viscous dissipation and thermal radiation effects in the flow of viscous nanofluid bounded by a nonlinear stretching surface. The flow of viscous nanofluids over a permeable moving plate in presence of thermal radiation and viscous dissipation is examined by Motsumi and Makinde [35]. Hung [36] provided analytic study for forced convection flow of nanofluids with viscous dissipation. Kuznetsov et al. [37] studied the forced convection flow of viscous fluid in a circular duct filled by a saturated porous medium. The walls are at constant temperature. Analysis has been carried out through Brinkman model and longitudinal conduction and viscous dissipation effects. In another attempt, the effects of axial conduction and viscous dissipation are ex-
amined for forced convection flow in a channel by Nield et al. [38]. Here the channel walls have constant temperature and Brinkman model is used. Nield et al. [39] also investigated the forced convection flow in a channel filled by saturated porous medium when walls hold either at uniform temperature or at uniform heat flux. Here attention is paid to the effects of viscous dissipation and flow work.

In present research, the DTM is applied to find the analytical solutions of non-linear differential problems governing Jeffery-Hamel flow with respect to the heat transfer and viscous dissipation in nanofluids. To our knowledge, the effect of nanoparticles on the characteristics of fluid flow and heat transfer in the Jeffery-Hamel problem is not addressed yet. Hence in this study, the effects of three different types of nanoparticles, namely copper Cu, alumina Al₂O₃, and titania TiO₂ with water as the base fluid are investigated. The dimensionless velocity and temperature, skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number are given proper attention. Numerical and analytical solutions are given and compared.

The concept of differential transformation method was first introduced by Zho [40] in 1986 and it was used for the solutions of linear and nonlinear initial value problems in electric circuit analysis. The main advantage of this method is that it can be applied directly for linear and non-linear differential equation without requiring linearization, discretization, or perturbation. Previously this method is used by various researchers such as Rashidi and Erfani [41] employed the DTM for the solutions of Burger's equation and heat conduction problem in fin with temperature dependent thermal conductivity. Ganji et al. [42] used the differential transformation method to determine fin efficiency of convective straight fins with temperature dependent thermal conductivity. Hsiang and Ling [43, 44] presented the new algorithm for the calculations of one and 2-D differential transform of non-linear functions. Jang [45] solved linear and non-linear initial value problems by the projected differential transform method. Rashidi and Erfani [46] used the DTM-Pade for the investigation of MHD stagnation-point flow in porous media with heat transfer. It is noted that when there is an infinite boundary in the problem, the DTM gives the inaccurate results. In this case, by using Pade approximation, the problem can be solved. Complementary information about this method is shown in [47].

Fundamentals of differential transformation method

Consider an analytic function \( y(t) \) briefly describes DTM for the convenience of readers. Let us in a domain \( D \) with \( t = t_i \) showing any point in it. At center \( t_i \), the Taylor series expansion yields [48, 49]:

\[
y(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_i)^j}{j!} \left[ \frac{d^j y(t)}{dt^j} \right]_{t=t_i} \quad \forall t \in D
\]

Maclaurin series for \( t_i = 0 \) gives:

\[
y(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j}{j!} \left[ \frac{d^j y(t)}{dt^j} \right]_{t=0} \quad \forall t \in D
\]

By Franco [50] one can write:

\[
Y(j) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_j}{j!} \left[ \frac{d^j y(t)}{dt^j} \right]_{t=0}
\]
in which \( y(t) \) shows the original function and \( Y(j) \) is the transformed function. The differential spectrum of \( Y(j) \) is confined within the interval \( t \in [0, H] \), (where \( H \) is a constant). The differential inverse transform of \( Y(j) \) is given by:

\[
y(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{t}{H} \right)^j Y(j)
\]

Some of the original functions and transformed functions are shown in tab. 1. In fact the concept of differential transformation is Taylor series expansion. For assigned solution the high accuracy may be calculated through more number of series in eq. (4).

**Table 1. The fundamental operations of differential transform method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original function</th>
<th>Transformed function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( f(x) = \alpha g(x) \pm \beta h(x) )</td>
<td>( F(k) = \alpha G(k) \pm \beta H(k) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f(x) = g(x)h(x) )</td>
<td>( F(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} G(i)H(k-i) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f(x) = g(x)^n )</td>
<td>( F(k) = (k+1)(k+2)...(k+n)G(k+n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f(x) = x^n )</td>
<td>( F(k) = \delta(k-n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} &amp; \text{if } k = n \ 0 &amp; \text{if } k \neq n \end{cases} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f(x) = \exp(ax) )</td>
<td>( F(k) = \frac{\alpha^k}{k!} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f(x) = (1 + x)^n )</td>
<td>( F(k) = \frac{k(k-1)...(k-n)}{k!} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Problem statement**

We consider the flow from a source/sink at the intersection between two solid walls that meet at an angle \( 2\alpha \) in a water-base nanofluid containing different types of nanoparticles namely Cu, Al_2O_3, and TiO_2 (fig. 1). We choose plane polar coordinates \((r, \theta)\) such that the velocity \( \dot{V}[u(r, \theta), 0] \). The equations of continuity, motion, and energy considering viscous dissipation for the problem under consideration give:

\[
\frac{\rho_{nf} \partial \bar{u}(r, \theta)}{\partial r} = 0
\]

(5)

\[
u(r, \theta) \frac{\partial^2 u(r, \theta)}{\partial r^2} = \frac{1}{\rho_{nf}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{\mu_{nf}}{\rho_{nf}} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u(r, \theta)}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u(r, \theta)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{u(r, \theta)}{r^2} \right]
\]

(6)

\[-\frac{1}{\rho_{nf} r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} + \frac{2 \mu_{nf}}{\rho_{nf} r^2} \frac{\partial u(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0
\]

(7)

\[
\frac{\partial T(r, \theta)}{\partial r} = \alpha_{nf} \left( \frac{\partial^2 T(r, \theta)}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T(r, \theta)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right) +
\]

\[
+ \frac{\mu_{nf}}{(\rho C_p)_{nf}} \left[ 4 \left( \frac{\partial u(r, \theta)}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \frac{\partial u(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right]
\]

(8)
with the subjected boundary conditions \(i.e:\)

- at the channel centerline: \(\partial u(r, \theta) / \partial \theta = 0, \partial T / \partial \theta = 0, u(r, \theta) = U\)
- at the plates, making the body of the channel: \(u(r, \theta) = 0, T = T_w\).

Here \(\mu_{nf}\) denotes the viscosity of the nanofluid and \(\rho_{nf}\) is the density of the nanofluid. These are expressed by the following definitions:

\[\mu_{nf} = \frac{\mu_f}{(1 - \phi)^{2.5}}, \quad \rho_{nf} = (1 - \phi) \rho_f + \phi \rho_s\]  

(9)

where \(\phi\) depicts the solid volume fraction of the nanofluid, \(\rho_f\) – the density of the base fluid, \(\rho_s\) – the density of the solid particle, and \(\mu_f\) – the viscosity of the base fluid. It is worth mentioning that the viscosity of the nanofluid can be approximated as viscosity of a base fluid \(\mu_f\) containing dilute suspension of fine spherical particles and its expression has been given by Brinkman [51]. Further \(\alpha_{nf}\) and \(k_{nf}\) are the thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity of nanofluid, respectively. The value of \(\alpha_{nf}\) is [19]:

\[\alpha_{nf} = \frac{k_{nf}}{(\rho C_p)_{nf}}, \quad k_{nf} = \frac{(k_t + 2 k_f) - 2 \phi(k_t - k_s)}{(k_t + 2 k_f) + \phi(k_t - k_s)}\]  

(10)

where \(k_t\) and \(k_s\) are the thermal conductivities of fluid and solid particles, respectively, and \((\rho C_p)_{nf}\) is the heat capacity of the nanofluid.

Equation (5) yields:

\[f(\theta) = ru(r, \theta)\]  

(11)

Introducing:

\[f(\eta) = f(\theta) \frac{f(\max)}{f_{\max}}, \quad f_{\max} = r U, \quad \eta = \frac{\theta}{\alpha}, \quad \xi(\eta) = \frac{T}{T_w}\]  

(12)

and eliminating \(p\) between eqs. (6) and (7), we arrive at:

\[f^*(\eta) + 2 \alpha \text{Re} \left[1 - \phi\right]^{2.5} \left(1 - \phi + \phi \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_f}\right) f(\eta) f'(\eta) + 4 \alpha^2 f^*(\eta) = 0\]  

(13)

\[\frac{1}{1 - \phi + \phi \frac{(\rho C_p)_s}{(\rho C_p)_f}} \left[\frac{k_{nf}}{k_t} \xi^* + \frac{\text{Pr Ec}}{1 - \phi} \left(4 \alpha^2 f^2 + f'^2\right)\right] = 0\]  

(14)

with the following boundary conditions:

\[f(0) = 1, \quad f'(0) = 0, \quad f(l) = 0\]  

(15)

\[\xi(1) = 1, \quad \xi'(0) = 0\]  

(16)

where the Reynolds number \(\text{Re}\), the Eckert number \(\text{Ec}\) and Prandtl number \(\text{Pr}\) are expressed as:

\[ \text{Re} = \frac{f_{\text{max}} \rho_f \alpha}{\mu_f} = \frac{U_{\text{max}} \rho_f \alpha}{\mu_f} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{divergent channel:} & \alpha > 0, U_{\text{max}} > 0 \\ \text{convergent channel:} & \alpha < 0, U_{\text{max}} < 0 \end{array} \right. \]

\[ \Pr = \frac{\mu_f (c_p)_f}{k_f}, \quad \Ec = \frac{U^2}{(c_p)_f T_w} \] \hspace{1cm} (17)

Expressions of skin friction coefficient \((c_f)\) and shear stress \((\tau_w)\) are:

\[ c_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho_f U_{\text{max}}^2} \] \hspace{1cm} (18)

\[ \tau_w = \mu_{nf} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right] \] \hspace{1cm} (19)

Substitution of eq. (12) into eqs. (18) and (19) gives:

\[ c_f = \frac{1}{\text{Re}} (1 - \varphi)^{2.5} f'(1) \] \hspace{1cm} (20)

The local Nusselt number \(\text{Nu}\) and heat transfer rate are:

\[ \text{Nu} = \frac{r q_w}{k_f T_w}, \quad q_w = -k_{nf} \nabla T \] \hspace{1cm} (21)

This expressions in view of eq. (12) yield:

\[ \text{Nu} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} k_{nf} \xi''(1) \] \hspace{1cm} (22)

**Solution by differential transformation method**

From eqs. (13) and (14) we can write:

\[ (k + 1)(k + 2)(k + 3) F(k + 3) + 2 \text{Re} (1 - \varphi)^{2.5} \left[ 1 - \varphi + \varphi \frac{\rho_f}{\mu_f} \right] \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta} \] \hspace{1cm} (23)

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{k} (k - i + 1) F(i) F(k - i + 1) + 4 \alpha^2 (k + 1) F(k + 1) = 0 \]

\[ \frac{k_{nf} (k + 1)(k + 2) \Theta(k + 2)}{k_f} + \frac{\text{Pr} \Ec}{(1 - \varphi)^{2.5}} \left( 4 \alpha^2 \sum_{i=0}^{k} F(i) F(k - i) + \sum_{i=0}^{k} (i + 1)(k - i + 1) F(i + 1) F(k - i + 1) \right) = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (24)

where \(F(k)\) and \(\Theta(k)\) are transformed functions of \(f(\eta)\) and \(\xi(\eta)\), respectively. Now the transformed boundary conditions are given by:

\[ F(0) = 1, \quad F(1) = 0, \quad \Theta(1) = 0, \] \hspace{1cm} (25)

\[ \sum_{i=0}^\infty F(i) = 0, \quad \sum_{i=0}^\infty \Theta(i) = 1 \] \hspace{1cm} (26)
Letting \( F(2) = \delta \) and \( \Theta(0) = \beta \) and invoking eqs. (23) and (24), the other values of \( F(k) \) and \( \Theta(k) \) when \( \varphi = 0 \) are computed. These are:

\[
\begin{align*}
F(3) & = 0 \\
F(4) & = -\frac{1}{6} \alpha \delta (\text{Re} + 2 \alpha) \\
F(5) & = 0 \\
F(6) & = \frac{1}{90} \alpha \delta (\text{Re}^2 \alpha + 4 \text{Re} \alpha^2 + 4 \alpha^3 - 3 \text{Re} \delta) \\
F(7) & = 0 \\
F(8) & = -\frac{1}{2520} \alpha^2 \delta (\text{Re} + 2 \alpha)(\text{Re}^2 \alpha + 4 \text{Re} \alpha^2 + 4 \alpha^3 - 18 \text{Re} \delta) \\
\vdots
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta(2) & = -2 \alpha^2 \text{Pr Ec} \\
\Theta(3) & = 0 \\
\Theta(4) & = -\frac{1}{3} \text{Pr Ec} \delta (2 \alpha^2 + \delta) \\
\Theta(5) & = 0 \\
\Theta(6) & = -\frac{1}{90} \text{Pr Ec} \delta (-8 \alpha^4 - 4 \alpha^3 \text{Re} - 4 \alpha^2 \delta - 8 \alpha \delta \text{Re}) \\
\Theta(7) & = 0 \\
\vdots
\end{align*}
\]

Since the above process is continuous, hence putting eqs. (27) and (28) in the main equation based on DTM with \( H = 1 \), the resulting expressions are:

\[
\begin{align*}
f(\eta) & = 1 + \delta \eta^2 - \frac{1}{6} \alpha \delta (\text{Re} + 2 \alpha) \eta^4 + \frac{1}{90} \alpha \delta (\text{Re}^2 \alpha + 4 \text{Re} \alpha^2 + 4 \alpha^3 - 3 \text{Re} \delta) \eta^6 + \ldots \quad (29) \\
\zeta(\eta) & = -2 \alpha^2 \text{Pr Ec} \eta^2 - \frac{1}{3} \text{Pr Ec} \delta (2 \alpha^2 + \delta) \eta^4 - \\
& -\frac{1}{90} \text{Pr Ec} \delta (-8 \alpha^4 - 4 \alpha^3 \text{Re} - 4 \alpha^2 \delta - 8 \alpha \delta \text{Re}) \eta^6 + \ldots \quad (30)
\end{align*}
\]

In order to determine \( \delta \) and \( \beta \) we substitute eq. (26) into eqs. (29) and (30):

\[
\begin{align*}
f(l) & = 1 + \delta - \frac{1}{6} \alpha \delta (\text{Re} + 2 \alpha) + \frac{1}{90} \alpha \delta (\text{Re}^2 \alpha + 4 \text{Re} \alpha^2 + 4 \alpha^3 - 3 \text{Re} \delta) + \ldots = 0 \\
\zeta(l) & = -2 \alpha^2 \text{Pr Ec} - \frac{1}{3} \text{Pr Ec} \delta (2 \alpha^2 + \delta) \\
& -\frac{1}{90} \text{Pr Ec} \delta (-8 \alpha^4 - 4 \alpha^3 \text{Re} - 4 \alpha^2 \delta - 8 \alpha \delta \text{Re}) + \ldots = 1 \\
\end{align*}
\]

From this four equations, we have the desired expressions of \( f(\eta) \) and \( \zeta(\eta) \).
Results and discussion

The non-linear differential problems consisting of eqs. (13) and (14) along with the boundary conditions eqs. (15) and (16) have been solved analytically and numerically by employing DTM and fourth-order Runge-Kutta method, respectively. We carry out the analysis for three different types of nanoparticles namely Cu, Al$_2$O$_3$, and TiO$_2$ with water as the base fluid. The thermophysical properties of different nanoparticles are shown in tab. 2. The comparison between present and other reported results [11, 52] for Re = 50, $\alpha = 5^\circ$ and $\varphi = 0$ is shown in tab. 3. It is evident from tab. 3 that the DTM results are in an excellent agreement with OHAM and SHAM results. Effect of solid volume fraction on the dimensionless velocity for Cu, Al$_2$O$_3$, and TiO$_2$ of nanoparticles (when Re = 50 and $\alpha = 5^\circ$) is displayed in figs. 2-4, respectively. Figure 2 displays that in divergent channel, $f(\eta)$ decreases when the solid volume fraction increases for Cu nanoparticles. It is worth mentioning to point out that the velocity increases when the solid volume fraction increases for Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (see figs. 3 and 4). It is concluded that the impact of solid volume fraction in Cu-water nanofluid is more evident than the other nanoparticles. Further, it is noticed form figs. 2-4 that there is well agreement between the DTM and numerical results.

Table 2. The physical properties of nanofluids and base fluid [19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical properties</th>
<th>Water (base fluid)</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>TiO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ [kgm$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>977.1</td>
<td>8933</td>
<td>3970</td>
<td>4250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$ [Jkg$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>4179</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>686.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$ [Wm$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8.9538</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of DTM, OHAM, SHAM and numerical solutions for Newtonian fluid ($\varphi = 0$), Re = 50 and $\alpha = 5^\circ$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.982431</td>
<td>0.98251808</td>
<td>0.982431</td>
<td>0.982431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.931226</td>
<td>0.93156588</td>
<td>0.931226</td>
<td>0.931226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.850611</td>
<td>0.8513815</td>
<td>0.850611</td>
<td>0.850611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.746791</td>
<td>0.74826039</td>
<td>0.746791</td>
<td>0.746792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.626948</td>
<td>0.62953865</td>
<td>0.626848</td>
<td>0.626848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.498234</td>
<td>0.50242894</td>
<td>0.498234</td>
<td>0.498234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.366966</td>
<td>0.37293383</td>
<td>0.366966</td>
<td>0.366966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.238124</td>
<td>0.24508197</td>
<td>0.238124</td>
<td>0.238124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.115152</td>
<td>0.1207156</td>
<td>0.115152</td>
<td>0.115152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.0000021</td>
<td>0.00000001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5 shows the changes in the considered nanoparticles on the dimensionless velocity when $Re = 80$, $\alpha = 3^\circ$, and $\phi = 0.2$. It is found that the dimensionless velocities for $Al_2O_3$ and $TiO_2$ nanoparticles are almost same. It is noticed that values of velocities for $Al_2O_3$ and $TiO_2$ nanoparticles are larger than $Cu$ nanoparticle velocity. The effect of solid volume fraction on the dimensionless temperature profile for water-Cu nanoparticle (when $Re = 50$, $\alpha = 5^\circ$, and $Ec = 0.5$) is depicted in fig. 6. It is observed that the temperature increases when the solid volume fraction increases. In all results of this paper, $Pr = 6.2$ is considered for solution. Figure 7
provide the dimensionless temperature profile for different nanoparticles when other parameters kept fixed at $Re = 50$, $\alpha = 7$, $Ec = 0.5$, and $\phi = 0.2$. It is clear from fig. 7 that Cu nanoparticle has a higher temperature than the other nanoparticles. Figure 8 depicts the velocity profiles for water-$Al_2O_3$ nanofluid when the angle $\alpha$ is equal to $-5, -3, 3, and 5$, and other parameters kept fixed at $\phi = 0.2$ and $Re = 50$. This figure illustrates that in divergent channel, the dimensionless velocity is decreasing function of $\alpha$. However the dimensionless velocity increases when $\alpha$ is increased in convergent channel. The effect of angle $\alpha$ on the dimensionless temperature for water-$Al_2O_3$ nanofluid when $\phi = 0.2$ and $Re = 50$ is shown in fig. 9. This figure describes that the temperature is increasing function of $\alpha$ in divergent channel. Figure 10 explains the dimensionless velocity for water-$TiO_2$ nanofluid when the Reynolds number $Re$ varies and the other parameters kept fixed at $\alpha = -3$ and $\phi = 0.1$. It is revealed that in divergent channel, $\theta(\eta)$ is increasing function of Reynolds number (see fig. 10).
The impact of Reynolds number \( Re \) on the dimensionless temperature \( \xi(\eta) \) concerning water-TiO\(_2\) nanofluid when remaining parameters kept fixed at \( \alpha = 3 \), \( \varphi = 0.2 \), and \( Ec = 0.5 \) is displayed in fig. 11. As shown, an increase in the temperature is found with increased the value of \( Re \). Figure 12 shows the temperature distribution for water-Cu nanoparticles when the Eckert number is allowed to varies and the other parameters fixed at \( \alpha = -3 \), \( Re = 30 \), and \( \varphi = 0.2 \). It can be clearly seen that the temperature increases when the value of \( Ec \) becomes larger.

Table 4. Numerical values of the skin friction coefficient for different values of \( Re \) and solid volume fraction for three types of nanoparticle and \( \alpha = 5^\circ \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Re</th>
<th>( \varphi = 0 )</th>
<th>( \varphi = 0.1 )</th>
<th>( \varphi = 0.2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( Cu )</td>
<td>( Al_2O_3 )</td>
<td>( TiO_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.181931</td>
<td>-0.236812</td>
<td>-0.236316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.0488096</td>
<td>-0.0635751</td>
<td>-0.0630751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0221866</td>
<td>-0.028929</td>
<td>-0.0284339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Numerical values of the Nusselt number \((\alpha Nu)\) for different values of \( Re \) and solid volume fraction for three types of nanoparticles when \( \alpha = 5^\circ \) and \( Ec = 0.4 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Re</th>
<th>( \varphi = 0.1 )</th>
<th>( \varphi = 0.2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( Cu )</td>
<td>( Al_2O_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.11857</td>
<td>4.1796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.77626</td>
<td>3.89544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.655</td>
<td>3.71269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The variation of skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number with Reynolds number in three types of nanoparticles for different solid volume fraction is given in tabs. 4 and 5, as well as figs. 13 and 14, respectively. As expected that in divergent channel, the skin friction coefficient $c_f$ and Nusselt number decrease when the Reynolds number $Re$ increases for three considered nanoparticles and viscous fluid ($\varphi = 0$). It is observed that the values of skin friction coefficient $c_f$ and Nusselt number for $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ nanoparticles are larger than the other nanoparticles. Moreover, an increase in the skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number is observed when solid volume fraction increases. For water-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ nanofluid and $\varphi = 1$, the skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number for different values of the Reynolds number and angle $\alpha$ is presented in tab. 6. This table shows that in divergent channel $c_f$ and Nusselt number decrease when angle $\alpha$ increases in the divergent channel whereas there are increase in $c_f$ and Nusselt number in convergent channel when the Reynolds number $Re$ and angle $\alpha$ increase. On the other hand, the skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number are increasing function of the solid volume fraction of nanoparticles in both divergent and convergent channels.

![Figure 13](image1.png)  
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**Figure 13.** The variation of skin friction coefficient with Reynolds number in three types of nanoparticles for different solid volume fraction  
**Figure 14.** The variation of Nusselt number with Reynolds number in three types of nanoparticles for different solid volume fraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Re$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$c_fRe$</th>
<th>$\alpha Nu$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$c_fRe$</th>
<th>$\alpha Nu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.89307</td>
<td>6.32394</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2.10252</td>
<td>6.64549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.78733</td>
<td>6.17642</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.20602</td>
<td>6.81748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.6811</td>
<td>6.03935</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2.30856</td>
<td>6.99567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.75452</td>
<td>5.91359</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2.41008</td>
<td>7.17914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.78838</td>
<td>6.16255</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2.207</td>
<td>6.80261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.57301</td>
<td>5.93255</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-2.40874</td>
<td>7.20064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.35507</td>
<td>5.77202</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-2.60481</td>
<td>7.64386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The effects of nanoparticles on critical Reynolds number are also studied. As mentioned in many related textbooks and papers, in divergent channel, as Reynolds number increases, reverse flows in divergent channels emerge. Table 7 shows how nanoparticles affect on critical Reynolds number in the divergent channel for $\alpha = 5$. It is clearly noticed that critical Reynolds number in divergent channel decreases for nanofluids. As shown in tab. 7, for Cu nanoparticles, separation occurs between $Re = 50$ and $Re = 60$ when $\alpha = 5$. While without considering nanoparticles ($\phi = 0$), separation and backflow are observed around $Re = 80$. Further, Cu nanoparticle has lower critical Reynolds number rather than that of other nanoparticles. The effect of nanoparticles on the dimensionless velocity for water-TiO$_2$ nanofluid when $\phi = 0.1$ and $\alpha = 5$ is shown in fig. 15. It is observed that in divergent channel backflow is started after $Re = 80$.

**Conclusions**

Analysis has been carried out for the influences of nanofluid and heat transfer effects on the flow quantities in convergent/divergent channel. Series solution for velocity and temperature are constructed by DTM. The present results of Newtonian fluid are compared with the other previous results [11, 52]. Numerical solution is also computed. A good agreement is noted between the results by different techniques. It is observed that the effects of material parameters of fluid on skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number are opposite for the convergent and divergent channels. Influences of Re and angle $\alpha$ on $f(\eta)$, $\zeta(\eta)$, skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number in divergent and convergent channels are quite opposite. The effects of solid volume fraction on the skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number in convergent and divergent channels are similar. Interestingly, the water-Al$_2$O$_3$ nanofluid has higher values of skin friction coefficient $c_f$ and Nusselt number when compared with the other two nanofluids.
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Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cr</td>
<td>skin friction coefficient, (\tau_{w}/\rho U_{\infty}^2), [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cp</td>
<td>specific heat at constant pressure, ([Jkg^{-1}K^{-1}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ec</td>
<td>Eckert number ((\alpha c_\infty/k_0)), [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>transformed function, [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>transformed function [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>thermal conductivity, ([Wm^{-1}K^{-1}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nu</td>
<td>Nusselt number ((= r_{hw} \rho U_{\infty} k_0 T_w)), [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>pressure, ([Nm^{-2}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Prandtl number ((= U_{\infty}^2 c_{\infty} T_w)), [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td>Reynolds number ((= r U_{\infty}^2 / v)), [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>radial co-ordinate ([m])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>temperature ([K])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>radial velocity ([ms^{-1}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>flow velocity vector ([ms^{-1}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>analytic function, [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>transformed function, [-]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Greek symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>angle between two unparallel walls, [deg]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η</td>
<td>similarity variable ((= \theta/\alpha)), [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>angular co-ordinate, [deg]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ</td>
<td>dynamic viscosity of fluid, ([kgm^{-1}s^{-1}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ξ</td>
<td>dimensionless parameter, [-]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subscripts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nf</td>
<td>nanofluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>wall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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